Dimension 2010
Communication Beyond the Classroom

Judith L. Shrum
Rebecca Fox
Rosalie Cheatham
Nico Wijnberg
Lynne McClendon
Lee Bradley

A Thematic Index of
Dimension, 1980-2009

compiled and edited by

C. Maurice Cherry
Furman University

Dimension Editor
Carol Wilkerson

Selected Proceedings of the 2010 Joint Conference of the Southern Conference on Language Teaching, the Foreign Language Association of North Carolina, the North Carolina Chapter of AATSP, and the National Network of Early Language Learning
© 2010 Southern Conference on Language Teaching

Lynne McCledon, Executive Director
165 Lazy Laurel Chase
Roswell, GA 30076
Telephone 770-992-1256
Fax 770-992-3464
http://www.scolt.org
lynnemcc@mindspring.com

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reproduced,
in any form or by any means, without written
permission from the Executive Director.

ISBN 1-883640-24-5

Carolyn L. Hansen
Dimension Publisher
University of South Carolina - Retired
Columbia, SC 29208
# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Review and Acceptance Procedures</td>
<td>iv</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010 SCOLT Editorial Board</td>
<td>v</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Introduction</td>
<td>vi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dedication</td>
<td>viii</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>1</strong> Unifying Our Profession Through Standards:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Writing the ACTFL/NCATE Report</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judith L. Shrum and Rebecca Fox</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2</strong> Student-Centered Instruction: Linking Career Goals and Instruction</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosalie Cheatham</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>3</strong> Language and Economic Development 2009 Keynote Address</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nico Wijnberg</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>4</strong> SCOLT Through the Ages: 1990-2010</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee Bradley and Lynne McClendon</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5</strong> A Thematic Index of Dimension: 1980-2009</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Maurice Cherry: Introduction and Acknowledgments</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How to Use the Index</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Articles Published in Dimension: 1980-2009</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thematic Index (Dimension: 1980-2009)</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Authors and Editors of Dimension (1980-2009)</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCOLT Board of Directors</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009 SCOLT Sponsors: Individuals</td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009 SCOLT Patrons: Institutions and Organizations</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous Issues of Dimension: Ordering Information</td>
<td>149</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Review and Acceptance Procedures

SCOLT Dimension

The procedures through which articles are reviewed and accepted for publication in the proceedings volume of the Southern Conference on Language Teaching (SCOLT) begin with the submission of a proposal to present a session at the SCOLT Annual Conference. Once the members of the Program Committee have made their selections, the editor invites each presenter to submit a manuscript that might be suitable for publication in Dimension, the annual volume of conference proceedings.

Only those persons who present in person at the annual Conference are eligible to have written versions of their presentations included in Dimension. The names and academic affiliations of the authors and information identifying schools and colleges cited in articles are removed from the manuscripts prior to review by members of the Editorial Board, all of whom are professionals committed to second language education. The initial draft of each manuscript is reviewed by at least two members of the Editorial Board, and one of the following recommendations is made: “accept as is,” “request a second draft with minor revisions,” “request a second draft with major revisions,” or “do not publish.” The editor then requests second drafts of manuscripts that receive favorable ratings on the initial draft. These revised manuscripts are reviewed a second time before a final decision to publish is made.
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Introduction

The Southern Conference on Language Teaching (SCOLT), held its annual conference April 15-17, 2010, at the Winston-Salem Marriott in Winston-Salem, North Carolina, in collaboration with the Foreign Language Association of North Carolina (FLANC), the North Carolina Chapter of the American Association of Teachers of Spanish and Portuguese (AATSP-NC), and the National Network for Early Language Learning (NNELL). The SCOLT Board of Directors chose as this year’s theme, “Communication Beyond the Classroom,” because it suggested opportunities for language professionals to develop presentations on the importance of extending language learning experiences beyond the school setting, a goal of the Communities Standard. Those individuals whose presentations were approved were given the opportunity to submit a manuscript for possible inclusion in *Dimension 2010*. The articles selected for the present volume treat topics of interest to language teachers in a variety of ways.

In “Unifying Our Profession Through Standards: Writing the ACTFL/NCATE Report,” Judith Shrum and Rebecca Fox provide step-by-step instructions for the preparation of the ACTFL/NCATE Report. The authors also offer examples of ways that the very act of preparing the Report benefits education and language faculty and teacher candidates, as well as language learners in K-12 classrooms. The article concludes with tips and strategies from seasoned ACTFL/NCATE reviewers.

Rosalie Cheatham connects students’ proficiency in technology with language activities in “Student-Centered Instruction: Linking Career Goals and Language Acquisition.” This article is based upon program revisions in Cheatham’s home institution and techniques she and her colleagues use to help students communicate beyond the classroom. Readers also will be interested in Cheatham’s use of survey data to spark interest in language study.

The keynote speech at the 2009 conference in Atlanta brought home the importance of languages in today’s interconnected world markets. In “Languages and Economic Development,” Nico Wijnberg from the Georgia Department of Economic Development describes his personal journey from the Netherlands to the United States. Wijnberg also offers an explanation for the Dutch proficiency in languages and a brief history of the influence of Dutch in the United States.

SCOLT’s current Executive Director, Lynne McClendon, teamed with former Director Lee Bradley to document our organization’s recent history in “SCOLT through the Ages: 1990 to 2010.” This article traces the changes within our profession that resulted from political issues such as the English Only debates of the 1990s and the 2001 No Child Left Behind Act. McClendon and Bradley also remind readers of recent and ongoing SCOLT initiatives such as the Careers Project, the Assessment Project, the Southern Initiative for Language Leadership (SILL), scholarships for individuals who participate in SCOLT, and regional and national recognition of outstanding language teachers, including the 2005 national teacher of the year, Ken Stewart, a member of the SCOLT Board of Directors.
Another great milestone in SCOLT’s development was an offer by the Education Resources Information Center to include *Dimension* in its ERIC Indexes. For educators ERIC is a respected resource for scholarly articles and information on topics of interest in our profession. The inclusion of *Dimension* in the ERIC Indexes is recognition of the high quality of articles written by SCOLT presenters. This recognition would not have been possible without the contributions of the members of *Dimension*’s editorial board and its former editors; and as the current editor, I am humbled to be allowed to continue the great work that they began.

Maurice Cherry, the longest-serving former *Dimension* editor, deserves special recognition for his contributions to the current edition of *Dimension*. He designed and prepared the Index that is included as the final entry in *Dimension 2010*. His visionary efforts and untold hours of work will make it easier for readers and researchers to access the scholarship archived in past issues of *Dimension*. Please join me in thanking Maurice for his foresight and dedication.

As editor of *Dimension 2010*, I hope that readers of the articles in this volume will find the work of these authors to be informative and useful. I encourage you to share your comments with the authors during the conference. On their name badges, all current and former authors are given a *Scarlet Letter A* to indicate that they are authors so that you can recognize them and thank them for their contributions to *Dimension*. Please remember that the articles in *Dimension* are based upon conference presentations. SCOLT is truly an organization dedicated to its participants. I urge readers to consider preparing a proposal for a presentation at a future SCOLT conference, the first step in publishing in *Dimension*

Carol Wilkerson, Editor

*Dimension 2010*
Dedication

Upon the occasion of its 45th conference anniversary, SCOLT dedicates this edition of Dimension to our founder Dr. Herman F. Bostick, who recounts in the Silver Anniversary Conference edition of Dimension 1989 the following reflections:

The first Southern Conference on Language Teaching took place on February 4-6, 1965. Twelve hundred foreign language teachers and administrators spent two and one-half days listening to speakers, participating in discussions, and observing demonstrations of new teaching methodologies, technologies, and classroom materials by foreign language specialists and master teachers. The keynote address was given by M. Phillip Leamon, Coordinator for School Foreign Languages, Indiana University. On the evening of the second day of the Conference, the SCOLT banquet was held. In addition to the main address, there were musical and/or dramatic presentations, usually in a foreign language, by local performers. Nelson Brooks, of Yale University, author of the widely used textbook, Language and Language Learning: Theory and Practice, gave the principal address: “Language Teaching: Concepts, Problems, Opportunities.”

In the fall of 1964, while serving as the Georgia Department of Education’s Foreign Language Consultant, Dr. Bostick collaborated with Dr. Louis J. Chatagnier and several other language pioneers to develop what became the Southern Conference on Language Teaching. By the fall of 1967, SCOLT was incorporated, and during its tenth anniversary, SCOLT’s progress was recognized in a proclamation from the Mayor of Atlanta, the Honorable Maynard Jackson.

From 1964 to 1970, Dr. Bostick served as SCOLT’s first Executive Secretary, and from 1970 to 1974 he served as Executive Director. During this same period of time he also founded the Foreign Language Association of Georgia (FLAG) and served as its first president. Dr. Bostick has been a regular supporter of SCOLT Conferences and a contributor to SCOLT publications. In 1997 SCOLT presented Dr. Bostick with the Founders Award, created to recognize those who contributed significantly over time to the mission of SCOLT.

In addition to serving as department head of the departments of foreign languages at Morehouse College, Texas Southern University, and Howard University, Dr. Bostick has been an active member of several national and regional language organizations and an enthusiastic spokesperson for language education. His desire to encourage new foreign language teachers to participate in rewarding professional development opportunities led him to establish the Herman F. Bostick SCOLT Originator award. This award provides monetary compensation to selected first-time attendees at SCOLT conferences.

The Executive Board of the Southern Conference on Language Teaching salutes Dr. Bostick for his leadership and foresight that resulted in an organization that has provided many wonderful opportunities during the past 45 years for exchanges of language methods, activities, ideas, research, and advocacy.
Unifying Our Profession Through Standards: Writing the ACTFL/NCATE Report

Judith L. Shrum
Virginia Tech

Rebecca Fox
George Mason University

Abstract

Accreditation and accountability recently have been prominent in national conversations about the preparation of teachers. Members of our profession have developed standards to which we will hold ourselves as we prepare foreign language and world language (F/WL) teachers for the 21st century. As a participating Specialized Professional Association (SPA), ACTFL has joined the conversation with the National Council for Accreditation of Teacher Education (NCATE). In this article, we describe the standards that are most applicable to our field and provide suggestions for those who are compiling their ACTFL/NCATE reports seeking national program recognition. We offer comments and suggestions based upon our work presenting ACTFL/NCATE workshops on this topic for the past three years.

Introduction

Whether you read this article as a beginning or veteran teacher, a supervisor or school principal, a teacher educator or a language student, you have heard about assessment, accreditation, standards, and learning outcomes. As educators, we often feel that assessment, standards, and accreditation are imposed upon us from some outside source, leaving us to wonder if these standards have anything to do with what we as professional educators value and teach our students. At the same time, we want to know whether our students are really learning and whether they can do what we think they can do. Standards can help us identify and agree upon desired outcomes, especially if they are shaped in the context of a national assessment that has been developed and valued by members of our own profession.
The best assessment practices emerge from within the profession. They are an honest response to our desire to understand what and how our students and teachers are learning and teaching. As Graff (2008) points out, the recent emphasis on outcomes assessment within the educational community denies the complacent belief that nothing in our house needs to change. Our standards have been developed over a period of three decades by those who teach and learn languages, with periodic revision and verification by those who are working actively in classrooms. The standards are high but attainable. They simultaneously respond to needs in the field and provide leadership for the profession. Through the American Council on the Teaching of Foreign Languages (ACTFL), the profession has developed standards for students and teachers and has affiliated with a national accreditation organization that recognizes high quality teacher education programs, the National Council for Accreditation of Teacher Education (NCATE). In order to seek national recognition, programs that prepare teachers submit reports of what their graduates know and are able to do. In this way, programs measure themselves against a nationally agreed-upon set of standards. As a result, language education programs have goals to work toward within a clearly articulated professional context. In this article, we will describe the sets of standards in foreign and world language (F/WL) teacher education, with particularly detailed attention to the writing of the report submitted to ACTFL/NCATE by teacher education programs.

An Overview of the Relevant Standards

Our profession has developed several documents that guide us in assessing the performance of our students, teachers, and academic programs. As a backdrop for standards of what students and teachers should know and be able to do, our profession laid the groundwork for what performance looks like at various levels of accomplishment and study. More than 25 years ago, ACTFL developed the ACTFL Proficiency Guidelines for Speaking, Writing, Reading, and Listening (1982, 1999, 2001). The Guidelines provided descriptions of the linguistic performance of learners in K-16 schools at various levels of proficiency, along with the kinds of errors likely for each level. In addition, ACTFL developed the ACTFL Performance Guidelines for K-12 Learners (1998), which show how well students who have studied the language at various grade levels are likely to perform in each of three modes of communication—interpretive, interpersonal, or presentational. For further historical description of the development of standards for language learning and teaching, see Glisan (2006) and Shrum & Glisan (2010).

The profession also described what language education should look like in the context of instruction in schools. Called the Five Cs, the Standards for Foreign Language Learning in the 21st Century (National Standards in Foreign Language Education Project [NSFLEP], 2006) lay out what students should know and be able to do in the languages taught most frequently in schools. These standards were developed by professionals teaching in the field and were piloted throughout the profession in a draft version prior to final adoption. The first ver-
The profession has set forth its expectations for teachers in three sets of standards designed to be descriptive of teacher performance across the career continuum. The first set of standards describes the expectations for what teacher candidates need to know and be able to do as they enter the classroom upon completion of a teacher preparation program. These standards are related to accreditation through the National Council for the Accreditation of Teacher Education (NCATE), and the standards have been published as the *ACTFL/NCATE Program Standards for the Preparation for Foreign Language Teachers* (2002).

The second set of standards was developed by the Interstate New Teacher Assessment and Support Consortium (INTASC), under the auspices of the Council of Chief State School Officers (CCSSO). The INTASC Standards (2002) are model descriptions and illustrative examples for novice teachers of what good teaching looks like in a classroom. Intended for teachers in their first through third years of teaching, the 10 principles reflect state licensure requirements for 38 states, described generically and in terms of each content specialty.

The third set of standards, developed by the *National Board for Professional Teaching Standards* (NBPTS), sets forth a clear vision for accomplished teaching (2008). The Five Core Propositions supported by discipline-specific standards form the foundation and frame the knowledge, skills, dispositions and beliefs that characterize National Board Certified Teachers (NBCTs). Those individuals who achieve National Board Certification represent the pinnacle of accomplishment among expert teachers. Experienced F/WL teachers who wish to know more about the discipline-specific standards for their field should review the *World Languages Other Than English Standards* available at the NBPTS Web site http://www.nbpts.org.

This article will focus on the first set of standards, the *ACTFL/NCATE Program Standards* (2002), the nexus between what students learn as language learners and what candidates learn to do as language teachers. This set of standards also provides a common set of competencies that can serve as a forum for dialogue among the various stakeholders in our field, including K-12 teachers, college language faculty, teacher education faculty, supervisors, mentors, and employers. The graphic on the following page shows the cyclical relationship between and among these various professionals.

**Standards and the Classroom Connection: An Example**

The various sets of standards do not function as separate requirements but are intended to complement each other. To illustrate how they contribute to the development of a competent French teacher, we offer the following example of Cindy, a pseudonym for an American student majoring in French at a U.S. university. During her study of French and Francophone civilization, literature, and culture, one of her Francophone literature classes was taught by a professor whose research is focused on the Senegalese female author, Mariama Bâ. Cindy completed
Figure 1.
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Source: D. C. McAlpine (personal communication, January 18, 2006); McAlpine & Shrum (2007); revised by Shrum & Fox (2009).

her French major and began her preparation to become a French teacher by enrolling in a teacher education program. She took the ACTFL Oral Proficiency Interview and the Writing Proficiency Test, scoring Advanced Low on both. She now is a teacher candidate about to begin her student teaching experience. As she and her cooperating classroom teacher discuss the lesson she might prepare for the French IV class she will teach, it comes to light that this environment provides Cindy the perfect opportunity to use her experience in Francophone literature to engage all of her students in meaningful communication.

Foremost in Cindy’s mind as she plans her lessons is her wish to enable her students to communicate. She remembers from her teacher education courses that Goal Area 1 of the Five Cs is about the interpretive, interpersonal, and presentational modes of communication. Cindy knows that Goal Area 2 of the Five Cs is about enabling students to demonstrate understanding of the relationships between products, practices, and perspectives of the target culture. Armed with information in the standards for student learning, she brings together these two Goal Areas for what her students should be able to do by building lessons around the novels she read in her literature class. She designs lesson plans around authen-
tic materials that facilitate students’ communication and cultural understanding, asking students to read and interpret a selection from one of Mariama Bâ’s novels. Her students then analyze the selection from the perspective of products, practices, and perspectives and then exchange information about their viewpoints on narrating a life story. Cindy was eager to see what her students knew and were able to do when they presented their slide shows about what they had learned. Because Cindy was also highly proficient in speaking French, she was able to conduct her class entirely in the target language, model the use of authentic language to her students as she conversed easily in French, and involved all students in meaningful conversations. Her content knowledge in the area of language proficiency connects directly to ACTFL/NCATE Standard 1.

We have seen how the standards for student learning affected the development of a beginning teacher’s lesson plans, but that’s only a third of the story. We must not forget the stakeholders in the school where Cindy is student teaching. The cooperating classroom teacher shared with Cindy her experience by providing sample age-appropriate communicative activities and guidance to keep students engaged in their work. As a result of Cindy’s lessons, this teacher may modify her lessons to include a selection from a Mariama Bâ novel the next time she teaches this topic. Language learners in this French IV class will anticipate their studies at the university where they will learn more about Mariama Bâ. Cindy’s literature professor is intrigued by the ways in which Cindy organized the material she learned in his class to make it accessible to high school students. He collaborates with the teacher education professor to make certain that French majors who want to teach can demonstrate content knowledge about the French language, civilization, and culture, thus addressing ACTFL/NCATE Standards 1 and 2. Along the way in this professional journey, the teacher candidate has taken and passed a variety of nationally recognized examinations to demonstrate her proficiency in French listening, speaking, reading, writing, and culture. The teacher candidate, the classroom teacher, and the literature professor may have also participated in a professional language or literature conference to improve their skills, maintain their levels of professional knowledge, and share their research on their respective fields, thus addressing ACTFL/NCATE Standard 6 on Professionalism.

The ACTFL/NCATE Review: Why It Matters

To support the development of a teacher candidate, a full cycle of content knowledge, pedagogical knowledge, and practical knowledge will have been delivered by several different agencies and in various settings. The ACTFL/NCATE report is a way to demonstrate how those agencies and settings are orchestrated to function smoothly at the crucial point where content and pedagogical knowledge come together in an applied setting where teacher candidates acquire practical knowledge.

Accountability is an omnipresent factor in the 21st century. All types of agencies, including educational institutions, are being asked to demonstrate that they deliver what they promise. For academic institutions, NCATE accreditation is a powerful return on the investment of time and expertise because it can improve
teacher preparation programs, benchmark the program against national expectations, strengthen interactions among academic faculty, and provide faculty development opportunities, among other professionalization benefits (NCATE, 2007). While improving the quality of university-based programs, the process increases collegial conversations and collaboration between departments and units of the university, as well as with school-based stakeholders, by providing “the opportunity to work in the context of evolving professional consensus” (NCATE, 2007, ¶ 2). By meeting common goals and promoting the use of data to demonstrate and examine candidates’ knowledge attained as a result of coursework and teacher preparation, essential conversations can promote shared accountability to provide K-16 learners with the best possible education.

The quality of the teacher preparation program is crucial to students’ academic success (Darling-Hammond & Baratz-Snowden, 2005; Mitchell, Allen, & Ehrenburg, 2006). Teacher preparation programs that seek national recognition open their practices and procedures to peer review. At the same time, by demonstrating how they address the national standards, they participate in shaping these very standards. In the field of foreign/world language (F/WL) teacher education, the ACTFL/NCATE standards and the Five Cs have brought about consensus of what we believe is important, as predicted by Schulz (2000) and as reported by Dhonau, McAlpine, and Shrum (2007), and Wilbur (2007). By participating in an ACTFL/NCATE program review, teacher education faculty members plan their program to be consistent with national goals, and they develop assessments and collect data to inform their decisions and practices. Because the ACTFL/NCATE Standard 1 requires a minimum oral proficiency level of Advanced Low on an official ACTFL Oral Proficiency Interview, employers will know that their new teachers are proficient speakers of the language they teach. Faculty in ACTFL/NCATE approved programs can be assured that their graduates have a competitive edge in the employment market, and school division personnel who hire teachers from accredited institutions can be assured that they are hiring highly qualified teachers whom their learners deserve (Darling-Hammond & Baratz-Snowden, 2005).

A Paradigm Shift and Closer Look at the Standards

There are several tiers of standards that frame our work with K-16 language students. Whether standards for K-16 students, teacher education standards for beginning teachers, or advanced teacher standards for practicing teachers, each set is interconnected to the others in its content requirements. These standards state clearly what students and their teachers should know and be able to do, and they ensure the quality of the teachers who complete nationally recognized teacher education programs by meeting the stated goals and objectives for K-16 learners. Institutional or program standards thus serve to define the expectations and parameters and to delineate the knowledge, skills, and dispositions that program completers should hold. These national standards, adopted in 2002, have
been part of a paradigm shift in the U.S. that has changed from an emphasis on teaching to a focus on learning (Sandrock, 2000; Shrum & Glisan, 2010).

Until the 1990s, teacher education programs seeking NCATE recognition submitted the resumés of their faculty, syllabi of their courses, outlines of their curriculum, and other evidence of the input they provided their teacher candidates. ACTFL was not yet a Specialized Professional Association (SPA) member of NCATE; thus, a brief report describing the faculty members and courses taught was the only requirement. In the late 1980s, SPAs such as those in math and science defined standards for student learning, and the general paradigm for teacher education programs shifted from a focus on input to a focus on output.

This paradigm shift has been a radical departure from the previous input-based system. It requires programs to think in new ways about what candidates know, what they are able to do, and what they are disposed to do. When presenting program evidence for national recognition, programs now must think beyond course syllabi and faculty vita. Programs must provide output, or performance-based evidence, by means of a series of program assessments and documentation of candidate performance on those assessments. In this way, the paradigm shift affected both teacher education programs and the K-16 setting. The requirement to provide evidence or output caused programs around the nation to ask, “How do we know that our students and teacher candidates have understood what we have taught them? What do our K-16 students and teacher candidates know, and what can they do?” Evidence-based practice is a driving force in the program recognition process. Performance-based assessment is now providing a vehicle by which programs can measure the degree to which their learners have met the standards. Aligning program coursework with standards and then measuring the results of those efforts has become the cornerstone upon which program recognition and accreditation are now based.

The first tier of performance standards is comprised of sets of content-specific student standards prepared by teams of professionals for each language. These standards state succinctly what students should know and be able to do. The Standards for Foreign Language Learning for the 21st Century (National Standards in Foreign Language Education Project [NSFLEP], 2006) define learning outcomes for F/WL learners. Often referred to as the Five Cs, the goal areas for foreign language learning are: (1) Communication, (2) Cultures, (3) Connections, (4) Comparisons, and (5) Communities, with supporting standards for each goal area. While the standards do not specify course content or prescribe a recommended sequence of study, they do delineate a framework for teachers from which they can then construct the content for a curriculum at each level of study. The standards are accompanied by benchmarks and performance expectations for grades 4, 8, and 12, and they include sample learning scenarios to show how teachers might implement them.

The second tier, the teacher professional standards, is comprised of sets of pedagogical and content area standards for the teachers. These standards clearly state what teachers should know and be able to do in order to facilitate learning in the K-16 setting. The standards for our F/WL teacher preparation
programs, overseen by ACTFL and NCATE, are often referred to as the ACTFL/NCATE Standards. The six principal areas contained in these teacher standards are: (1) Language, linguistics, comparisons; (2) Cultures, literatures, cross-disciplinary concepts; (3) Language acquisition theories and instructional practices; (4) Integration of standards into curriculum and instruction; (5) Assessment of languages and cultures; and (6) Professionalism. Each standard contains a description, justification, analytical rubric, and suggestions for how programs might provide evidence of what their teacher candidates know and are able to do and how their performance addresses the standards. Teacher education programs use these standards as a framework for their programs of study and design their program’s learning outcomes around them. To ensure the efficacy of teacher education programs and the quality of the teachers who exit these programs, those teacher education programs seeking national recognition by ACTFL/NCATE must be able to show the degree to which their teacher candidates meet the professional standards and provide evidence of the implementation of K-16 student standards in their classrooms.

Organizing Programs in Preparation for the Program Report

Although this article is primarily for the person who will take lead responsibility for writing the ACTFL/NCATE report, each faculty member is a key resource in a program’s ongoing development, the completion of the report, and the ultimate success of the program. The report on F/WL teacher preparation program addresses the ACTFL/NCATE standards, and it is called a Specialized Professional Association (SPA) report. While the institution will prepare a unit report for the entire institution, the SPA report for the specific program is submitted in advance of the unit report. National recognition for the program is contingent upon accreditation for the unit. Thus, a unit may be accredited while a program may not be nationally recognized. Smooth preparation of the ACTFL/NCATE report requires engaging all faculty members in the process of examining their program and using assessment data to meet national and local goals and inform program update.

Essential Conversations

One key benefit to seeking national recognition is a series of meaningful conversations within and across agencies, and generally one person brings together all the various groups. In today’s higher education structure, the F/WL programs and departments are commonly found in different units from colleges and programs of education. Since the ACTFL/NCATE program standards bring together content and pedagogy, these departments need to find pathways for communication that result in a cohesive program that provides candidates with opportunities to master identified learning outcomes. Programs whose candidates are housed in different departments or colleges should hold essential conversa-
tions about the ACTFL/NCATE standards early and often. These conversations should focus on how to bring together the content and pedagogy by addressing ways each department can support candidates’ knowledge in the target language, its cultures, and literature with pedagogical knowledge. As programs begin these conversations about the ACTFL /NCATE Standards and the accreditation process, they work to form a seamless progression from a candidate’s proficiency and mastery of material to its application with learners in the K-12 setting. These conversations simultaneously facilitate the full learning cycle for candidates and create powerful communication pathways among faculty. Topics of conversation might focus on how coursework and opportunities for language immersion help candidates achieve the Advanced Low level on the ACTFL Oral Proficiency Interview (ACTFL OPI). They should also address formative opportunities for language proficiency assessment so that candidates can take part in their own learning along the way toward reaching targeted goals. It is important for all faculty to understand how language proficiency comes to bear in a communicative-based K-12 classroom so that candidates are able to conduct lessons in the target language and engage students in learning authentic and meaningful activities. Therefore, the essential conversations should be grounded in how content learned in the language program complements the pedagogy and professional knowledge in education to prepare candidates in the best possible way. Understanding the sets of standards themselves, performance-based assessment, rubric alignment with the standards, and language proficiency goals are the essential elements.

Program leaders also must facilitate essential conversations across agencies to make decisions and align program goals and learning with the ACTFL/NCATE Standards. As a program, it is important to keep multiple faculty members involved in the full process, and an action plan might include developing faculty roles and timelines for accomplishing the various aspects of data collection and drafting the report. Keeping records of the process is also a good idea. Documenting the steps taken along the way and keeping a semester-by-semester record indicating who is in charge of which aspect will keep everyone informed and document the steps that have been accomplished as the report is completed.

Engaging faculty members from departments of education and foreign languages to work together in the program recognition process will support the responsibility of writing the report across units of the college or university. As faculty members engage in cross-college discussions about foreign language preparation, they build capacity in their understanding the teacher preparation standards. Operationalizing the process within and across programs is critical. According to McAlpine and Dhonau (2007), there are at least six major considerations that a foreign language department should anticipate and actively plan while preparing the program review and report: (1) engaging all faculty members in the process, (2) establishing a culture of oral proficiency in colleges of education as well as in language departments, (3) educating faculty about the standards, (4) revising curricula to align with the standards, (5) preparing the seven assessments for the ACTFL/NCATE program review, and (6) archiving student performance assessment scores using technology.
Once an institution’s program is in place and its faculty members are ready to begin writing their report, there are several steps in the submission process that are very important to know about in advance. A program’s process of data collection and improvement should be ongoing and iterative. The report captures these elements, as well as candidate data submitted as evidence of the quality of the program. The remainder of this article will help describe the essential elements of the report and its submissions process.

Writing the Report: Required and Helpful Documents

The report itself will be posted to the NCATE Web site at http://www.ncate.org under the heading “institutions.” It is best to write a draft of the report ahead of time as an electronic document and then transfer text and documents to the Web site. It is recommended that all members of the team preparing the report possess a copy of the documents described below. The report must show what students should know and be able to do, and the best guide is Standards for Foreign Language Learning in the 21st Century (NSFLEP, 2006). The report must also show what teacher candidates should know and be able to do according to the ACTFL/NCATE Program Standards for the Preparation of Foreign Language Teachers (2002). This document is available for download at http://www.actfl.org/files/public/ncate2002.pdf. The report must follow a specified template, which is available for download from ACTFL or from NCATE at http://www.ncate.org/ProgramStandards/actfl/actflWebReport-July1.doc. The ACTFL/NCATE Program Self-Assessment Table, commonly referred to as Attachment C, is a self-assessment of eight essential components of your program. The table is available for download at http://www.ncate.org/programreview/programStandards.asp?ch=90. In addition, frequently asked questions about the ACTFL/NCATE process can be downloaded from http://www.actfl.org/i4a/pages/Index.cfm?pageid=3385. New guidelines for preparing the ACTFL/NCATE report (Glisan, Headrick, Levy, McAlpine, Olson & Phillips, n.d.) is available for download at http://www.actfl.org/i4a/pages/Index.cfm?pageid=3387. Sample successful reports are available at http://www.nacte.org/programreview/programReport-Samples.asp?ch=37.

Contents of the Report

The ACTFL Web Report template provides instructions for each part of the report that must be posted to the NCATE Web site along with designations of character limits and other specifications. A description of the six sections of the report follows.

- **Section I. Context:** This section includes a cover sheet that describes your program and the kind of licensure for which you are preparing teacher candidates. This section has five questions to which the program responds, with character limits on length. The sections are: (1) description of state or institutional policies that may influence the application of ACTFL/NCATE Standards, (2) description of field or clinical experiences,
(3) description of criteria for admission, retention, and exit from the program, (4) description of the relationship of the program to the unit’s conceptual framework, and (5) description of the program’s assessments as they are uniquely related to the ACTFL/NCATE Standards, and how they are related to the assessments of the unit. It also includes a program of study, a chart of candidate data, a faculty information chart, and ACTFL/NCATE Attachment C Self-Assessment Table.

- **Section II. List of Assessments:** Using the chart included in this template, indicate the name, type, and administration point for each of the six to eight assessments documented in the report.

- **Section III. Relationship of Assessments to Standards:** Using the chart included in this template, indicate which of the assessments listed in Section II provide evidence of meeting specific program standards. While a single assessment may address more than one standard, determine which standards that assessment addresses most directly.

- **Section IV. Evidence for Meeting Standards:** For each assessment, provide a narrative and describe how it addresses the standards, the documentation the teacher candidates received as the assessment itself, and a scoring guide or rubric with performance criteria, data tables, and an interpretation of the scores. Each assessment will be discussed in detail later in this article.

- **Section V. Use of Assessment Results to Improve Candidate and Program Performance:** Describe how faculty members are using the data from assessments to improve candidate performance and the program, as they relate to content knowledge; pedagogical and professional knowledge, skills, and dispositions; and student learning.

- **Section VI. For Revised Reports Only:** List the sections of the report being resubmitted and the changes that have been made in the program to address the standards that were not met in the original submission. Specific instructions for preparing a revised report are available on the NCATE Web site at http://www.ncate.org/institutions/process.asp?ch=4.

**Overall Assessment Design**

A program’s overall assessment design should be created in a way to provide evidence of the degree to which its program candidates meet the six ACTFL/NCATE program standards. The assignment design should include required and optional assessments, supporting explanations, rubrics aligned with the standards, and data about the candidates. The following components will be submitted with the program report: (1) Attachment C: The ACTFL/NCATE Self-Assessment Table, (2) seven required program assessments, with an optional eighth assessment, providing evidence of candidate knowledge, and (3) a discussion on how a program uses candidate results to inform both program and candidate improvement, included in Section V of the Report.
Attachment C

First, programs should complete a self-assessment table, known as Attachment C, which is to be submitted with the program report as an attachment. This table is a self-check that asks if a program includes the eight essential components in order to qualify for ACTFL/NCATE accreditation. This document illustrates requirements that are necessary to meet the ACTFL/NCATE Standards in the preparation of F/WL teachers. Other SPAs do not submit an attachment C. Commonly referred to as The Big Eight, Attachment C addresses issues of development and ongoing assessment of proficiency; language, linguistics, culture, and literature; qualifications of methods and supervisory faculty; requirement of early field experiences in F/WL classrooms; candidates’ use of technology; and structured study abroad or immersions experiences.

Required and Optional Program Assessments

As previously indicated, a program must submit seven required assessments and is allowed to submit an optional eighth item. These assessments are linked to and provide evidence of the degree to which a program’s candidates meet the six ACTFL/NCATE standards. The first two standards focus on candidate content knowledge; standards three, four, and five focus on pedagogy and the instruction and assessment cycle; and Standard six focuses on professionalism, reflective practice, and professional development goals. When considering the evidence and planning for improvement, program faculty should consider whether their candidates have the necessary knowledge for the subjects they will teach or the jobs they will perform, if they understand teaching and learning, if they can plan their teaching skillfully and fulfill other professional education responsibilities, if they can implement their teaching philosophy with students and colleagues, if they can apply their knowledge in classrooms and schools, and whether they meet state licensure requirements. The assessments required for the report will serve to answer these questions.

Following is a list of the assessments that should appear in Section II of the report and the corresponding standard(s) with which they align. The assessments will be discussed in greater detail later in this article.

1. Content Knowledge (state licensure test - Standards 1 and 2)
2. Content Knowledge (Standards 1 and 2)
3. Pedagogical and Professional Knowledge, Skills, and Dispositions (Focus on Planning - Standards 3, 4, and 5)
4. Pedagogical and Professional Knowledge, Skills, and Dispositions (Focus on Teaching - Standards 3, 4, and 5)
5. Effects on Student Learning (Focus on Assessment - Standard 5)
6. Content Knowledge (Official ACTFL OPI, Standard 1)
7. Additional Assessment (Program choice - May focus on any of the six standards)
8. Additional Assessment (optional)
Explaining Your Program’s Assessments

As program faculty members plan the seven or eight assessments, they should design a template for their colleagues to use. This ACTFL/NCATE Assessments Template should be used for each assessment and include the following five elements:

A. The assessment number and the name of the assessment
B. A brief description of the assessment and an explanation of how it aligns with the ACTFL/NCATE Standards. Programs may include a graphic depiction explaining the alignment of the assessment with the Standards
C. The assessment itself, which may be an assignment or instruction sheet given to teacher candidates outlining the tasks of the assessment. Programs should address the six content standards in a holistic way. It is not necessary that assessments address each of the supporting standards individually.
D. The scoring guide or rubric and candidate data table(s) are very important. The rubric or scoring guide should be aligned with the ACTFL/NCATE Standards. Elements within the rubric or scoring guide should indicate the degree to which candidates meet the standards, and they should be F/WL specific. If a program is required to use a more general, unit-based rubric (e.g., one used across programs), an additional section devoted to F/WL should be added for both the assessment and its accompanying rubric or scoring guide. Examples are provided on the NCATE Web site indicated in the Resources section of this article.
E. A data table indicating candidates’ scores for these assessments for each year and each language.

Description of the Assessments

Assessments one and two are focused on presenting candidates’ content knowledge. Specifically, Assessment 1 should provide data from a state licensure test or examinations of content knowledge of the target language, including proficiency in the language, linguistics, civilization, culture, and literature (Standards 1 and 2). The most frequently required state test is Praxis II, which, in its current version, is not aligned with ACTFL/NCATE Standards. Faced with this circumstance, a program should include candidate data from the Praxis II in the report in order to comply with NCATE’s requirement for Assessment 1, and then provide additional data to supplement the evidence from Praxis II. Supplemental evidence may include, for example, scores from the ACTFL Writing Proficiency Test (WPT) or another content test developed by the program that is aligned with the standards.

Although some states require a test that has been developed within their state, at this time, there are very few such tests that have been aligned with the ACTFL/NCATE standards. Sandarg and Schomber (2009) describe the process of
preparing teacher candidates for licensure tests that are designed to assess content knowledge for Standards 1 and 2, along with recommendations for how to help candidates succeed. If there is no state test, the program should designate another assessment, perhaps developed in collaboration with the foreign language department, as Assessment 1. The program may also choose to designate the ACTFL Writing Proficiency Test (WPT) as Assessment 1. If the state’s designated test is the OPI, the program should report those results as Assessment 6, with an option to use the WPT or another test of content knowledge for Assessment 1. In addition, if a program has more than 10 completers over 3 years, 80% must pass the licensure test required by the state; but if there are fewer than 10 completers, the program need not meet the 80% requirement.

Assessment 2 also focuses on candidate content knowledge in the languages to be taught, particularly in the areas of cultures, literature, and cross-disciplinary concepts. Comprehensive examinations that address communication, culture, and interdisciplinary content or portfolio evidence of interpretive/interpersonal/presentational tasks are often the assessments that provide evidence of standards one and two. These assessments might also be designed by a program as a cultural or literature capstone project conducted in the target language.

Recently, NCATE has indicated that grades for program coursework may be presented as evidence; however, there are specific guidelines that have been developed to lead programs through the compilation and reporting process should they decide on this option. First, grades might be submitted for Assessment 1 if there is no state test, for Assessment 2, or as an optional assessment for Assessment 7 or 8. The grades can only be for courses required of every F/WL teacher candidate, courses in the major, or a required cluster of courses. The courses’ numbers and titles must be listed, and if a title is unclear, the report must include a brief, two-sentence description of the course. The list of courses must include the program or institution’s curriculum requirements and match the stated Program of Study. The program must also include the composite GPA of candidates, grade policy, minimum expectations, and definitions of grades. Data should be disaggregated by program (BA/MA), grade level (elementary, middle, or high school), licensure category (language), and program site, if applicable. Syllabi are not sufficient evidence and should not be submitted. Accompanying the description of courses, a rationale for selection and alignment of the courses with the ACTFL/NCATE Standards should be included, along with an analysis of grade data. Reports should include a statement of the alignment of courses transferred into a Master’s program, a statement regarding the program’s grading policy, and a clearly articulated statement of program expectations. In addition, data tables, grade distributions, and mean course grades must be part of this section. For more detailed information, refer to http://www.ncate.org/institutions/GuidelinesGrades.asp?ch=90. In addition, Mitchell, Allen, and Ehrenburg (2006) provide sample assessments and case studies for Standards 1 and 2.

Assessment 3 focuses on candidates’ ability to plan instruction. This assessment should provide evidence of ACTFL/NCATE Standards 3, 4, and 5 and demonstrate that candidates have knowledge of language acquisition theories and can use this knowledge to plan effective classroom instruction and to inform in-
structional decisions. Evidence is often provided by lesson or unit plans, individualized educational plans, needs assessments, or intervention plans. The evidence often is gathered in methods classes or during student teaching. If a program utilizes general assessments with other programs, sections specifically addressing the ACTFL/NCATE Standards must be included, and the ACTFL/NCATE Standards also must be clearly aligned in the rubrics.

Assessment 4 is often referred to as the assessment of student teaching. It should demonstrate that candidates’ knowledge, skills, and dispositions are applied effectively in teaching practice and that they align with ACTFL/NCATE Standards 3, 4, 5, and 6. Programs should submit the assessment instrument used in student teaching or internship as modified to address ACTFL/NCATE standards. If a program uses a generic student teaching evaluation form, there should be a section specifically aligned with the ACTFL/NCATE standards, and it must use the terminology of the standards and the Five Cs.

Assessment 5 focuses on the candidate effect on student learning, and it is aligned with ACTFL/NCATE Standards 3, 4, and 5. Programs might demonstrate how their candidates affect learning among K-12 students by providing work samples, portfolio tasks, or case studies. Data gathered from student teaching and other field experiences are other possible sources. See Wise, Ehrenburg, and Leibbrand (2008) for additional information about how these effects can be demonstrated.

Assessment 6 is an official ACTFL Oral Proficiency Interview (OPI), which addresses Standard 1. This assessment is critical to a program’s recognition decision. The program’s report must state that the official OPI is required and that the minimal acceptable level of proficiency has been set at Advanced Low. There must also be an articulated remediation plan for those candidates who do not achieve the level of Advanced Low. ACTFL offers several forms of the OPI, including a face-to-face interview, a telephone interview, and a computerized OPIc interview. More information is available at http://www.languagetesting.com. The Simulated Oral Proficiency Interview (SOPI) and the Modified Oral Proficiency Interview (MOPI) are not official forms of the OPI, but they can provide excellent formative assessment opportunities for teacher candidates. Additional information is available from ACTFL.

Assessment 7 is a required component of the report that allows the program to focus on any standard that was not clearly addressed in the previous required assessments. For example, a program could provide additional content evidence to supplement the Praxis II scores, as mentioned earlier. It might include a language analysis of a learner in evidence of candidates’ knowledge of language acquisition theory, a service learning project, a philosophy of education that includes an articulated professional development plan, or other assessment developed by the program.

Assessment 8 is optional but provides a program the opportunity to present additional supporting evidence of any of the standards that it identifies as needing additional supporting documentation. Projects and program assessments not previously presented in the report for Assessments 1 through 7 could be offered here.
What Happens to the Report?

Once the report and related documents have been posted to the NCATE Web site, a team of two or three ACTFL-trained reviewers will read and study the report to determine the degree to which the program addresses the ACTFL/NCATE standards. The process includes multiple reviewers and has been designed to be transparent in nature in order to support the positive development of programs engaging in ACTFL/NCATE review. Thus, each reviewer writes an analysis that mirrors the format of the report. Their comments are available for viewing after they are posted to the NCATE Web site. The lead reviewer then compiles a team report that is also available on the Web site. The six members of the audit team then review the report, supporting documents, and the lead reviewer’s report, and they compile and post feedback and a final auditor’s report indicating the recognition decision for the program. There are three possible recognition decisions, as described below.

1. **Nationally Recognized.** The program meets the standards, no further submissions are needed, and the program will receive full national recognition once the unit has been accredited. The program will be listed on the NCATE Web site as nationally recognized.

2. **Nationally Recognized with Conditions.** The program generally meets standards, but a *Response to Conditions* report must be submitted within 18 months to remove the conditions. Typically, conditions noted are insufficient data, insufficient alignment of standards with scoring guides or assessments, or insufficient pass rate of teacher candidates. The program has two opportunities to resubmit within 18-months. If successful, the program will be listed on the NCATE Web site as Nationally Recognized with Conditions. If its resubmissions are successful, the status will be changed to Nationally Recognized. If the program is not successful after two resubmissions, the status will be changed to Not Nationally Recognized.

3. **Further development required.** This indication means that Standards that are not met are critical to a quality program or that too few standards are met. A program may resubmit twice within 12 to 14 months. If the standards are not met, the recognition decision is changed to Not Nationally Recognized.

In Part B, the reviewers and auditors provide feedback about the degree to which the program has addressed each of the six ACTFL/NCATE Standards and suggestions for modifications to align the program more closely with the standards. For example, a typical suggestion might be to modify the generic student teaching evaluation form to include specific wording related to the ACTFL/NCATE Standards.

Part C of the report written by reviewers and auditors is an evaluation of the evidence contained in the program report, and it addresses candidates’ knowledge of content; candidates’ ability to understand and apply pedagogical and
professional content knowledge, skills, and dispositions; and candidates’ effects on K-12 student learning. Reviewers and auditors offer guidance in ways the program can provide stronger evidence of their candidates’ content knowledge, such as using the OPI or developing assessments that show candidates’ cultural, cross-disciplinary, or literary knowledge. These comments frequently encourage the program to use the specific wording contained in the ACTFL/NCATE Standards, and they remind programs to focus on how teacher candidates’ work affects learning among students in K-12 schools, rather than the effects of the program on the teacher candidates.

Part D provides comments on how the program has used its assessment results to inform program update and change, Part E summarizes the areas for additional consideration, and Part F provides an area for additional comments.

**Tips from the ACTFL/NCATE Audit Team**

The audit team meets twice annually to review reports in order to ensure consistency and reliability across program reviewers. Since 2005 this team has reviewed nearly 200 reports and offered 5 workshops per year to help institutions prepare the SPA report. In the fall of 2005, when the first 15 reports were submitted, only 13% were *nationally recognized* or *recognized with conditions* on their initial submission. By the following spring, there were 20 reports and 25% were *nationally recognized* or *recognized with conditions*. By the fall of 2008, 47% of programs were *nationally recognized* or *recognized with conditions* on their initial submission and 100% were *nationally recognized* or *recognized with conditions* on their revised submissions (NCATE, 2009). This trajectory provides clear indication that programs are responding to the standards and making the necessary changes to attain accreditation. Although many changes are structural and foundational, in many instances the manner of presentation helps reviewers understand the program more clearly. Here we offer some helpful tips.

**Terminology**

Use *students* to refer to preK-16 students; use *teacher candidates* to refer to those enrolled on teacher preparation programs. Use *completers* to refer to those who finish a program. Use a consistent format and labeling for all assessments, tables, and data reporting. Label uploaded documents that accompany the SPA report with titles that refer to the number and name of the assessment, e.g., “Assessment#2 CultureProject.” Use terminology in rubrics that appears in documents listed under *New guidelines for preparing the ACTFL/NCATE report* (Glisan, et al., n.d.), or in the *ACTFL/NCATE Program Review Standards* (ACTFL, 2002) or in the *Standards for Foreign Language Learning in the 21st Century* (NSFLEP, 2006).

**List of Program Faculty**

Provide only information about those who deal directly with teacher candidates, e.g., the professor of the methods class or the person who supervises
student teaching from the institution. Do not list the faculty members who teach language, literature, and culture courses, or those who teach the general educational foundational courses, or the cooperating teachers in the schools where student teaching takes place.

**Successful Program Reports**

Look at sample successful program reports available on the NCATE Web site at http://www.ncate.org/programreview/programReportSamples.asp?ch=37. Give credit to the authors of the reports if you adapt any of their materials.

**Align Assessments with Standards**

There are seven required assessments, an eighth optional assessment, and six standards. While a single assessment may address more than one standard, on the list of assessments, you should not check all the boxes for all standards. Check only those standards that the assessment primarily addresses. Be sure that the description shows how the assessment and the standard(s) are aligned. Typically a single assessment will align with 2 or 3 standards. For reference of assessments and standards, please refer to the list of assessments provided earlier in this article.

**State Report**

Coordinate the program report for ACTFL/NCATE with the reporting the program prepares for the state. Some states have agreements with NCATE that allow for the state report to stand as the evidence of meeting ACTFL/NCATE standards. See the NCATE Web site for more information about states’ programs.

**Teacher Work Sample and Electronic Portfolio**

Consider developing a teacher work sample (TWS) to design tasks that address Standards 3, 4, and 5. For additional assistance in this area, see http://www.uni.edu/itq for the manual prepared by the Renaissance Partnership for Improving Teacher Quality Project. Consider using electronic portfolios as a way to collect information, documents, and assessments that address standards. There are examples available from George Mason University at http://mason.gmu.edu/~rfox, from Indiana University of Pennsylvania at http://www.coe.iup.edu/pttut/Portfolios.html, from Virginia Tech at http://www.soe.vt.edu/secondlanguage/portfolios.html, and at a shared wiki site at http://flvateacheredanddvlp.pbworks.com.

**Conclusion**

The national standards movement has united our profession in historic ways. Instead of seeing our differences based on the language of our specializa-
tion, teaching level, or program in which we teach, we have many opportunities to collaborate to provide high-quality instruction as we prepare F/WL teachers. By working across disciplines, we help each other ask and address the hard questions about the teaching and learning process. As research emerges, programs are sharing new types of assessments, work samples, and electronic portfolios to assess whether or not candidates are meeting expectations of the standards. As in any profession, holding ourselves to a high standard benefits all stakeholders. Engaging in the ACTFL/NCATE program review process is clearly more than an exercise in compliance; it is an interactive opportunity for genuine engagement in program update and change. While connecting our individual programs to the broader professional context that is anchored by our national standards, we as ACTFL/NCATE programs can be part of a professional dialogue that will move our profession forward in supporting teachers and teacher candidates to meet the goals of preparing students to be competent in an increasingly global and intercultural society.
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Student-Centered Instruction: Linking Career Goals and Instruction
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Abstract

In 2002 French faculty at the University of Arkansas at Little Rock began the process of curricular revision to prepare for the challenges of a standards-based French major. After five years of experience and positive evaluations from students, faculty are gratified that the number of graduates in French has increased. However, new challenges have emerged recently. Institutional pressure to graduate a larger number of majors, professional expectations that graduates have acquired a higher level of proficiency than was previously expected, and a significant change in student access to and usage of technology for communication have demanded attention and offered new opportunities. Faculty members have chosen to embrace these challenges by modifying course syllabi to provide for more student-centered learning. This article suggests strategies for a student-centered curriculum that also utilizes technology for communication in the second language (L2) similarly to the ways students communicate in their native language (L1).

Background

The challenge of increasing competency and communicative fluency in second languages among students at the University of Arkansas at Little Rock (UALR) and among citizens of Arkansas is not unique in the United States. The recent report by the Modern Language Association, Foreign Languages and Higher Education: New Structures for a Changed World (2007) recognized what it called a sense of crisis around the nation’s language deficit. At the end of the first decade of a new millennium, studies still indicate that the United States is not in a position to compete on the global stage as well as it should because of an inability to communicate in languages other than English (LOE). For more than half a century, Title VI and the Fulbright-Hays Act have promoted international and foreign language expertise, yet a report from the Committee to Review the Title VI and Fulbright-Hays International Education Programs (2007) begins by stating that “a pervasive lack of knowledge about foreign cultures and foreign language threatens the security of the United States as well as its ability to compete in the
global marketplace and produce an informed citizenry.” Another study (Robinson, Rivers, & Brecht, 2006) found that the national capacity in foreign languages and attitudes toward LOE have changed very little in recent decades.

Even so, the decades-old question, “Why study a foreign language?” continues to be posed often by students, parents, and administrators questioning second language requirements in K-12 and in higher education. The perceptions are that English is becoming increasingly the global language of business and government, that Americans rarely need to speak another language, and that individuals who speak another language did not acquire their skills in school. Why, they reason, should we waste our valuable educational time and dollars on courses we do not really need? A study funded by the Ford Foundation (Hayward, 2000) found that foreign language enrollments declined substantially as a percentage of all enrollments in higher education and that the number of four-year colleges and universities requiring a foreign language for admission dropped from nearly 34 percent in 1965 to just over 20 percent in 1995. The report further noted that among four-year institutions with language requirements only 20% required language for business majors. The most recent survey in trends in enrollments in LOE by the MLA (Furman, Goldberg, & Lusin, 2007) indicates that enrollments in LOE are rising but that still only 8.6% of total students attending postsecondary institutions were enrolled in modern language courses, less than half of the percentages reported in the 1960s. Only approximately 1 in 5 of these enrollments is in upper-level courses, indicating a continuing lack of student commitment to persistence in language study leading to fluency.

While there are significant differences in percentages of students enrolled in second languages today, discussion of perceived need and national interest replicates in many ways the findings of previous generations. Members of the President’s Commission on Foreign Language and International Studies (Perkins, 1979) concluded that foreign languages and international studies were being neglected to the detriment of national security and the nation’s economic well-being. Title VI was created in the post-Sputnik era a generation earlier to help the nation respond to the need for greater capacity in LOE.

Still, Robinson, Rivers, & Brecht (2006) reported a survey indicating that while 67% of those who learned a second language in a home environment considered themselves to be competent users of the language, only 10% of those who studied the language in a school setting considered themselves able to speak the language well or very well. This self-assessment perpetuates the frequent comment of former L2 students that they are unable to communicate with native speakers even though they have studied a L2 for several semesters or years.

Without a doubt, foreign language educators have engaged in an energetic response to this need. Researchers and language professionals have attempted for years to improve success rates among students enrolled in L2 courses, and instruction has changed dramatically. The proficiency movement is an outgrowth of the recommendations of the 1979 Perkins report. The recent development of discipline-based standards in all major academic areas is transforming education throughout the country. The Standards for Foreign Language Learning in the 21st Century (National Standards in Foreign Language Education Project, 2006)
have provided outstanding guidance for the revision of L2 programs K–16. *The National Educational Technology Standards (NETS*S) and Performance Indicators for Students* (International Society for Technology In Education, 2007) provide useful guidance in establishing appropriate expectations for students’ use of technology to create with language in the new millennium, and *Information Literacy Competency Standards for Higher Education* (Association of College and Research Libraries, 2000) assist in defining content and processes necessary for students to be considered information literate. Much work has been accomplished in understanding how students learn and retain language. Studies in self-reliance (Schunk, 1996, 2003) and (Mills, Pajares, & Herron, 2006) provide some insight into diminishing student anxiety in order to encourage persistence and achievement. Studies on the role of motivation and strategies in language learning (Gardner & Lambert, 1972), (Oxford & Crookall, 1989), (Ramage, 1990), (Oxford & Shearin, 1994), (Cohen, Weaver, & Li, 1996), (Norris & Ortega, 2000), (Masgoret & Gardner, 2003), (Graham, 2004), (Parks, & Raymond, 2004), (Kouritzin, Piquemal, & Renaud, 2009,) are essential for consideration in the modification of classroom instruction and anticipated course outcomes.

Clearly, our best efforts to date have not resulted in the improved capacity in LOE that the various commissions have envisioned. The MLA report (2007) issued a number of challenges to higher education and to second language departments to embrace a “transforming approach to language and culture study in higher education” (p. 8). Subsequently, Pope (2008), in an essay suggesting strategies for consideration in revising and improving L2 majors, has challenged the profession to do a better job in preparing today’s students for the needs and demands of this century.

Change in L2 curricula should not be contemplated, however, without recognition of forces external to second-language teaching and learning that impact the educational environment. In three short years, students graduating from high school will have completed all of their formal education in the 21st century. These millennial students or digital natives approach the topic of education and communication in specific from a perspective vastly different from what has been true up until now. Bowman (2008) posits that educators are no longer holding fast to unchanging standards of scholarship, choosing instead to meet today’s students in their world. Bauerlein (2008) goes even farther when he discusses a concern that today’s students are spending most of their education energy on learning information retrieval systems rather than on learning content. Since language acquisition requires more than information retrieval, meeting millennial students in their communicative environment and providing relevant instruction in order to encourage them to persist for longer sequences of study in the L2 become increasingly important strategies for a successful L2 program.

**Framing the Issues**

The paradigm shift in the program at UALR (Cheatham, 2008) is a concrete manifestation of Pope’s (2008) suggestion that we reevaluate the language
major in terms of faculty expectations and expectations of our students. The change in the structure of the major at UALR is radical and has resulted in an increase in the number of majors and program graduates since the curricular innovations were established in 2002. In response to Pope’s question that asks if language professionals can do a better job, the French faculty are making additional modifications that are more pragmatic, provide more relevance for students to the study of French, and offer promise for program quality and enhanced productivity.

Consonant with Pope’s suggestion that the profession should stretch its imagination in considering the form and content of a language major, this author proposes that a series of different questions should be considered when structuring courses and curricula, since one way to conceptualize the difference for educators facing digital natives is to ask different questions about the value of the educational experience.

- What motivates a millennial student to acquire knowledge?
- In what environments will this new generation of college graduates have the opportunity to use a second language?
- How can language study connect more closely to real world usage?
- How can the program connect students’ career paths and proficiency in the L2?
- How can technology used by a millennial student for L1 communication be utilized most effectively in L2 acquisition?

The approach suggested here reflects steps at the author’s university to respond to these questions and to the language deficit crisis (Furman, Goldberg, & Lusin, 2007).

**Influencing Perception**

The aphorism that perception is reality must be taken seriously by program faculty. If students or administrators perceive that the second language program or courses are not marketable or are not producing adequate numbers of graduates when compared to other academic disciplines or against an arbitrary standard, even the best L2 program with the best qualified faculty will not be valued.

Second language faculty, like colleagues in many other disciplines, generally do not believe that student recruitment and promotion of their discipline are faculty responsibilities. After all, they reason, marketing a language curriculum to prove the importance of increased national capacity to communicate in languages other than English and to increase enrollments somehow feels inappropriate and should be unnecessary since there is a documented need for more citizens fluent in a LOE. Even when some of the best materials developed by the professional language organizations are shared with students, they often resemble the same kinds of promotions for every other curriculum area and, therefore, have limited effect. Simply offering statistics suggesting that French is an important world language or providing a list of the top 10 reasons to study another language does not necessarily result in support outside the profession. It is naïve for L2 educa-
tors to assume that educators in other academic areas view second language competency as a requisite component of baccalaureate degree requirements in the 21st century. Moreover, a substantial increase in extended study abroad programs is unlikely as program and travel costs soar and exchange rates fluctuate. Financial pressures throughout higher education suggest that disciplines requiring a longer sequence of study to achieve mastery, such as second languages, are even more threatened than they would otherwise be.

The concept suggested here is to utilize the standards for connections and communities (NSFLEP, 2006) as points of departure for program promotion in the three courses that comprise the university’s L2 requirement. The first step is to seek local data, since it is too easy to dismiss national statistics as irrelevant to the local situation. At UALR, a public university in a land-locked southern state, the common perception is that Spanish is the only language that really matters, given the marked growth in the Hispanic population. However, perception is not reality in this case. France has the largest business presence in the state. France is the largest investor in terms of numbers of French-owned businesses in the state, followed by Japan, Canada, and Germany respectively (Arkansas Economic Development Commission, 2009). Not only are these data surprising, but they also add instant credibility for students to the relevance of studying French in the state, and the data capture the attention of individuals who find facts more compelling than faculty assertions that French is central to the mission of the institution.

To make the point evident to students, a very brief questionnaire has been developed (see Appendix A) for use at the beginning of the elementary and intermediate French courses to assess students’ knowledge of the applicability of the French language locally. The value of awakening students to immediate relevance of the language requirement should not be overlooked. Clearly, statistics on foreign investment vary from state to state and may be more predictable in some areas than in others. Nonetheless, the value of helping students realize that there is a significant amount of foreign investment in their state helps begin the conversation about the relevance of L2 study in the 21st century. The intent is to guide perception to be informed by authentic information rather than by hearsay comments.

A second survey helps students formulate a possible relationship between the study of French and their career goals. These surveys have only recently been developed, so it is too soon to determine whether there is a correlation between survey results and retention. However, anecdotal responses and comments indicate that students’ interest in learning French for real-world usage as opposed to simply checking off a degree requirement is increasing. The intent of this effort is to encourage students to think differently about the language requirement and to stimulate discussion and thought about how proficiency in French relates to a range of careers.

In another effort to impact perception in lower-level courses, instructors regularly pose questions in class about why students are being asked to learn and study certain vocabulary and language structures, and they also connect course assignments to real-world usage. In other words, if in a real-world situation it
would be normal to retrieve information rather than to know it, then students are encouraged to learn how to retrieve the information they need and to utilize it appropriately. If, on the other hand, it would be more common to simply know the information or answer in a given situation, then the student is encouraged to realize the importance of knowing the second language information or structure. An analogy to the study of math helps students understand the rationale of this approach. For example, most students understand that they need to know arithmetic from memory well enough to know that $8 \times 12 = 96$. However, it is not as reasonable to expect them to know $12 \times 42$ without using either pencil and paper or a calculator to determine the answer. Once they use the calculator, they understand the answer should be correct. Similarly, in French, a student should know forms and pronunciation of regular verbs in basic tenses along with the forms for a selection of very commonly used irregular verbs. On the other hand, it is reasonable to retrieve forms of less common irregular verbs, but the retrieval process must result in a correct answer. This process of teaching students to separate need for knowledge from retrieval strategies enables them to accept that language learning is a process and not purely a frustrating memory challenge. Although the approach may seem heretical to some instructors, it is appreciated by students who are much more likely to gain confidence in their language acquisition ability and to develop real-world strategies for retrieving assistance in communication.

Enhancing Student-Centered Instruction

The strategies to influence perception are supported by a number of significant changes in the elementary and intermediate courses that provide opportunities for students to choose some course content and to perform real-world functions necessary to survive in a country where the target language is spoken (Cheatham, 2008). As instruction moves into the advanced level, the skills courses are divided among the three modes of the communication standards, interpretive, interpersonal, and presentational. Faculty chose to separate the communicative modes into discrete courses at this point in sequencing the major in order to assure that each mode received significant emphasis and to encourage students to recognize that knowledge and ability in one mode is not necessarily the same as in another mode. It is also possible to allow students greater control over the course content than was true in the lower-level courses so that their motivation to communicate is enhanced. Rather than envisioning the product of these courses as though all topics are of equal value and interest to each student, some content in each course is chosen by the student and then assessed according to guidelines for evaluation established by the instructor. Reflective of the 9 Principles of Good Practice for Assessing Student Learning (American Association for Higher Education, 1996), student interest in course content and opportunities for real-world applications of the language studied are recognized as important. If students do not perceive that their efforts and energies are resulting in increased ability to use the language for purposes that matter to them, they are unlikely to persist in subsequent courses.
Objectives for the interpretive course build on strategies from the elementary and intermediate courses that are extrapolated from Krashen’s (1985) input hypothesis, which posits that learners acquire language through comprehensible input by reading or hearing language containing structures a little beyond their current level of ability. Instructors work with students to select authentic materials in students’ areas of interest for listening and reading input. Thus, the interpretive syllabus includes an overt attempt to encourage students to acquire language that interests them from sources they use. On the one hand, they bring to the tasks schemata that assist them in interpreting accurately. In addition, they participate in retrieving the information they will interpret. Given that information retrieval is recognized as one of the skills valued by millennial students, the information to be interpreted appears more relevant to the student. Again, utilizing the theme that perception is reality, if the students perceive they have the opportunity to select the message, they understand more readily that the language they are learning is relevant to their interests and career goals.

This approach offers an additional benefit. Inasmuch as students may enter the three required communication-mode courses in any sequence, some students may have completed only nine credit hours of French before enrolling in one of the courses, while others will have earned more credit hours. The content in the courses is not sequential, and anecdotal evidence from several years of experience indicates that students progress at least as well as was true when a required sequence was expected.

In this student-centered environment, the challenge for the instructor is to assure that students’ work is assessed equitably, recognizing that students are not working with the same input. While the format suggested here might not be a perfect solution, the students perceive it as fair, and it does give the instructor needed evidence of progress. The equitable assessment is regulated in the expectations established for student performance. For example, all students are required to listen to four 10-minute segments of news broadcasts from French media sources weekly. While it is likely that students invest differing amounts of energy in this assignment, they are asked to listen not more than four times to each selection. Sources are suggested by the instructor from mainstream media such as France 2 or Radio France Internationale. However, students may choose to listen to news from other sources if they request source approval from the instructor. They select what they listen to, they maintain a journal in which they document the source, date, time, and segment(s), and they provide bulleted notes in English of what they understood on at least three of the stories they selected. They are asked to identify the selection they found most difficult and to state why they found it difficult. The instructor, then, randomly chooses one of the selections identified by a student as difficult for in-class listening by the entire class. All students work cooperatively toward comprehension in an attempt to understand as much as possible from the selection, using a team approach similar to the collaboration required for peer editing of written work. Among the advantages of this activity is the fact that students are responsible for selecting topics of interest to them and they are required to share that interest with other students. The real-world value of
this activity is clear to them. Not only is the listening activity itself authentic, but also it is likely that in a real-world listening experience, friends, or colleagues would work cooperatively to infer meaning from a situation if they needed to understand what was occurring. In-class listening activities in another unit utilize You Tube instructional segments in French in which students are asked to interpret details on topics of general interest.

In an interpretive reading unit, students demonstrate their information retrieval skills by selecting printed materials in French related to their individual career focus. If it is reasonable that the material would be accessed online in a non-academic setting, then this medium is acceptable for students to use to find authentic information for the class assignment. If, however, it would be more likely that information would be retrieved from a non Web-based source, then that medium should be used. In the first interpretive activity of the unit, students are asked to read for the gist looking up no more than five words deemed to be essential. An assessment rubric is provided (see Appendix B) so that students understand that successful completion of this component does not require complete, detail comprehension, but only comprehension adequate to understand the general content of the selection. The rubric is also designed to reflect L1 interpretive behavior and to encourage development of skimming, scanning, and reading for the gist skills in the L2.

Once students have established the topic of interest and worked with several self-selected documents, the instructor provides a new document on the same topic for students to interpret as the unit assessment. When students select the focus of the unit and understand in advance the evaluative criteria, they are more engaged in learning language since it is pertinent to their own interests, and they also understand the real-world value of interpretive communication skills in the L2.

In the first week of the interpersonal communication course, students select a social networking environment (e.g., blog, wiki, Facebook) to be used by all class members for dissemination of interpersonal entries. Course units are structured to require students to perform functions that they would carry out in L1 communication. Communicative tasks that reflect authentic situations are organized according to functions. For example, one function that students can easily relate to is complaining. During the preparation phase of the unit, a variety of situations in which it would be common to express complaints are utilized for role-play. Students are paired randomly, and they have the opportunity to refine the situation they attempt. The medium they choose must demonstrate a real-world environment. For example, a face-to-face medium would be logical for a problem with an item that was not properly cleaned by the dry cleaner or for problems in a restaurant or a hotel. Another authentic situation might be complaining to a friend about another person or situation using e-mail, a blog, or Facebook. On the other hand, contacting a landlord about a problem with living accommodations while studying abroad would be a reasonable written activity.

The study of structure is derived from the function studied in the unit. The structure emphasis for the unit on complaining is the subjunctive to express
volition, sentiments, and doubt. In addition, alternative structures and syntax are emphasized. The final unit activity, again assessed using a rubric, takes advantage of the premise that since there are a number of French-owned companies in the area near the university, there are native speakers of the language in the community. Because many students have a job while in school, the situation assumes the student is at work and encounters a native speaker of French who comes to the student’s workplace to complain about a problem. The student must identify the problem and assist in obtaining a solution.

The third course in the communications sequence focuses on the presentational mode. Aware that computer literacy varies among students and that some students may not request assistance as readily as others, instructors have added a presentational skill assessment at the beginning of the course to assure that all students begin the class with basic functional competence in presentational software. During the third class meeting, each student presents a brief presentational slide show on a topic of the student’s choosing comprised of a minimum of 10 frames including 3 inserted pictures or clip art, some animation, and at least 1 slide with text in French. Inclusion of a sound file is optional. The instructor is available to assist if a student feels particularly challenged by this requirement, but most students are either already competent or obtain assistance in the department’s computer lab or another campus lab. The addition of this ungraded assessment at the beginning of the course enables instructors to separate technical challenges from L2 problems. Students who were new to the software seemed to feel disadvantaged, and it was difficult for the instructor to differentiate language problems from technical challenges.

Beyond the usage of presentational software, students create podcasts and vodcasts of their information using GarageBand and Imovie. As is true in the other communication courses, the application of current technologies to language acquisition provides relevance to real-world communication that was missing in the early implementation of the revised curriculum. If students are not already comfortable using current technologies and applications for communication, by the end of the course they feel they have improved their language skill and gained technical expertise. While it is always important for the instructor to remember that the goal of the course is L2 communication and not just learning technology, it is clear that students engage in the required activities with a more genuine effort than was typical in the traditional advanced skills courses. Technology standards (ISTE, 2007) provide guidance in terms of expectations for student capacity to use the relevant technologies and are referenced on the course syllabus in order to help students understand that what they are being asked to do is respected beyond the local university. Students who need assistance in any using technology or software application are encouraged to use the languages resource center, to work in partnership with other students, to seek assistance in other campus computer labs or to ask the instructor for help.

Continuing this program faculty’s commitment to enable students to connect their academic preparation, L2 knowledge, and career goals at the senior level, students are encouraged to enroll in an internship and to complete a senior project as the capstone of their undergraduate major. These senior-level courses along
with other advanced-level courses beyond the communication sequence all require evidence of integrated communication.

While efforts to establish venues for internships with local French-owned companies are only beginning, program faculty anticipate that opportunities for internships will increase as more businesses and students understand the potential value of the experience. However, some logistical challenges to the internship course exist since most students at UALR are employed while attending classes. Program faculty hope that by sharing information with students about the significant presence of French companies in the state and of the value of professional connections offered through internships students will become more interested in participating in an internship experience and find ways to incorporate the experience into their weekly routine.

The senior project is a very successful component of the revised degree program. Conceptualized as a project rather than a senior paper, this course allows flexibility for students in choice of topic and method of delivery (e.g., in person, Web site, remote location). Most importantly, the capstone is designed to encourage each student to produce a work on a topic of interest and related to personal career goals.

Working with a faculty mentor, each student begins by proposing a topic, a work plan, and a vision for the course product. Often, this phase is time-consuming for both the instructor and the student if the student has not been considering the project in previous courses. For this reason, as students are developing topics in the presentational class, the instructor encourages them to begin thinking about topics or projects that they might like to consider should they enroll in the senior project. Once the topic and plan are approved, each student meets at least monthly with the faculty mentor to assess progress and discuss the next steps. Often, a student becomes so engrossed in the topic selected that it is difficult to complete the entire project in one semester. If such is the case, the student is allowed to continue working toward completion in the following semester, when the project is presented.

While the topics and products vary widely, each project must contain research that utilizes French language resources and be presented orally in French by the student to an audience unfamiliar with the topic. Some students have chosen a topic that connects to their plan for graduate studies. For example, one student’s research on French photography resulted in a portfolio used in the student’s application to study photography in France. Another student majoring in French and in art created a Web site displaying her jewelry creations as part of a portfolio for graduate study in France. Other students have focused their research on job searches. One prepared an application portfolio for work as a golf instructor in a French-speaking club, and another created an original screenplay that depicted cultural differences in an encounter between a French and an American student. An additional group, embracing the connections standard (NSFLEP, 2006), has developed a project using knowledge of French to research a topic in another major. One student, originally from Haiti, prepared a project in French and in English on her native country for usage in elementary school social studies.
units, while another, fascinated by the transcendentalist ideas of 19th century American authors, used his research as a lens to consider the Revolution of 1968 in France. These examples cited reflect the breadth and variety of ways students have connected their personal interests and career goals with their acquired skill in French language. It is likely that several of these students would not have persisted in studying French to obtain a major had it not been possible for them to use their acquired French skills to enhance their career goals.

In addition to the five courses described in detail, the major includes a number of courses with more traditional descriptions, including culture and civilization, pronunciation, and writings of the Francophone world. These more traditional courses blend with courses in which students are expected to assume responsibility for the applied use of the language so that the major these students have earned has decidedly more relevance to 21st century language usage than was true before the program reform.

Although these course modifications may not be precisely the madness referenced by Pope (2008), they have been enthusiastically embraced by students. Instead of simply finishing the number of hours required for a degree and graduating, students are engaged in a culminating academic experience that can be a useful career-focused product. After five years of experience and work with a number of students, it would be difficult to consider returning to the previous degree structure that had been modified only slightly in the previous half century.

Reflections

Within the new structure some adjustments have occurred to encourage students to take more responsibility for course content and connecting the input they use for communicative purposes to topics of real-world interest to them using the communicative technologies they use in real life. Students who begin studying French at UALR willingly accept the challenges of self-direction as described above. Even those students who are not the most proficient in French language may excel in the usage of technology and be very passionate about using French in their careers. Technical competence or individual commitment often compensates limited L2 fluency. The opportunity to regain momentum in language acquisition in an advanced course is an important component in the effort to encourage students to persist in language study for longer sequences. Otherwise, as Graham (2004) notes, the less committed learners may become frustrated or overwhelmed with language-learning anxiety and abandon L2 study after satisfying the language requirement.

However, instructors have recognized that students who did not begin their L2 study in this or a similar program may find such student-centered responsibility for course content and assessment overwhelming. This attitude is supported by Luke (2006), who determined that open-ended, student-centered courses are often disconcerting to students who are unaccustomed to this approach to learning. It is, therefore, important for the instructor to pay close attention to student preparation and success at the beginning of each course in order to encourage
persistence. Instructors are advised to seek out students and offer assistance in an effort to encourage persistence. Pope (2008) rightly observes that students’ points of view with respect to degree requirements and those of faculty are very often vastly different, and the logic of the organization of requirements leading to a degree is not easily visible to the student. Explaining the curriculum and course expectations and helping students to acquire learning strategies will enhance not only the students’ performance but also their willingness to persist.

Conclusion

Students’ views of themselves as learners have shifted toward information retrievers rather than reservoirs of knowledge in the digital age, so it is valid to suggest that educators must reframe their understanding of their role in the classroom. The instructor in this new environment recognizes a primary role as knowledgeable facilitator. If students understand that success depends on their ability to produce, they are more likely to engage actively in the learning process. Additionally, if instructors relinquish control of some of the course content to student choice, they do not have to be the expert in all fields. Inevitably, there will be topics selected by students about which the instructor knows little, even were the material in English. Rather than viewing this reality as a roadblock to student-centered learning, it is an opportunity to empower the student as learner. After all, it is not necessary for an orchestra leader to play every instrument to know whether or not each musician is playing the right notes in the right key.
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**Appendix A**

**Arkansas and the World**

Please answer the following questions for the local area.

1. What foreign country has the largest ownership of companies in the state of Arkansas?
2. Is there a French-owned company in central Arkansas?
   Yes _____ No _____
3. Rank these countries in order by number of foreign-owned operations in Arkansas (1 being largest number)
   _____ Belgium
   _____ Canada
   _____ Denmark
   _____ France
   _____ Germany
   _____ Japan
4. Do foreign companies employ more or fewer than 30,000 workers in Arkansas?
5. What industry is this state’s number one export area?
Appendix B

Sample rubric for evaluation of Interpretive activity – 25 points

Using weighted point values for 4 elements of interpretive communication, the instructor uses this rubric to evaluate student understanding of written material.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interpretive (comprehension)</th>
<th>25-23 points</th>
<th>22-18 points</th>
<th>17 or fewer points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Meets expectations</td>
<td>Approaches expectations</td>
<td>Does not meet expectations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Understands main idea</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 points</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Explains main idea in own words</td>
<td>Underlines key sentences referring to main idea</td>
<td>Is unable to find main idea</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comprehends key vocabulary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 points</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infers meaning of more than 5 non-cognate key terms</td>
<td>Explains, from context, the general meaning of selected terms and cognates</td>
<td>Is able to define or translate fewer than 5 of the key vocabulary words</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infers author’s attitude</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 points</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Underlines words/phrases indicating author’s attitude</td>
<td>Words/phrases selected do not all indicate attitude</td>
<td>Unable to find words or phrases indicating author’s attitude</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infers cultural perspective</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 points</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identifies words/phrases related to cultural perspective</td>
<td>Words/phrases selected do not all reflect cultural perspective</td>
<td>Unable to identify words/phrases related to cultural perspective</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Sample rubric for evaluation of Presentational activity – 50 points

Using weighted point values of 5 elements, the instructor uses this rubric to evaluate student preparation and presentation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presentational</th>
<th>55-51 points</th>
<th>50 points</th>
<th>49-40 points</th>
<th>39-fewer points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Exceeds expectations</td>
<td>Meets expectations</td>
<td>Approaches expectations</td>
<td>Does not meet expectations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comprehensibility 10 points</td>
<td>Documents are clearly comprehensible to all</td>
<td>Document(s) are generally comprehensible to teacher and those unaccustomed to dealing with language learners</td>
<td>Not uniformly comprehensible to teacher or those unaccustomed to dealing with language learners</td>
<td>Difficult to comprehend consistently</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language Control 12 points</td>
<td>Accuracy in spelling and sentence structure evident throughout presentation</td>
<td>Uses structures required to make meaning understandable to readers with reasonable accuracy in spelling and sentence structure</td>
<td>Some lack of accuracy in spelling and sentence structure</td>
<td>Significant errors in spelling and sentence structure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vocabulary Use 10 points</td>
<td>Uses appropriate and idiomatic expressions to communicate content</td>
<td>Uses appropriate vocabulary required to make meaning understandable to readers</td>
<td>Lacks consistent use of new/appropriate vocabulary although some evidence is present</td>
<td>Little evidence of effort to incorporate new vocabulary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication 8 points</td>
<td>Circumlocution and restatement are used regularly</td>
<td>Script employs strategies appropriate to topic for assuring understanding</td>
<td>Some evidence of strategies to ensure understanding</td>
<td>Few strategies to assure understanding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultural Awareness 10 points</td>
<td>Extraordinary evidence of attempt to imbed contemporary culture</td>
<td>Information presented is culturally accurate and appropriate to the topic</td>
<td>Information presented is mostly culturally accurate and appropriate to the topic</td>
<td>Significant lack of cultural awareness or accuracy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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When I was first asked to speak today, I really wasn’t sure where I’d start. I could start by saying how important it is to be able to express yourself in a different language, or perhaps that languages open doors and widen your horizon to an extent you never thought was possible. Then I realized I would be talking to people who really don’t need to be convinced of the importance of languages, so I decided to start my talk with a little about my personal background. When I mention that I am originally from The Netherlands most people say, “You’re from Europe, so it’s no wonder you speak different languages.” Well, I’d like to elaborate a little on how the Dutch came to place such great emphasis on languages and how language teaching works in The Netherlands.

In the second part of my speech, I want to talk more in detail about my present employer, The Georgia Department of Economic Development, and how Georgia places itself in a world that gets smaller and more international every day. I will also talk about my job and how speaking foreign languages helps me in my day-to-day work in economic development.

Let’s first talk about The Netherlands. This small nation of 16 million inhabitants in the heart of Western Europe became one of the world’s major powers, with a huge colonial empire on all continents. So, how did this small, wet delta stand its ground despite being in the crossroads of other major maritime and continental powers? The answer is: by being practical. Without going into too much detail about the history of The Netherlands, or we would still be here tomorrow, one can say that the geographical location of The Netherlands exposed the country to the rest of the world, whether it liked it or not. But it also created immense possibilities. From the very earliest times, the Dutch looked elsewhere for ways to optimize prosperity and financial gain.

The Dutch quickly realized that they needed free trade. And they also realized that the most crucial ingredient in a thriving economy is tolerance. Back in the sixteenth century, The Netherlands was a nation of immigrants, a safe haven for people prosecuted for their ethnic or religious beliefs from all over Europe. The Netherlands brought together talented craftsmen, scientists, and artists thereby
creating the momentum for the rise of the Dutch colonial empire. During the sixteenth century, Amsterdam was truly the center of the world, and many different languages were spoken there. Why the rulers of that time let things be is very simple: they didn’t care. Religion was then and still remains a very personal matter in The Netherlands, and the society is still very individualistic.

One of the many colonies the Dutch established was called New Netherland, with its capital New Amsterdam, nowadays known as New York. The Dutch exported their tradition of tolerance from Old Amsterdam to New Amsterdam. Quickly the word spread across the globe and the outpost filled with people of all trades and backgrounds. The city that was in name Dutch was in fact a melting pot of all cultures and ethnicities thinkable. The main language was Dutch, but also widely spoken were German, English, Swedish, French, Yiddish, Finnish, and Polish, just to name a few. Tolerance was not in itself a goal; it was merely a means of achieving the real goal, which was free trade. And the same can be said about the languages spoken. The Dutch spoke different languages as a tool to help develop their colonies and better their own status.

Meanwhile, New York City changed hands, became English, and subsequently American. But in a way, not much has changed. It still is that dynamic city, the financial and commercial capital of the world. And it still breathes the international atmosphere that is created by people from all over the world in a place where they can live their lives, regardless of their language, ethnic background, or culture. Nevertheless, the Dutch left a mark on the city with places like Flushing, Brooklyn, and Coney Island that were once called Vlissingen, Breukelen, and Coneyn Eylandt, just to name a few.

New York is just one example of how the Dutch administered a colony. The Netherlands was a major colonial empire, along with England, France, Spain, and Portugal. However, this fact is not reflected by the number of people that nowadays speak Dutch. While there are almost 300 million people in the world today who speak Portuguese, there are roughly only 25 million Dutch speakers, mainly in The Netherlands and Belgium. Why is there such a discrepancy in the numbers? Again, as I said earlier, the Dutch didn’t care. They never colonized with the purpose of expanding a culture or a language. They wanted trade, and that goal prompted them to be practical. Language served as a tool and a means. I am not telling you all this information as part of a public relations campaign on the glory of the colonial history of The Netherlands. I simply want to explain the nature of the Dutch vis-à-vis languages, back then and now. The point is that every European country has its own unique cultural and historic background. I do think smaller countries are more inclined to teach other languages, but that reason alone is not enough to explain why and how they teach different languages.
Languages are still considered to be incredibly important in the Dutch educational system today. Just like in the seventeenth century, the Dutch still need to know other languages in order to stand our ground in this world. Dutch and English are obligatory throughout elementary school and high school, which typically ends when a student is about 18 years old. Even in college, many subjects are taught in English. The Netherlands is a country that is traditionally still very much focused on the UK and the USA, and consequently many television programs are broadcast in English. And unlike other European countries, shows are not translated but subtitled. These broadcasts and subtitles greatly help children to understand English. In addition to English, a minimum of two years of German and French are taught, and most students study one or both languages throughout high school. Many schools in The Netherlands now also offer Arabic, Turkish, Russian, and Spanish. All these choices mean that Dutch children have at least some basic knowledge in several languages. In Europe, along with the Swedes, Norwegians, and Danes, the Dutch are ranked highest in terms of the number of languages spoken.

Now let’s talk about how all these points relate to economic development and, therefore, back to Georgia. As I said before, I work with the Georgia Department of Economic Development. This group of roughly 250 people works to promote Georgia in different areas. Our headquarters are right here in Atlanta, and we have 10 regional representations elsewhere in the State. In addition, we have 10 international offices in Toronto, Mexico City, Santiago de Chile, Munich, London, Jerusalem, Tokyo, Seoul, and Beijing. Our department covers all aspects of economic development. We have a trade department that helps Georgian companies to export their products; we have a tourism department to put Georgia on the map of people throughout the world; we have a film and entertainment department that offers Georgia as a location for films and TV shows; and we also develop all Georgia marketing campaigns and materials. Georgia is a global player. We organize trade missions and we visit trade fairs. We are always looking for leads because we must. There is fierce competition among the states in the southeastern region. In Georgia we need to do what we can to market ourselves in order to remain competitive, including focusing on speaking different languages.

At the Georgia Department of Economic Development, we employ several people who speak different languages, including German, French, Spanish, Italian, Dutch, Greek, Polish, Korean, Japanese, and Mandarin Chinese. The languages that are most important in my job are definitely German and French. While we realize that English is the world’s main language for conducting business, there is no doubt that if you want to close the deal, being able to interact in other languages makes a crucial difference in economic development.
I work in the department that seeks to attract foreign direct investments to Georgia. My job as International Business Concierge was created by Governor Perdue. My department helps foreign managers and executives with their transition when they want to move to Georgia. These managers and executives bring jobs here and we want them to feel welcome. We want them to stay here and spread the word back in their home countries that Georgia is the place to be. The State of Georgia hired me because of my ability to speak different languages, the fact that I went through the process of moving to Georgia, and also because of my previous experience in economic development. The funny thing is that economic development is pretty much the same anywhere on the planet: it is all about relationships.

When I worked in The Netherlands, I was the Dutch government country specialist for Russia and Central Asia, especially Kazakhstan. My job was to assist companies in their efforts to enter the Russian and Kazakhstani markets. I needed to stay aware of the ever-changing circumstances in these countries as well as commercial opportunities. Traveling to these countries and visiting active companies was instrumental in doing my job successfully. My employer recognized that I needed to be able to communicate in these places and offered to pay for Russian lessons. I had a private tutor from Kyrgyzstan to teach me Russian. This rule applied to other languages as well; there were small classes of Spanish, Chinese, Polish, French, and Portuguese. And when in Russia, being able to speak their language really opens doors. My Russian is certainly not fluent, but even speaking basic Russian makes a Russian open up to you right away. You will earn his trust and respect and you will see how warm and welcoming Russians really are. And in Russia, you do business at the dinner table, sharing laughs and enjoying many vodka toasts and na zdarovyes.

I have been in my present position for a year now, and it has been an incredible experience. Moving to a different country is never easy, and Georgia is no exception. But there are definitely some issues that people need to overcome. One of the main problems is for people to establish credit. It is a rather complicated procedure, and it really helps to be able to explain it in German, for example. Individuals’ comfort levels increase if you can conduct meetings in their mother tongue.

In my job, I use my ability to speak different languages every day, mainly with my international clients. But I have a spider-in-the-web role, interacting with several parties like the 40 bi-national chambers and 60 diplomatic representations, local communities, commercial service providers, and other stakeholders. I try to make connections so that people get the realtor who speaks Japanese, the tax attorney who understands how the Danish tax system works, and an immigration attorney with experience with helping Chinese clients. Another very important
aspect is to explain cross cultural differences . . . not only to foreigners, but also in local communities in Georgia. Languages help make cultural barriers smaller.

So, what other roles do languages play a role in economic development? As I said before, economic development is all about relationships and gaining someone’s trust and respect. When you are on a business trip in Austria, an executive may not have intended to invite you for a dinner at his house. However, after hearing you speak German, he may end up doing so, and that is where you close a deal. There are numerous examples where you would have an advantage simply because you can speak in someone’s own language. Bear in mind that if you work with international project managers in the US, they may have been sent to the US because they speak English, but the actual CEO or president of the company may not. When you are in a meeting, it is very respectful and simply more practical to your prospects to conduct the meeting in their native language. We also make many presentations to inform prospects or incoming delegations, and we always try to do these in their own national languages. There is no better way to increase individuals’ comfort levels or convey their culture than in their own language.

To conclude, I consider speaking one or more languages as something functional. To me, learning a language should never be the goal itself but a means or a tool to achieve something else, whether to understand the deeper meaning of certain literature or work in economic development.
The previous history of the Southern Conference on Language Teaching (SCOLT) from its origins through 1989 was undertaken by Herman F. Bostick, SCOLT founder and first co-editor of the conference proceedings; James S. Gates, past SCOLT Secretary-Treasurer; and Robert Terry, past SCOLT Chair and co-editor of *Dimension*. The history was published in the Silver Anniversary edition, *Perspectives and Horizons Dimension: Languages ’89*. The SCOLT Board recommended that a two-decade summary of SCOLT’s history be included in this current volume as illumination for the Index, which also appears. The authors of this current two-decade history are Lee Bradley, Executive Director from 1988-1999, and Lynne McClendon, Executive Director since 1999.

**History of SCOLT from 1990-2010**

1990-2000: *Calm before the Storm*

The 1990s ushered in a new optimism with the close of the Cold War era as the USSR officially dissolved on December 25, 1991. While a relatively non-threatening scene appeared beyond our borders, the debates on “English Only” were just beginning to rise inside the U.S. The Clinton Administration opposed this legislation, and by the mid 1990s an alternative legislation known as “English Plus” was set in motion. The unfortunate casualties of the “English Only” movement were many wonderful bilingual language programs.

While the bilingual issue occupied the political agenda, the American Council on the Teaching of Foreign Languages (ACTFL), in collaboration with many allied language organizations, began creating learning standards in tandem with the new standards movement sweeping the educational landscape. The *ACTFL Standards for Foreign Language Learning* debuted in 1996, although they had been in committees and drafts for a few years prior. SCOLT capitalized on the standards in the conference themes, such as “Addressing the
Standards for Foreign Language Learning” in 1997, “Communications, Cultures, Connections, Comparisons, and Communities” in 1998, and “Connections Beyond the Classroom” in 1999. The decade ended with the U.S. Census report for 2000 showing that the foreign-born population in the United States had grown by 57.4%, over 31 million people. The 2000 SCOLT Conference theme “New Millennium, New Directions” perhaps anticipated that a sense of change was imminent for the coming decade.

As an organization, SCOLT began to recognize outstanding instructors with the “Outstanding Teaching Awards,” and members who had made significant contributions to SCOLT over the years were given the SCOLT Founders Award. Four SCOLT Founders were recognized during this decade: Elizabeth Epting, the first SCOLT Secretary-Treasurer (the designation later changed to Executive Director); Joanna Breedlove Crane, past SCOLT Chair and longtime participant; Herman F. Bostick, SCOLT founder, and Lee Bradley, the fourth Executive Director for SCOLT since its founding. In 1995 SCOLT awarded its first language study scholarship offered through the French Cultural Services of the French Embassy. By the end of this decade, the scholarship program grew to three awards: one in French given by the French Embassy, one in German given by the Goethe Institute and American Association of Teachers of german (AATG), and one in Spanish given by the Spanish Embassy.

The early 1990s also gave rise to the expansion of the SCOLT Web site, which Lee Bradley, Executive Director initiated with assistance from colleague Grady Lacy at Valdosta State University. During Lee’s tenure, SCOLT was the beneficiary of extensive administrative support provided by Valdosta State. The organization began to utilize the Web site as a means to reach more of its constituents in the region. The current Web site with its unique URL evolved from these earlier efforts.

2001-2010: The Storm and the Aftermath

The multilingual world expressed deep sadness for the events of September 11, 2001. As the U.S. government began assessing ways to prevent such events in the future, the nation almost immediately discovered that there were not sufficiently trained speakers of languages (other than English) to call upon for assistance, especially for the less commonly taught languages. While the Homeland Security Act of 2002 highlighted our lack of speakers of other languages, the nation looked inward at its educational system to ensure a citizenry equipped to meet the challenges of the 21st century.

The No Child Left Behind Act (NCLB) of 2001 enacted January 8, 2002, required all public schools to administer a state-wide standardized test annually to all students to determine if schools were preparing students for success. The unfortunate consequences of this enactment tended to shift funding for instruction for core subjects (state testing) and for remedial special education, while many other programs languished or were dissolved. As the importance of assess-
ment as an instructional tool began developing in the 90s, the increased emphasis on assessment and evaluation was explored to a greater extent in the next decade. Eight articles in *Dimension* volumes during this period reflect this emphasis on assessment and evaluation; and in 2004, SCOLT selected as its theme “Assessment Practices in Foreign Language Education.”

During this period of time, SCOLT experienced exponential growth as a professional organization. The number of scholarships increased to eight with donations from the University of Québec at Chicoutimi, the French Cultural Services of the French Embassy, Cemanahuac Educational Community, the Embassy of Spain, the Goethe Institute and AATG, Estudio Sampere, Centro MundoLengua, and, in 2010 the Academia Latinoamericana, the Linguistics department of Equatorialis University. SCOLT’s awards recognition program also increased to include “Friends of World Languages.” The longstanding award for “Outstanding Teacher of the Year K-12 and Post-Secondary” was renamed “Teacher of Excellence K-12 and Post-Secondary,” and a new award was developed to allow SCOLT to participate in regional and national competitions for the “World Languages Teacher of the Year.” This new award grew out of the work of *New Visions in Action: Foreign Language Education*, spearheaded by Duarte Silva, Chair of the Task Force for Teacher Recruitment and Retention for New Visions. The new “Language Teacher of the Year” award was given by ACTFL to recognize K-12 language teachers from each region, who then competed at the national level. One regional recipient was chosen and announced at the annual ACTFL conference. The kickoff for this new award began in 2005, designated by ACTFL as the “Year of Languages.” Ken Stewart, member of the SCOLT Board of Directors and SCOLT’s candidate for the national competition, was selected as the first national language teacher of the year at the 2005 ACTFL conference.

The SCOLT Founders Award was given to acknowledge the long-time support, contributions, and participation of the following members: Frank W. Medley, Jr., former President and *Dimension* Editor; Bruce Fryer, former *Dimension* Editor; Paula Heusinkveld, former *Dimension* Co-Editor; Robert Terry, former President and *Dimension* Editor; Billie O. Edmonds, former President; Helen Zimmer-Loew, frequent presenter; David Alley, former President and *Dimension* Editor; Carolyn L. Hansen, former President and *Dimension* publisher; Greg Duncan, frequent presenter; and Sharon Rapp, former President and long-time conference registrar. One of the former recipients of the SCOLT Founders Award, Herman F. Bostick (1997), set up a special scholarship incentive for first-time conference attendees to be awarded at the 2010 conference. Many SCOLT members have contributed to the success of the organization by seeking opportunities to serve the profession.

The SCOLT Board realized that it needed a shepherd to lead advocacy efforts not only for the Board but also for the state organizations; and hence, the position of “Advocacy Director” was created. From 2003 to 2006, SCOLT sponsored Foreign Language Advocacy Summer Camps for members of state language organizations. The camps provided opportunities to share information and discuss ways to help strengthen state organizations and to fine-tune services offered
by SCOLT. Most importantly, states learned various ways of approaching advocacy. Most states appointed advocacy directors or tasked a board member to fill this role if such a position did not exist. Following the success of the camp, the advocacy gathering became a regular part of the pre-conference day at the yearly meeting.

**New Initiatives and Ongoing Projects**

**Dimension Index, 1980 to 2009**

In 2002, Maurice Cherry, President and Co-Editor of *Dimension*, proposed that he assemble an index for the *Dimension* journals, beginning with 1980. It was originally thought that this project might be ready for publication for the “Year of Languages” in 2005; however, the task was an enormous undertaking. While SCOLT provided limited funding for assistance at the initial outset of the project, Maurice called upon a Furman Advantage Research fellow interning in his department. The project’s on-going work has been due to the support of Maurice’s department at Furman University with the lion’s share of the work on the Index completed by Maurice, to whom SCOLT is indebted for this valuable contribution.

**Careers Project**

Prior to starting her term as SCOLT president in 2005, Carol Wilkerson proposed the development of a Web page for Foreign Language Careers Information. All board members contributed to the development of the project. The information is free and available for download on the SCOLT Web site www.scolt.org.

**SCOLT Assessment Project**

Sue Barry, President of SCOLT in 2006, attended an Assessment Summit sponsored through *New Visions in Action*. She encouraged the Board to consider a role for SCOLT in emphasizing assessment. As a result, a grant was issued throughout the SCOLT region for K-12 school districts that would commit to training the entire foreign language staff to develop and use performance-based assessments (PBAs). Four applications were submitted, and the selection committee, headed by past president Peggy Bilbro, chose Richmond County School District in Augusta, Georgia. Greg Duncan, former ACTFL President and long-time SCOLT member, was selected as the project facilitator. The training sessions began in the summer of July 2007, and the field testing of the PBAs and rubrics took place from August 2007 to January 2008. Follow-up training sessions were provided in February and May of 2008. As a result of its work, the Richmond County Foreign Language Department was tapped to make assessment contributions to the newly revised language standards for the Georgia Department of Education Web site. The culmination of this project was a presentation given by the Richmond County Foreign Language Group at the 2009 SCOLT Conference co-hosted with the Foreign Language Association of Georgia (FLAG) and the Southeast Association of Language
Learning Technology (SEALLT). The group was headed by the coordinator, Dr. Penny Johnson, who was instrumental in all phases of the project.

Southern Initiative for Language Leadership (SILL) Project

In 2007 Lynn Fulton-Archer, Advocacy Director and SCOLT President in 2009, investigated various leadership activities that SCOLT might undertake. She brought to the Board’s attention the work of the Western Initiative for Language Leadership (WILL), sponsored by the Center for Applied Second Language Studies (CASLS), a Language Resource Center funded by the U.S. Department of Education. CASLS collaborated with the Pacific Northwest Conference for Languages for a successful leadership program and later received permission to extend the grant-funded initiative to other regions. Lynn worked with Greg Hopper-Moore, the WILL project leader, to create a brochure to promote SILL in the language organizations in the SCOLT states. Early in 2008, 20 candidates were selected to participate in the first summer induction process held at the Simpsonwood Lodge and Conference Center in Duluth, Georgia. At the close of the week-long session, the participants had begun to develop plans for action research they were to conduct during the 2008-2009 school year. To keep the project alive and active, CASLS provided a special SILL-NET site for each participant as a way of sharing and disseminating information throughout the year. In the summer of 2009, 14 participants were able to return to the same location. One participant was deployed to Iraq and was unable to be with the group. Another participant, who became known as “Beth in a Box” sent her laptop in a FedEx box to the group leader so she could Skype in each day of the program because the imminent birth of her child did not permit travel. The program for this second summer featured a mini-conference in which each participant presented the action research project completed prior to arriving. The participants agreed that the initiative had been successful in helping them to assume leadership roles more effectively, to improve their own classroom management, and to hone their instructional skills. The group members were encouraged to present their action research at SCOLT and ACTFL.

A Look to the Future:

The current economic situation will likely cause organizations to examine their operations, and SCOLT is no different. In the future, SCOLT will rely more on the use of technology to improve and facilitate its outreach and information delivery. Already in this first decade of the 21st century, SCOLT has moved to on-line submission of conference proposals and has placed the 2009 spring edition of SCOLTalk at the SCOLT Web site, where future editions will be published and archived. The 2010 conference theme, “Communication Beyond the Classroom,” embraces technology used in today’s classrooms to move students and teachers beyond the classroom walls in meaningful ways. At the 2010 conference, we come together again as SCOLT celebrates its 45th anniversary to “to look at the future of foreign language teaching and of SCOLT” (Fordham, 1990,vii).
References


SCOLT History 1980-2010

2010 Winston-Salem, NC Communication Beyond the Classroom
SCOLT President: Nancy Decker, FL
Dimension Editor: Carol Wilkerson:

2009 Atlanta, GA Empowerment through Collaboration
SCOLT President: Lynn Fulton-Archer, SC
Dimension Editor: Carol Wilkerson:

2008 Myrtle Beach, SC Languages for the Nation
SCOLT President: Norah Jones, VA
Dimension Editors: C. Maurice Cherry: Furman University (SC) & Carol Wilkerson: Western Kentucky University

2007 Atlanta, GA Languages: From Practice to Profession
SCOLT President: Jim Chesnut, GA
Dimension Editors: C. Maurice Cherry: Furman University (SC) & Lee Bradley: Valdosta State University (GA)

2006 Orlando, FL Languages for Today’s World
SCOLT President: Carol Wilkerson, TN
Dimension Editor: C. Maurice Cherry: Furman University (SC)

2005 Charlotte, NC Many Languages, Many Learners, One World
SCOLT President: Sue Barry, AL
Dimension Editors C. Maurice Cherry: Furman University (SC) & Lee Bradley: Valdosta State University (SC)

2004 Mobile, AL Assessment Practices in Foreign Language Education
SCOLT President: Peggy Bilbro, AL
Dimension Editors: C. Maurice Cherry: Furman University (SC) & Lee Bradley: Valdosta State University (GA)
2003  Atlanta, GA  Models of Excellence in Second Language Education
SCOLT President: Sharon Rapp, AR
Dimension Editors: C. Maurice Cherry: Furman University (SC) & Lee Bradley: Valdosta State University (GA)

2002  Baton Rouge, LA  Cyberspace and Foreign Language: Making the Connection
SCOLT President: C. Maurice Cherry, SC
Dimension Editor: C. Maurice Cherry: Furman University (SC)

2001  Myrtle Beach, SC  2001: The Odyssey Continues
SCOLT President: Carolyn L. Hansen, SC
Dimension Editor: C. Maurice Cherry: Furman University (SC)

2000  Birmingham, AL  New Millennium, New Directions 2000
SCOLT President: David Alley, GA
Dimension Editor: C. Maurice Cherry: Furman University (SC)

1999  Virginia Beach, VA  Connections Beyond the Foreign Language Classroom
SCOLT Chair: Susan Blankenship, AL
Dimension Editors: David Alley: Georgia Southern University & C. Maurice Cherry: Furman University (SC)

1998  Savannah, GA  Communications, Cultures, Connections, Comparisons, and Communities
SCOLT Chair: Kathy White, NC
Dimension Editors: David Alley: Georgia Southern University & Paula Heusinkveld: Clemson University (SC)

1997  Myrtle Beach, SC  Addressing the Standards for Foreign Language Learning
SCOLT Chair: Kathy White, NC
Dimension Editors: Robert M. Terry: University of Richmond (VA)

1996  Mobile, AL  Global Access Through Language
SCOLT Chair: Anne Fountain, NC
Dimension Editor: Robert M. Terry: University of Richmond (VA)
1995  Charleston, SC  The Future Is Now
SCOLT Chair: Billie Edmonds, SC
Dimension Editor: Robert M. Terry: University of Richmond (VA)

1994  Savannah, GA  Changing Images in Foreign Language
SCOLT Chair Billie Edmonds, SC
Dimension Editor: Robert M. Terry: University of Richmond (VA)

1992-1993  Atlanta, GA  FL: Internationalizing the Future
SCOLT Chair: Robert Terry, VA/ Billie Edmonds, SC
Dimension Editor: Robert M. Terry: University of Richmond (VA)

1991  Raleigh-Durham, NC  Making a World of Difference
SCOLT Chair: Rosalie Cheatham, AR
Dimension Editor: Robert M. Terry: University of Richmond (VA)

SCOLT Chair: E. Wayne Figart, NC
Dimension Editor: Robert M. Terry: University of Richmond (VA)

1989  Little Rock, AR  Languages: Perspective & Horizons
SCOLT Chair: Paula Fordham, SC
Dimension Editors: T. Bruce Fryer and Frank W. Medley, Jr.: University of South Carolina

1988  Charleston, SC  Language in Action
SCOLT Chair: John Austin. GA
Dimension Editors: T. Bruce Fryer & Frank W. Medley, Jr.: University of South Carolina

1987  Atlanta, GA  New Challenges and Opportunities
SCOLT Chair: Robin Snyder, WV
Dimension Editors: T. Bruce Fryer & Frank W. Medley, Jr.: University of South Carolina

1986  Orlando, FL  Planning for Proficiency
SCOLT Chair: Christa Kirby, FL
Dimension Editors: T. Bruce Fryer & Frank W. Medley, Jr.: University of South Carolina
1985  Atlanta, GA  Perspectives on Proficiency
SCOLT Chair: Frank W. Medley, Jr., SC
*Dimension* Editors: T. Bruce Fryer & Frank W. Medley, Jr.: University of South Carolina (combined 1984-85 edition)

1984  Birmingham, AL  Expanding Horizons: Business and Industry
SCOLT Chair: William C. Holdbrooks, AL
*Dimension* Editors: T. Bruce Fryer & Frank W. Medley, Jr.: University of South Carolina (combined 1984-85 edition)

1983  New Orleans, LA  Shrinking World/Expanding Horizons
SCOLT Chair: William C. Holdbrooks, AL
*Dimension* Editors: T. Bruce Fryer & Frank W. Medley, Jr.: University of South Carolina

1982  Richmond, VA  Foreign Language Teaching: Is the Present Perfect?
SCOLT Chair: Martha McClure, GA and Howard Altman (KY)
*Dimension* Editors: Martha McClure, Fulton County Board of Education (GA) & Howard Altman, University of Louisville, KY

1981  Atlanta, GA  Foreign Language Teaching: Building on Our Successes
SCOLT Chair: Jack Davis Brown, MS
*Dimension* Editor: Jack Davis Brown, University of Mississippi

1980  Charleston, SC  Progress: Promise
SCOLT Chair: Caro Feagin, GA
*Dimension* Editors: Carol Feagin, Georgia Department of Education, & James S. Gates, Managing Editor, Spellman College (GA)
Introduction and Acknowledgments

The Board of Directors of the Southern Conference on Language Teaching (SCOLT) recommended in 2003 that we prepare a thematic index of the published issues of Dimension, the organization’s annual proceedings volume, and I agreed to serve as editor for the project. Our initial goal was to cover the period from 1980 to 2004 and have the index ready for distribution at our annual conference in 2005, the year marking the organization’s 40th anniversary. I applied for a grant through the Furman Advantage Summer Scholar Program at my institution and was delighted to learn that one of our language majors, Justine Sittema, would receive funding to allow her to devote the summer of 2003 to assisting me with the project. Both a Spanish major and a participant in Furman’s Teacher Education Program, Justine proved to be the ideal candidate for this position. Using as a point of departure a lengthy list of keywords I had suggested, she first acquainted herself with terms that appeared frequently in the indices and tables of contents in several popular methods texts, then examined articles in professional journals, and eventually recommended a large number of additions to my list. At that point Justine read the articles published in all but one of the volumes between 1980 and 2003, took notes on them, and inserted appropriate elements into the master keyword list. By the time she completed her work in the summer of 2003, she had prepared a massive collection of notes that I could use for development of the Thematic Index.

As I began to refine the project, I realized that it would require far more time than I had estimated. Teaching responsibilities and other professional commitments made it increasingly difficult for me to complete the Index in a timely matter; and as each year passed, it became evident that I would need to include references from yet another volume in the master list. I have now added entries for the past six volumes (2004-2009) and have organized all of the material into what I hope will be a useful reference source.

In addition to acknowledging my immense debt to Justine, now Justine Sittema Liébana, I offer my gratitude to the committee that funded her work through the Furman Advantage Program. I also wish to thank Linda Ray, departmental
associate in Furman’s Department of Modern Languages and Literatures, for her assistance on several occasions. Finally, I express my gratitude to the members of the SCOLT Board of Directors and particularly to our Executive Director, Lynne McClendon, for never having given up on me as the years rolled by.

**Codes Used in the Index**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AATF</td>
<td>American Association of Teachers of French</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AATG</td>
<td>American Association of Teachers of German</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AATSP</td>
<td>American Association of Teachers of Spanish and Portuguese</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACTFL</td>
<td>American Council on the Teaching of Foreign Languages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALM</td>
<td>Audiolingual Method</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP</td>
<td>Advanced Placement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASL</td>
<td>American Sign Language</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAI</td>
<td>Computer-Assisted Instruction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CALL</td>
<td>Computer-Assisted Language Learning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLEP</td>
<td>College Level Examination Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMC</td>
<td>Computer-Mediated Communication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESL</td>
<td>English as a Second Language</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL</td>
<td>foreign language(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLAC</td>
<td>Foreign Languages Across the Curriculum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLES</td>
<td>Foreign Languages for the Elementary School</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLEX</td>
<td>Foreign Language Exploratory Programs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ILR</td>
<td>Interagency Language Roundtable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INTASC</td>
<td>Interstate New Teacher Assessment and Support Consortium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPA</td>
<td>(1) International Phonetic Alphabet; (2) Integrated Performance Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISTE</td>
<td>International Society for Technology in Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1</td>
<td>first language(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2</td>
<td>second language(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCTL</td>
<td>Less Commonly Taught Language(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NBPTS</td>
<td>National Board for Professional Training Standards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCATE</td>
<td>National Council for the Accreditation of Teacher Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCLB</td>
<td>“No Child Left Behind” legislation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCSSFL</td>
<td>National Council of State Supervisors for Languages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEH</td>
<td>National Endowment for the Humanities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NL</td>
<td>(1) native language; (2) narrow listening</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPI</td>
<td>Oral Proficiency Interview</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCOLT</td>
<td>Southern Conference on the Language Teaching</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOPI</td>
<td>Simulated Oral Proficiency Interview</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TA</td>
<td>teaching assistant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEAC</td>
<td>Teacher Education Accreditation Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPR</td>
<td>Total Physical Response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPRS</td>
<td>(1) Total Physical Response Storytelling; (2) Teaching Proficiency Through Reading and Storytelling</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Entries in this Index are drawn from the 247 Dimension articles written over the past three decades by 249 authors working either independently or with co-authors, and all references appear under one or more of the 207 key words or phrases. Each entry begins on a separate line and is followed by a series of numbers—the first two digits designating the year of publication, ranging from 80 to 09 (for 1980 and 2009 respectively); then a hyphen and a single or double digit indicating the number of the article within the volume (-1 and -18, for instance, representing the first and 18th articles published for a given year). Finally, in many entries, there is a colon followed by specific pages numbers. The absence of page numbers suggests that either the entire article or a significant portion of it deals with the item being referenced. When no page numbers are included, one should assume that the item is mentioned only briefly or that it is but one segment of a broader discussion. Nevertheless, the editor of the Index believes that those with access to the particular volume in question will find such brief references useful for their research.

In the entry “Internet, use for teaching French, 01-2,” for example, one would correctly conclude that either the entire second article in the 2001 volume of Dimension or a substantial portion of it deals with the use of the Internet for the instruction of French. On the other hand, the entry “graffiti to teach French culture, use of, 88-5:72-74” should be interpreted as follows: A brief discussion of the “use of graffiti to teach French culture” will be found on pages 72 through 74 of the fifth article in the 1988 volume of Dimension.

Issues of authorship are important to researchers. Let us suppose that someone wishes to know who wrote either of the articles mentioned above. By consulting the comprehensive list of “Articles Published in Dimension: 1980-2009” immediately preceding the thematic index, one quickly discovers that the author of the first article (01-2) is Jean-Louis P. Dassier and that the second one (88-5) is a contribution by Caryl L. Lloyd.

With reference to authorship, another resource has been provided, the list of “Authors and Editors of Dimension (1980-2009),” located at the end of the volume. One can look under the name of David C. Alley, for instance, and see that during the period covered by this project, Professor Alley co-edited two volumes of Dimension (1998 and 1999), wrote two articles (88-2 and 05-5), and co-authored four more (81-1, 94-6, 99-5, and 08-2). The titles of the six articles he wrote either independently or with colleagues can be easily located in the list of “Articles Published in Dimension: 1980-2009.”

A few general comments and disclaimers seem appropriate at this point. First of all, it should be noted that this is a thematic index, and no attempt has been made to provide a qualitative evaluation of contents. Many of the articles included...
in the earlier issues covered by the Index were brief, often providing useful teaching tips or reflections and observations on issues of the day. Although some articles in those volumes have no endnotes or bibliographical references, many of them offer observations or suggestions that could prove useful to second language educators.

The editor has attempted to retain the names listed for individuals in both the “Articles Published” and “Authors and Editors” lists as they were recorded as each volume was published. Therefore, although marriage or other circumstances may have resulted in an individual’s surname appearing differently in two or more volumes, no effort has been made to regularize its form.

Following this section there appears a list of “Codes Used in the Index.” This tool has been provided as a guide to acronyms and other abbreviated forms used both by the editor of this Index and by numerous authors as a type of professional shorthand. Some of those using this resource will recognize virtually all of the codes. Nevertheless, many of the abbreviated forms enjoyed currency during a particular period or may be familiar only to those conversant with specific areas, such as technology or teacher preparation. Furthermore, three terms—IPA, NL, and TPRS—have appeared with different meanings in articles published in Dimension over the past three decades; and in those cases, both meanings have been provided in the list of codes.

Articles Published in Dimension: 1980-2009

1980

Dimension: Languages ’80: Progress: Promise
Caro H. Feagin, Ed.

80-3 Gartman, Max, & Bill Berry. “A Six-Week Exploratory Course in French for Middle Schools.” Pp. 24-27.
80-10 Gurney, David W. “Superglue in the Foreign Language Classroom.” Pp. 77-86.

1981

**Dimension: Languages ’81: Foreign Language Teaching: Building on Our Successes**
Jack Davis Brown, Ed.

81-6 Donahue, Frank E. “Lower-Division German and the TA Apprenticeship Program of The University of Texas at Austin.” Pp. 41-47.

1982

**Dimension: Languages ’82: Foreign Language Teaching: Is The Present Perfect?**
Howard B. Altman and Martha G. McClure, Eds.


1983

Dimension: Languages ’83: Shrinking World—Expanding Horizons
T. Bruce Fryer and Frank W. Medley, Jr., Eds.

1984/1985

**Dimension: Languages ’84-’85: Perspectives on Proficiency: Curriculum and Instruction**  
T. Bruce Fryer and Frank W. Medley, Jr., Eds.


1986

**Dimension: Languages ’86: Planning for Proficiency**  
T. Bruce Fryer and Frank W. Medley, Jr., Eds.

86-9 DiOrio, Dorothy M. “Phonetics, the Basis for all Levels of Proficiency in French Pronunciation.” Pp. 83-94.

1987

Dimension: Languages ’87: New Challenges and Opportunities
T. Bruce Fryer and Frank W. Medley, Jr., Eds.

87-7 Louvet, Marie-Cécile. “Learning Via the Socratic Method: The Use of the Concept Attainment Model in Foreign Language Classes.” Pp. 87-98.

1988

Dimension: Languages ’88: Language in Action: Theory and Practice
T. Bruce Fryer and Frank W. Medley, Jr., Eds.

88-7  Katainen, V. Louise. “Success-Oriented Latin Instruction at the Junior High School Level.” Pp. 89-100.

1989

Dimension: Languages ’89: Perspectives and Horizons
T. Bruce Fryer and Frank W. Medley, Jr., Eds.


1990

*Dimension: Languages '90: Acting on Priorities: A Commitment to Excellence*
Robert M. Terry, Ed.


1991

*Dimension: Language '91: Making A World Of Difference*
Robert M. Terry, Ed.


1992/1993

Dimension: Language '92-'93: Foreign Languages: Internationalizing the Future

Robert M. Terry, Ed.


1994

Dimension: Language '94; Changing Images in Foreign Languages

Robert M. Terry, Ed.


1995

*Dimension ’95: The Future is Now*
Robert M. Terry, Ed.


1996

*Dimension ’96: Global Access Through Languages*
Robert M. Terry, Ed.


1997

*Dimension '97: Addressing the Standards for Foreign Language Learning*

Robert M. Terry, Ed.


97-6 Friedrich, Ellen Lorraine, Lollie Barbare Eykyn, & Barbara Owens McKeithan. “*Vive le français*: Strategies for Recruiting and Retaining Students in French Classes.” Pp. 77-98.


1998

*Dimension '98: Communications, Cultures, Connections, Comparisons, Communities*

David Alley and Paula Heusinkveld, Eds.

98-0 Introduction


1999

Dimension '99: Connections Beyond the Foreign Language Classroom
David Alley and C. Maurice Cherry, Eds.

99-0 Introduction

2000

Dimension 2000: New Millennium, New Directions
C. Maurice Cherry, Ed.

00-6  Seaman, David W. “Correcting the Problem of Freeze-Frame Cultural Stereotyping: Case Study—Martinique.” Pp. 71-78.
00-7  Lally, Carolyn Gascoigne. “Extramural Standards: Foreign Language Learning Beyond the Classroom.” Pp. 79-86.
00-8  Dassier, Jean-Louis P., & Lee Wilberschied. “A Case Study of Reflection in Supervision: Does It Have Any Relationship to Interns’ Reflectivity?” Pp. 87-100.

2001

Dimension 2001: The Odyssey Continues
C. Maurice Cherry, Ed.

01-3  Semones, Lara, & Rebecca Chism. “Learning Behind the Screen: Computers, Conversations, Communities.” Pp. 31-44.

2002

Dimension 2002: Cyberspace and Foreign Languages: Making the Connection
C. Maurice Cherry, Ed.

02-6 Amores, María J. “Contextualizing Culture: Using Authentic Resources to Develop Cultural Awareness.” Pp. 65-82.

2003
*Dimension 2003: Models for Excellence in Second Language Education*
C. Maurice Cherry and Lee Bradley, Eds.


2004
*Dimension 2004: Assessment Practices in Foreign Language Education*
C. Maurice Cherry and Lee Bradley, Eds.

04-0 Introduction and Announcement


2005

**Dimension 2005: Languages and Language Learners**
C. Maurice Cherry and Lee Bradley, Eds.


05-3 Terry, Robert M. “The Reading Process: Realistic Expectations for Reading in Lower-Level Language Classes.” Pp. 31-44.


2006

**Dimension 2006: Languages for Today’s World**
C. Maurice Cherry, Ed.


Arnold, Nike. “Student Perspectives on Foundation Issues in Articulation.” Pp. 21-34.


2009

*Dimension 2009: Empowerment Through Collaboration*

Carol Wilkerson, Ed.


**Thematic Index of Dimension: 1980-2009**

**AATF (American Association of Teachers of French)**

AATF framework, connection to Foreign Language Standards, 98-2

Standards for Teaching Cultures, 98-2

**AATSP (American Association of Teachers of Spanish and Portuguese)**

AATSP Standards, application to the Spanish classroom, 98-1

**Academic Alliances**

academic alliances for L2 professionals, 99-7

description of two foreign language collaboratives, 99-7

**Accountability**

FLES program evaluation, 90-4:66-68

program evaluation for L2s, 80-1:8-9; 01-7

**Activities**
AATSP Standards, addressing communicative goals of, 98-1:3-11 and 16-18
activity cards, 91-2
adult-appropriate activities: role-playing, teaching units, realia, 80-9
Afro-Hispanic literature, sample activities, 94-6:83-84 and 86-87
audio-inductive grammar in beginning French class, 81-7
blogs, activities with, 06-3
Brothers Grimm Bicentennial Celebration, 86-3
careers, activities related to use of L2s in, 82-6
children’s games for review of material, 90-3
computer-mediated interactive tasks, 03-4:43-44
computer translation Web sites, activities based on, 05-5:69-72
cultural attainment model, activities to develop, 87-7
creative writing, technology-based assignments, 08-3
cross-cultural activities to develop coping skills, 88-6
culture and language through task-based activities, 96-1
diverse learning styles, addressing, 92/93-7
Ecuador, video project, 95-7:95-99
e-mail (keypal) correspondence projects, 02-1:4-5
European Union (EU), introduction into L2 classroom, 00-4
“La familia peruana” project, 03-8:98-101
FLES class, content-based activities, 91-5:60-64
“Foods of Peru” project, 03-8:97-98
different skills, activities for development of, 87-4
Francophone literature, sample activities, 94-6:81-83 and 84-85
French culture, 00-5:60-68
French diction and phonetics, 86-9
French for science and technology, 87-10
French holidays, 91-4:45-54
games, proficiency-based, 91-10
good communication activities, characteristics, 82-3:31-33; 89-6:71-72
[In reprint of 82-3 article]
guided free composition, 88-4
higher-order thinking skills in L2 curriculum, 91-3; 92/93-4:76-80
home pages developed by young native speakers, activities based on, 98-5
idioms, teaching, 02-4:46-48
in-class and out-of-class writing activities, 05-4
Interaction Activities, 82-1:8-12
interest in L2 study, activities to promote: contests, meals, festivals, clubs, 80-11
International Cultural Experience Day, 83-12
Internet in college-level French classes, 01-2
jigsaw task, definition and examples, 92/93-3
listening skills, development of, 86-5; 86-11
literary magazine creation for L2 classroom, 92/93-6
“Living Civilization” course, appropriate activities, 96-1
memory hooks and mnemonic devices, 86-7
motor verbs and teaching of culture, 81-1
multicultural activities in French textbooks, exclusion of, 94-4
multicultural activities in French textbooks, suggestions for inclusion, 94-4
narrated multimedia presentations, 03-5
narrow listening activities, criteria and guidelines, 04-6:63-64
nursing students in medical Spanish course, activities for, 03-2:25-26
oral history project in Spanish, 99-2:19-27
pre-reading, reading, and post-reading activities, 06-1
proficiency of teachers, development of, 87-9:111-115
proficiency-based activities in revised college French curriculum, 04-2:13-14
proficiency-based games, 91-10
reading in lower-level instruction, incorporation of, 86-6
reading activities for introducing literary selections in lower-level classes, 98-4:50-55
role-playing in college-level L2 classrooms, 86-2:15
role playing, role cards, and simulations, 83-3
small- and large-group activities, 90-3
small-group activities to encourage communication, 82-3; 89-6
[reprint of 82-3]
small-group and paired activities, 84/85-13
small-group communication activities, formats, 82-3:33-39; 89-6:73-77
[reprint of 82-3 article]
small-group instruction, benefits, 82-3:27-29; 89-6:68-70
[reprint of 82-3 article]
small-group review activities, 91-2:23-27
Spanish Civil War, authentic materials to teach, 02-6:70-77
Spanish for business course, 99-3
student participation, activities to increase, 84/85-13
task-based communicative activities for FLES, 97-5:68-72
task-oriented writing activities, 91-1
technology-enhanced activities for college Spanish students, 02-2:20-22
transnational e-mail assignment for college students, 03-1:14
Trivial Pursuit, adapted for L2 classes, 84/85-15
video use in L2 classroom, 87-12
videos of commercials, 91-7
vocabulary acquisition, 00-1:6-10, 05-2
WebQuest activities and the Teacher Work Sample (TWS), 08-5
writing activities for various levels, 87-6: 67-71 and 76-84
writing proficiency, development of, 84/85-4; 89-8:102-09

**Adult Education**

adult language learners, characteristics, 89-9
appropriate activities for adults: role-playing, use of realia, teaching units, 80-9
drama in L2 class in correctional facility, 08-1
physical activities, as stimulant in adult education, 01-4:46
writing for adult language learners, 89-9

**Advocacy**

benefits of L2 study on SAT and other standardized scores, 82-11
business and language communities, necessity for working together, 82-5:82-13
career opportunities in L2s, 82-6
careers and L2s, multimedia presentation, 82-6
defense of college language requirements, 81-8:58
globalization imperative, L2s as element of, 81-4
governmental policy issues affecting L2s, 83-1
languages are *not for everybody*, 82-7:80-82
Less-Commonly-Taught Languages (LCTLs), advocacy for, 08-7
multiculturalism focus to address decline in French enrollments in U.S., 98-3
past, present, and future of Academic Alliances in L2s, 99-7
recruiting and retaining students in French classes, 97-6:89-93
recruitment recommendations, 81-8
visibility of L2s, ideas for increasing, 80-11
Why study French?, 97-6:84-88

**Africa**

culture, a priority for study of Africa, 80-7
linguistic rivalry in sub-Saharan Africa, 81-2

**Afro-Hispanic Issues**

Afro-Hispanic literature, 94-6
Afro-Hispanic literature and culture in the L2 classroom, 90-6
chronology of Afro-Hispanic literature, 90-6:96-99
definition of Afro-Hispanic literature and culture, 90-6:92-93
principal traits of Afro-Hispanic literature, 90-6:93-96

**Alphabet**

American Sign Language (ASL) in U.S. schools, 06-7
IPA symbols to teach French pronunciation, use of, 86-9
non-Latin alphabet, introduction of, 86-6:62
American Sign Language (ASL)
- ASL in U.S. schools, 06-7
- history, future of ASL, 06-7
- world language, as a, 06-7

Anxiety
- college students using Internet in L2 classes, anxiety of, 01-2
- fears and misconceptions in L2 acquisition, 87-3
- Foreign Language Anxiety Workshop at Defense Language Institute, 87-3
- reading competition in L2, limited by higher anxiety, 87-5: 53-54
- student anxiety in L2 classrooms, lowering, 87-3
- student feedback, limiting anxiety through, 91-2

AP (Advanced Placement) Courses
- Cicero’s Pro Caelio in the AP curriculum, 94-2
- revised AP syllabus for Latin Literature, 94-2

Aptitude
- personality and success in L2 acquisition, relationship, 88-1

Articulation
- Academic Alliances, enhanced L2 articulation through, 99-7
- alternative model for L2 articulation, 98-8:95-98
- discussions during second half of 20th century, overview, 97-2
- Florida, L2 placement in post-secondary institutions, 97-2
- international festival, impetus for K-12 and post-secondary collaboration, 83-12
- problems addressed by Foreign Language Standards, 98-8:95-98
- problems in L2 curricula at college level, 01-7
- proficiency-based placement test to facilitate high school and college articulation, 88-8
- secondary and post-secondary connections, 80-11, 07-3
- South Carolina, entrance requirements at state colleges and universities, 88-8
- South Carolina, language requirements at state colleges and universities, 88-8
- student perspectives on articulation, 07-3
- study gap as placement problem in college L2 programs, 01-7
- team-teaching and interdisciplinary teaching for increased articulation, 81-5
- undergraduate and graduate degree programs, L2 articulation issues, 81-3

Asher, James
- background, 01-4:45-48; 04-5:44-48; 08-2:15
development of TPR, 04-5:43 and 47

ASL (see “American Sign Language”)

Assessment
alternative teacher preparation program, 03-7:80-84
assessment-related articles, annotated bibliography, 05-8
attitudes of young children, difficulty of assessing, 05-1
authentic assessment, 04-7
authentic materials, evaluation forms for selection and use, 95-8:116-122
CCIP (Chamber of Commerce and Industry in Paris) Exam in French, preparation for, 87-10
college internship program, Macon College, 90-5:87-89
creative writing in testing, 90-8
Curriculum Guide Outline, testing and assessment features, 84/85-11
electronic portfolio assessment of students, 04-3
e-mail (keypal) correspondence project on L2 students at college level, effects of, 02-1
faculty evaluation, 80-2:19-20
FLES program, 90-4
formative L2 program evaluation, 01-7
grading of written work, 84/85-4:46-47
graduate teaching assistant training courses (USC-Columbia), assessment of, 05-7
group study project in Peru for teachers, assessment of, 03-8:92-96
high stakes testing, 04-1
higher-order thinking skills, assessment of, 92/93-4:81
indigenous assessment criteria (IAC), 04-7:80
Integrated Performance Assessment (IPA) for assessment of interpretive, interpersonal, and presentational modes, 04-5:48-49
interactive multimedia program in French, Vanderbilt Univ., 96-4:52-68
international internship program, assessment of an, 04-8:89-90
Internet in L2 technology, value of, 01-2
Kentucky Foreign Language Academy, 02-9:109-111 and 113
language placement tests and procedures in post-secondary institutions, 97-2
LinguaFolio project, role of assessment in, 07-1
multimedia lab use for oral assessment, 02-3
Myers-Briggs Types Indicator (MBTI), to assess personality types of L2 teachers, 94-5
narrow listening, assessing the impact of, 04-6
NEH Summer Institute for Middle School Teachers, Univ. of Arkansas, 83-4:39-41
online discussion assignments, peer- and self-evaluation, 01-3
A Thematic Index of Dimension: 1980-2009

OPI as assessment instrument, problems with, 87-1; 89-7
  [reprint of 87-1 article]
oral proficiency assessment through technology, 08-4
oral proficiency through pre- and post-tests, assessment of, 92/93-5
partial immersion vs. standard FLES programs, a comparison, 91-9
Praxis exam, readiness of students to take, 04-4
proficiency-based diagnostic placement test, development of, 88-8
proficiency-oriented assessment for L2 majors, 04-2
restructured college-level L2 program, testing and evaluation, 86-2:17-19
SCOLT Assessment Project, 09-4
second-language assessment, challenges of, 04-5
shift from traditional to proficiency-based tests, 90-8
Small World Language and Culture Program for Children (Alabama),
  assessment, 97-7:107-114
speaking, assessment of, 87-4:46-47
standardized tests, limitations, 04-3:20
student-based curriculum and assessment, 08-6
Système-D, for development of writing skills of French students,
  98-6:76-83
TA (teaching assistant) evaluation form, USC-Columbia, 89-10
TA (teaching assistant) performance, 80-8
teacher licensure exams, preparation for, 09-5
technology-enhanced instruction on college students of Spanish,
extects of, 02-2
technology use, 03-4:46-47
think-aloud protocols to diagnose reading comprehension problems,
  96-2
Tomatis Approach for teaching listening acquisition, evaluation, 00-2
TPR as assessment tool, 04-5
TPR assessment, challenges to, 04-5:50-51
traditional classroom assessment, 04-3:20
transnational e-mail correspondence project, 03-1:7-11 and 13
writing, assessment of, 87-6:71-74 and 85
writing program in college French class, 00-3

Asturias, Miguel Ángel
Mayan themes in work of Asturias, 07-6:70-72

At-Risk Students/Remediation
assessing learning styles of at-risk L2 students to prevent drop-out and
increase success, 92/93-7
learning-disabled L2 students, strategies for assistance, 82-8
learning styles and the at-risk student, 92/93-7
Attitudes/Motivation of students

- affective domain, 88-1
- affective domain and the L2 classroom, 02-7:85
- attitudes and motivation for L2 study, 07-2; 91-8
- attitudes towards L2 study, misrepresentations, 07-2
- articulation issues, relationship of attitude and motivation, 07-3
- bilingual students, attitude adjustment, 82-10:115-117
- class participation, strategies for increasing, 84/85-13
- cultural attitudes of young children, 05-1
- difficulty of assessing attitude, 05-1
- drama for enhancing motivation and improving attitudes of L2 students, 08-1
- elementary students to continue L2 study, motivation of, 05-1
- FLEX program, effect on attitudes of elementary students towards foreign languages and cultures, 05-1
- “Foreign Language Attitude Questionnaire,” 91-8:100-106
- formative program evaluation, data on, 01-7:101-03
- junior high school Latin, motivations for enrollment in, 88-7:97
- learning about peers, students’ enjoyment of, 87-4:48
- literature, active approach to aid enjoyment of, 80-4
- music, to reduce tension and anxiety, 88-2: 19 and 23
- personality, effect on L2 success, 88-1
- reading skills, motivation and self-confidence as factors in development of, 87-5
- small-group activities, benefits to motivation, 82-3:27-29; 89-6:68-70 [reprint of 82-3 article]
- student feedback on motivation, 91-2
- study-abroad orientation, role of attitude and motivation in, 99-5:61-62
- teaching abuses in L2s, students’ perceptions of, 82-7
- utility of L2 instruction, students’ perceptions of, 86-8:75-77

Attrition

- attrition research review, 95-3
- French programs, combating attrition problems in, 97-6
- intensive college-level Spanish courses to maintain enrollment, 83-6
- maintenance of enrollments in L2 programs, recommendations, 95-3
- relationship between L2 proficiency level and attrition, 95-3:30-32

Audiolingual Teaching

- audiolingual approach to teaching, 01-1:4-5
- need for teacher training in ALM (Audiolingual Method), 89-1:3-4
- not a “method,” but an “approach,” 89-2:22

Audio-Motor Unit (see also “TPR”)

- communication drills based on audio-motor unit, 89-5
- definition and examples, 89-5:58-65
Authentic Materials

- all skills, to teach, 87-8
- audio library, pedagogically authentic materials in the, 04-6:56-57
- authentic videos in the L2 classroom, 95-7
- cultural awareness, use of authentic resources to develop, 02-6
- culture, authentic materials for teaching, 00-5
- developmental reading program, authentic materials as basis for, 06-1
- evaluation forms for selection and use of authentic materials, 95-8:116-122
- guidelines for use of unedited authentic materials, 95-8:109-113
- French graffiti as authentic material, 88-5
- listening processes and authentic texts, 90-1
- newspapers, use as authentic materials, 87-8; 02-6
- selection of appropriate authentic materials, 95-8:106-109
- Spanish Civil War, authentic materials for teaching, 02-6
- writing proficiency, authentic materials to develop, 88-4:62; 89-8

Belgium

- Francophone country, Belgium as a, 08-8
- history and culture of Belgium, 08-8
- linguistic phenomena, Belgicisms, 08-8:102-102 and 109-110

Bilingual Education

- code-switching, relationship to bilingual education, 82-10:120-122
- French immersion program in Canada, 90-7
- New Concurrent Approach to Bilingual Education, 82-10
- recent developments in bilingual education, 82-10
- service-learning project in elementary bilingual program, Univ. of Georgia, 03-3

Block Scheduling

- advantages and disadvantages for L2 instruction, 99-8
- project-based instruction resulting from block schedule requirement, 02-7:87-90
- qualitative studies of L2 instruction with block scheduling, 99-8:93-96
- quantitative studies of L2 instruction with block scheduling, 99-8:91-93
- second-language instruction with block scheduling, 99-8

Blogs

- blog development for the L2 classroom, 09-2
- designing a blog, 09-2; 09-3
- use as L2 teaching and learning tools, 06-3; 09-3

Bloom, Benjamin S.

- Taxonomy of Educational Objectives, discussion, 92/93-4:70-72; 96-1:9
Business-Language (see also “Language for Specific Purposes [LSP]”)

- business and language connections, 09-6
- business-language course in Spanish, structure and activities, 99-3
- business-language instruction, Norfolk State Univ., 01-6
- business terminology and concepts, 84/85-9
- challenges posed by business-language courses, 99-3
- college-level business Spanish, Eastern Michigan Univ., 87-11
- commercial Spanish sequence, post-secondary, 84/85-10
- established business-language programs in colleges and universities, list, 82-13:162-165
- gender and foreign culture in business-language classes (primarily German), 96-5
- International Business Program, Samford Univ., 81-8:58-60
- internship program for international business, 04-8
- language and business careers, 09-7
- language and trade opportunities, 82-14
- MIBS (Master of International Business Studies, USC-Columbia), 81-3:28
- necessity for L2s in business, 82-5
- proficiency-guided business units in high school, 84/85-9
- resources and materials to support business-language connections, 82-13:165-167

Camino de Santiago

- immersion program for college students, 06-5

Careers and Language Study

- business and language connections, 09-6
- internships to enhance career options, 90-5
- language and trade opportunities, 82-14
- language study and business opportunities, 82-13
- language study and careers, 09-7
- media presentation on foreign languages, preparation and use of a, 82-6

Castellanos, Rosario

- Mayan themes in work of Rosario Castellanos, 07-6:68-69

Certification of Teachers (see Teacher Preparation)

Césaire, Aimé

- writer and statesman of Martinique, 00-6:73-76

Cicero

- alternative approaches to teaching Cicero, 92/93-1
- Pro Caelio in the AP curriculum, outline for how to teach, 94-2
- teaching Cicero at secondary level, 92/93-1
Cognitive-Code Model

discussion of cognitive-code model for language teaching, 01-1:6-7

Cognitive Development

Bloom’s *Taxonomy of Educational Objectives*, 92/93-4:70-71
brain research and L2 instruction, 86-10
cognition and oral proficiency assessment, 04-7
cognition and the *Florida Taxonomy of Cognitive Behavior (FTCB)*, 04-7
cognitive code approach to L2 teaching, 01-1:6-7
core thinking skills (table), 91-3:36
FLES classes, developing thinking skills in, 91-3: 34-35
*Florida Taxonomy of Cognitive Behavior (FTCB)*, 92/93-4:72
higher-order thinking skills, defined, 92/93-4
higher-order thinking skills in the L2 curriculum, 92/93-4
listening comprehension, cognitive features, 95-1
reading skills, cognitive factors in development of, 87-5: 51-53
stages in developing L2 proficiency, 84/85-2
thinking skills, development in L2 classroom, 91-3: 35-39

Commercials

videotaped commercials in L2 instruction, 87-12:153-154, 91-7

Communication (see also “Correspondence” and “Communicative Approach”)

American university students and keypals in European educational institutions, communication between, 02-1
cyberspace communication between college students in study-abroad program and peers at home institution, 03-1
ethnographic interviews about Hispanic songs, conducting, 06-6
functional use of language, communication as, 86-1
integration of culture and communication into college French curriculum, 07-7
intensive college German program, teaching for communication in an, 84/85-5
intercultural communication in curriculum based on international business and L2 study, 01-6
prime component of FLES, communication as a, 94-3:32-35
recorded oral history project, 99-2
sequenced activities to address AATSP Communication standard, 98-1: 3-14
task-based speech activities, communicative competence through, 92/93-3
ultimate goal for most L2 students, 86-1
Communicative Approach

communicative approach in L2 instruction, 01-1: 9-10
communicative drills, 89-5
French immersion program, communication as the underlying feature of a, 90-7:110-111
multimedia presentations, role in communicative approach, 03-5:55-56
multisensory (audiomotor) approach to communicative practice, 89-5
small-group activities to encourage communication, 82-3, 89-6 [reprint of 82-3]
task-based communicative approach to teaching FLES, 97-5
teaching of reading, influence of communicative approach on, 05-3

Community (see also “Service Learning”)

Brothers Grimm bicentennial community celebration, 86-3
community interaction and guest speakers in Freinet-type class, 99-1:7-9
community language bank for emergency translation, 83-11
community resources to enhance language maintenance, use of, 95-3:38
elementary-level children in Washington community, 80-6:44-45
International Cultural Experience Day, a festival, 83-12
online discussion, fostering a community of learners through, 01-3
service learning project for nursing students, 03-2
service learning project with Hispanic students in elementary schools, 03-3
holiday celebrations, community involvement in projects based on, 91-4

Comprehensible Input

listening skill development through comprehensible input, 86-11

Computer-Assisted Instruction (CAI) [see also “Computer-Assisted Language Learning”]

advantages and disadvantages of computer-assisted instruction, 83-10
community of learning, computer-mediated instruction to connect students to, 01-3
Computer-Mediated Communication, use in L2 classroom, 03-4:39-40 and 43-44
interactive multimedia for L2 listening comprehension, 96-4
teaching and computer-assisted instruction in L2 programs, 83-10
writing assistant software for improving writing, 98-6

Computer-Assisted Language Learning (CALL) [see also “Computer-Assisted Instruction”]

eyear L2 reading instruction, computer-assisted instruction in, 86-6
online publishing in the L2 classroom, 02-5:59-60
online writing and reading, 02-5
recent research on CALL, 03-4
Connections

business world, opportunities for L2 teachers to connect with the, 82-5
careers using L2s, collaboration with other disciplines for focus on, 82-6:70
connecting students with other disciplines, 00-5
“Connections” standard of the Foreign Language Standards, background, 99-0:v-vi
e-mail correspondence, vehicle for meeting “connections” goal of Standards, 02-1
Internet to make connections beyond the L2 classroom, use of, 99-6
middle- and high-school immersion weekend, “Connections” standard as basis for, 00-7:83
migrant community, making connections with the, 99-4
music in L2 classes at the elementary level for connecting content areas, 98-7
personal interviews and oral histories, connections through, 99-2
service learning and L2 acquisition, 99-4

Conversation (see “Speaking”)

Correspondence

basis for enhancing L2 maintenance, correspondence as, 95-3:38
class-to-class correspondence, 99-1:3-6
cyberspace project, post-secondary level, 02-1
e-mail (keypal) project at post-secondary level, 02-1
Freinet model as basis for correspondence, 99-1:3-6
transnational correspondence between college students in study abroad program and peers at home institution, 03-1

Critical Thinking and Higher-Order Thinking Skills

Bloom’s Taxonomy of Educational Objectives, 92/93-4:70-71; 96-1:9
Cicero, critical thinking skills in the study of, 92/93-1
CommonSpace writing software to enhance thinking skills, use of, 00-3
curriculum guide based on critical skills, development of, 84/85-11
development of higher-order thinking skills, 87-7
FLES classes, developing thinking skills in, 91-3:35-39
FLES program, higher-order thinking skills in, 91-5:59
higher-order thinking skills, defined, 92/93-4
higher-order thinking skills, evaluation of, 92/93-4
incorporating higher-order thinking skills into L2 curriculum, 92/93-4
literature survey course, for development of critical thinking skills, 83-8
task-based activities to promote thinking skills, 96-1
thinking skills, development in L2 classroom, 91-3:35-39
top-down and bottom-up processing, 96-2:20
Trivial Pursuit, to develop higher-order thinking skills, 84/85-15

Cuisine

Belgian cuisine: 08-8:103-104
“Foods of Peru” project, 03-8:97-98
French cuisine in cultural instruction, 00-5:64-66
French holidays, foods associated with, 91-4
Spanish vs. Latin-American cuisine, distinctions, 82-2

Culture and Civilization

AATF framework and FL Standards in teaching French culture, use of, 98-2
Afro-Hispanic and Francophone literature, 94-6
Afro-Hispanic literature for cultural enrichment, 90-6
Americanization of French popular culture, 92/93-2
attitudes of elementary students towards culture, effect of FLEX program on, 05-1
authentic print media to teach culture, 87-8:101-102
“Big ‘C’” and “little ‘c’” culture, distinction, 96-1
“Big ‘C’” and “little ‘c’” culture, teaching of, 88-6
Brothers Grimm Bicentennial celebration, 86-3
Camino de Santiago, immersion experience for college students, 06-5
connecting culture to other disciplines, 00-5
contemporary French civilization course, development, 06-4
cross-cultural activities to develop coping skills, 88-6
cross-cultural training model for study-abroad participants, 99-5
cultural competence, four stages (table), 98-2:22
cultural concepts to teach idioms through a “root idiom” format, 02-4
cultural exchange through ESL student-centered writing project, 86-8:78-79
cultural sensitivity, element of commercial Spanish course, 84/85-10:114
cultural stereotyping, avoidance of, 88-6; 00-6
cultural understanding, oral proficiency, and study abroad, 84/85-3
cultural understanding through spiraling techniques, 00-5
culture assimilators and cultoons for L2 and ESL classes, 81-1
culture and civilization as goals for L2 instruction, 89-2
“culture” vs. “civilization,” distinction, 96-1
e-mail (keypal) project, exchange of cultural information through, 02-1
ethnocentrism, use of authentic videos to combat, 95-7
ethnographic interviews and Hispanic songs, 06-6
first and second cultures in reading texts, identifying mismatches, 98-4
FLES*, culture as a basic component of, 94-3:35-37
folk crafts to teach language and culture, 81-1
foods for specific French holidays, 91-4
France, contemporary cultural, economic, and political achievements, 97-6
Francophone literature, 94-6
French civilization, Web-based independent study course in, 99-6
French culture course in English, strategies & resources, 97-4
French culture through authentic materials, 00-5
French educational system, early 20th century, a description, 99-1:1-2
French popular music in the L2 classroom, 92/93-2:32-38
gender and foreign culture in business-language classes (emphasis on
German), 96-5
Germany, multiracial and multicultural issues in, 07-4
graduate TA training, methods of teaching culture as part of, 05-7:98-99
graffiti to teach French culture, use of, 88-5:72-74
Hispanic songs to enhance cross-cultural understanding, 01-5
holidays as an element of culture, 91-4
indigenous and Hispanic heritage, celebration of, 91-6
indigenous cultures in the U.S., 91-6:71-72
inseparability of culture and language, 96-1
integration of culture and communication in college French curriculum,
07-7
International Cultural Experience Day, festival for L2 students, 83-12
journals to enhance study of culture and civilization, 83-5
language and culture as inseparable elements, 90-7:116-117
language as culture, 82-12:152-154
Latin-American culture, early European views of, 95-7:92
Latino culture in high school Spanish textbooks, 07-5
“Living Civilization” model of teaching, 96-1
Maya and Nahuatl in teaching of Spanish, 07-6
Mexican-American migrant children, cultural characteristics, 99-4:54-55
Mexico, as a site for study-abroad experience, 80-5
middle- and high-school immersion weekend, culture standard as foun-
dation for, 00-7:82
model online lessons with cultural content, 06-2
multiculturalism in elementary French textbooks, 94-4
music to teach listening comprehension, use of, 88-2:25-26
OPI, cultural and sociocultural concerns related to, 87-1:6-8; 89-7:87-89
oral history project, role of culture, 99-2
Peruvian culture as objective for teachers in group study project, 03-8
photographs to teach cultural differences, 88-6:86-88
pop music and whole language approach to teach culture, 95-2
priority in L2 teaching, culture as, 80-7
service-learning project with migrant workers, basis for improved cultural
awareness of Spanish students, 99-4
Small World Language and Culture Program (Alabama), improved cul-
tural awareness of children in the, 97-7
Spanish Civil War, authentic materials to teach, 02-6
Spanish vs. Latin-American food, distinctions, 82-2
stereotypes in culture, avoidance of, 88-5
task-based activities for cultural competence, 96-1
teaching culture, objectives in, 88-5; 88-6
transnational e-mail correspondence program, cultural awareness through, 03-1
unbiased culture, need to teach (specifically French), 88-5
U.S. holidays through audio-motor units, teaching, 81-1
Western views of non-Western cultures, 95-7; 91-92

Curriculum
advanced Spanish conversation course, structure and content, 92/93-5
Afro-Hispanic and Francophone literature, 94-6
“back to basics” issues, 80-2
block scheduling in L2 instruction, advantages and disadvantages, 99-8
block scheduling program, project based learning in, 02-7
business Spanish course, syllabus and curriculum (Eastern Michigan University), 87-11:139-141
curricular coordination, 80-1:6-7
curricular innovations, 80-2:18-21
curriculum development to meet Foreign Language Standards, 98-1
Curriculum Guide Outline, based on critical skills (Richland County School District #1, Columbia, SC), 84/85-11
curriculum workshop, 87-9:117-119
exploratory French course for middle schools, 80-3
Foreign Language Standards and traditional college-level French curriculum, 98-3
FLEX Small World Language and Culture for Children (Alabama), curriculum, 97-7
Florida, curricular changes in state of, 86-4
Florida, integration of Curriculum Frameworks and Student Performance Standards, 84/85-8
Francophone literature, 94-6
French culture course taught in English, design for, 97-4
French curriculum, culture component, 88-5
French curriculum to address diversity in Francophone world, proposal, 98-3
French for majors vs. French for non-majors, 98-3:34-37
French for science and technology course, curricular design, 87-10
French major (Univ. of Arkansas-Little Rock), revamping curricular design, 04-2
higher-order thinking skills, integration into the curriculum, 92/93-4
intensive college Spanish course, curricular development, 83-6
intensive introductory German course, college level, 84/85-5:68-71
interdisciplinary and team teaching at secondary level, 81-5
International Business program, Samford Univ., 81-8:58-60
internship program involving L2s, Macon College, 90-5
language and culture, models for integration of, 96-1:4-5
Latin curriculum, junior-high level, 88-7
Latin curriculum in U.S. schools, changes, 92/93-1
listening proficiency in the curriculum, providing, 86-5
lower-division college German, curricular design, 81-6
oral proficiency, restructuring traditional college-level L2 program to develop, 86-2
proficiency-based placement test, articulation through, 88-8
proficiency-oriented curriculum at university level, syllabus design, 87-2
program in international business, foreign language, and intercultural communication, Norfolk State Univ., 01-6
project-based curriculum, 02-7
Spanish culture and civilization, syllabus design for college course, 02-6:69-70
standards-based curriculum and assessment, linkage, 08-6
survey of literature course, critical and creative thinking in the, 83-8
Survey of Modern French Literature, course design, 83-7
undergraduate and graduate degree programs in L2s, 81-3
undergraduate foreign language education program, revision of curriculum, 05-6
writing across the L2 curriculum, 87-6
writing skills, structured sequence for developing, 84/85-4

**Defense Language Institute**
foreign language anxiety workshop at the Defense Language Institute, 87-3

**Dictionaries**
L2 learners’ idiom dictionary, development of, 02-4:48
reading, use of dictionaries to teach, 82-4:48-50
reading instruction, role of dictionaries in, 05-3:40
vocabulary, use of dictionaries to enhance acquisition of, 00-1:9-10

**Direct Method**
direct and neo-direct approaches to L2 teaching, 01-1:5-6

**Distance Learning**
characteristics of distance learning, 99-6:69
independent study, relationship of distance learning to, 99-6:69-72

**Drama**
correctional facility, use of drama in Spanish course at, 08-1
self-esteem and motivation, drama as enhancement of, 08-1:6-9
use in diverse college classroom settings, 08-1
Drills and Pattern Practice (see also “Audio-Motor Unit”)

- audiolingual drills, 89-5:55-57
- audio-motor unit, 89-5:58-64
- communicative drills, 89-5:57-58
- failure of pattern practice, 80-10:81
- incompatibility of drills with communicative approach, 89-5:55-58

Early Language Learning and FLES

- content-based FLES programs, 91-5
- enrollment in upper levels, impact on increase of, 86-4:32
- exploratory course for middle schools, 80-3
- FLES*, basic components, 94-3
- FLES, sequential FLES, FLEX, and immersion programs, distinctions, 94-3:05-1
- FLES as element of total curriculum, 89-2:27
- FLES program evaluation, 90-4
- FLES* programs, rationale, 94-3:31-32
- FLES programs and language maintenance, 95-3:34-35
- French holidays in FLES instruction, 91-4
- music in L2 classes at elementary level, connecting content areas through, 98-7
- “native language kid talk” (N.L.K.T.), use of, 94-3:33-34
- partial immersion programs and FLES programs, comparison, 91-9
- programs for children in L2s, development, 80-6
- research on FLES* and research needs, 94-3
- Small World Language and Culture for Children project (Alabama), 97-4
- student-made home pages in classes at elementary level, use of, 98-5:62-63
- task-based FLES activities, characteristics of appropriate, 97-5:66-67
- thinking skills in FLES classes, 91-3:34-35

Economy

- economic development and foreign languages, 09-6

English Use for Instruction in L2 Programs

- audio-inductive grammar instruction, avoidance of English through, 81-7
- English in L2 classes, general avoidance of, 86-11
- French culture course taught in English, 97-4
- last recourse in L2 instruction, use of English as, 84/85-12:129
- limited use, justification, 82-12; 86-11:116
- native-language vs. target-language use in L2 classroom, 82-12

- necessity for L2 use instead of English, 82-7:82-89
- no-excuse categories: prohibitions against English, 84/85-13:131-132
- Survey of French literature course, restricted use in, 83-7:64-65
Enrollment Trends in Second Languages

FLES classes, enrollments in, 90-4:63-64; 94-3:29
Florida, enrollments in, 86-4:30-32
French and Spanish enrollment trends in the U.S., 97-6:77-79
Latin, enrollment trends, 84/85-6
Less-Commonly-Taught Languages (LCTLs), enrollments in, 08-7:87-88
United States, enrollments in, 86-4:29-30

Error Analysis/Correction

computer translation Web sites and composition error correction, 05-5:71-72
correction as only one element of grading written work, 91-1:4
correction code for student writing, 87-6:85
encouragement of errors as learning technique, 81-7:50-51
limitations of error correction, 82-1:7
Spanish preterit and imperfect, analysis of student errors in acquisition of, 95-6
students’ awareness of reading and writing processes on quality of their writing, 05-4
writing, assessment of, 87-6:71-74
writing at intermediate level, error correction in, 05-4
writing of adult learners, error correction in, 89-9:118-120

ESL (English as a Second Language)

audio-motor units in ESL instruction, 81-1
ESL Composition Profile (chart), 98-6:78-79
reading in lower-level classes, introduction of, 86-8:76
U.S. Hispanics/Latinos, limited English proficiency of, 03-3:28-29
writing-based projects for integrated curriculum, 86-8

Ethnography

ethnographic interviews concerning Spanish songs, 06-6

European Union (EU)

facts about the EU: languages, politics, economy, etc., 00-4
introducing the EU in the L2 classroom, 00-4

Exploratory Language Courses

exploratory French course for middle schools, 80-3

Extra-Curricular Language Activities

foreign language immersion camp, 97-6:91
foreign language week, 97-6:91
French Club, 97-6:92
fund-raisers, 97-6:92
Fairy Tales
Brothers Grimm, ideas for celebrating the bicentennial of birthdays of the, 86-3
Brothers Grimm as collectors of German fairy tales, 86-3:23
communicative activities based on fairy tales, 90-3:58-61

Feedback, Student
review topics, use of student feedback to determine, 91-2:19-22
student attitudes about L2 study, 91-8
teaching abuses, students’ perceptions of L2, 82-7

Festivals
Brothers Grimm Bicentennial community celebration, 86-3
International Cultural Experience Day, 83-12

FLAC (Foreign Languages Across the Curriculum)
FLAC programs defined, 96-6:79-80
FLAC reading program, Birmingham Southern College, 96-6
L2 skills in other disciplines, use of, 96-6
reading, L2 proficiency through, 96-6
writing across the L2 curriculum, 87-6

FLES (see Early Language Learning)

FLEX (Foreign Language Exploratory Programs; see also “Early Language Learning”)
FLES, Sequential FLES, FLEX, and immersion programs defined, 94-3
FLEX programs, effects on attitudes of elementary students towards L2s and cultures, 05-1
K-3 Small World Language and Culture Program (Alabama), assessment of the, 97-7:107-114
Small World Languages and Cultures for Children (Alabama), 97-7
Small World Program (Alabama), effects on students’ attitudes towards foreign languages, 05-1

Florida
alternative certification program, 86-4
curricular changes in L2 programs, 86-4:32-33
curriculum frameworks and Foreign Language Standards, 84/85-8
enrollment trends in Florida, 86-4:30-32
Florida Taxonomy of Cognitive Behavior (FTCB), 92/93-4:72; 04-7
Foreign Language Instruction in Florida (FLIF), 86-4:37-38
L2 placement in post-secondary institutions in Florida, 97-2
teacher shortage, 86-4:33-38
FLTeach
- background and discussion of projects, 06-2
- online model lessons with cultural content, 06-2

Foreign Study (see “Study Abroad”)

Francophone Culture (see also “French Culture”)
- Belgium, francophone culture and language in, 08-8
- Canada, French immersion program in, 90-7
- Francophone Africa, model for teaching culture, 80-7
- Francophone literature in French curriculum, 94-6
- French and English in Canada, relations of, 95-2:16-20
- French curriculum to address diversity in Francophone world, proposal, 98-3
- Internet resources for contemporary French civilization courses, 06-4
- Martinique, case study for cultural stereotyping, 00-6
- multiculturalism in 13 first-year French textbooks, 94-4

Freinet, Célestin
- Communicative Approach in L2 study, comparison of Freinet’s theories to, 99-1:3
- correspondence using Freinet model, 99-1:3-6
- educational philosophy of Freinet applied to FL Standards, 99-1
- “open classroom,” concept of, 99-1
- written correspondence projects for intercultural learning, 02-1

French (see also “Francophone Culture” and “French Culture”)
- AATF framework and FL Standards for teaching culture, 98-2
- audio-inductive approach to teaching French grammar, 81-7
- Belgian French and standard French, 08-8:102-03 and 109-110
- culture and communication in college French curriculum, integration of, 07-7
- declining French enrollments, addressed through shift from Eurocentric to multicultural view, 98-3
- e-mail communication between U.S. college students of French and students in French lycée, 02-1
- entrepreneurial French courses, Norfolk State Univ., 01-6
- exploratory French course for middle schools, 80-3
- Francophone literature in French curriculum, 94-6
- French and English in Canada, relations of, 95-2:16-20
- French civilization, Web-based independent study course in, 99-6
- French culture course taught in English, 97-4
- French for majors vs. French for non-majors, curriculum design, 98-3:34-37
- French grammar lessons, reception strategy, 87-7:90-97
French literature courses, creative testing, 83-9
graffiti to teach French culture, use of, 88-5:72-74
humor, jokes, and puns in French classrooms, 82-9
immersion weekend for middle- and high-school students of French, 00-7
integrating stories with multimedia into French language curriculum, 07-8
interactive multimedia program in French (Vanderbilt Univ.), effectiveness of, 96-4:52-63 and 65-68
Internet, use for teaching French, 01-2
listening proficiency, materials for development of, 86-5:48-50
multiculturalism in 13 first-year French textbooks, 94-4
partial immersion and FLES programs, comparison, 91-9
phonetics, pronunciation, diction, 86-9
popular French music in the L2 classroom, 92/93-2
recruitment and retention of French students, 97-6
science and technology, French for, 87-10
standards-based college French curriculum, 08-6
Survey of Modern French Literature, course design, 83-7
*Système-D* use for developing writing skills in French, Florida State Univ., 98-6
teacher preparation standards to revamp college French curriculum, use of (Univ.of Arkansas-Little Rock), 04-2
think-aloud protocol, French comprehension exercise as example, 96-2
value of studying French, 97-6: 84-88
vocabulary acquisition, 05-2
Web home pages to personalize learning of French, use of, 98-5
writing across the curriculum (primarily French), 87-6
writing skills in college-level French course, development of, 00-3

**French Culture**

AATF framework and FL Standards in teaching French culture, use of, 98-2
avoidance of stereotypes in teaching French culture, 00-5
contemporary French civilization course, development of, 06-4
culture and communication in college French curriculum, integration of, 07-7
French culture course in English, 97-4
French educational system in early 20th century, description, 99-1:1-2
French holidays, teaching about, 91-4
graffiti, used to teach French culture, 88-5:72-74
Internet resources for contemporary French civilization courses, 06-4
popular and rap music for teaching French civilization and language comprehension, 92/93-2
rationale for teaching culture, 88-5
role of culture in French curriculum, 88-5
textbooks, presentation of culture in, 88-5
Web-based independent study course in French civilization, 99-6

Games
grammar and vocabulary review, children’s games for, 90-3
International Cultural Experience Day, use of games, 83-12:97-98
proficiency-based games, 91-10
selection of appropriate games for L2 instruction, criteria, 91-10
task-based FLES lessons, use of games for, 97-5:68-72
Trivial Pursuit, adaptation for lower-level students, 84/85-15
Trivial Pursuit, L2 versions to develop oral proficiency of advanced students, 84/85-15
vocabulary building, use of games for, 00-1:7-8

Gardner, Howard (see “Multiple Intelligences”)

Gender
business-language classes, gender and foreign culture in (emphasis on German), 96-5
French textbooks, sexism and gender stereotypes in, 88-5
gender issues in German texts, 96-5
grammatical gender in beginning French class, teaching, 81-7

German
Brothers Grimm, bicentennial celebration, 86-3
gender and foreign culture in business-language classes (emphasis on German), 96-5
grammatical gender in German as reflection of attitudes towards gender, 96-5
proficiency-based German class, college level, 84/85-5
TA apprenticeship in lower-division German courses, 81-6
TPRS (Total Physical Response Storytelling) in post-secondary German course, 01-4
videos of German commercials for L2 instruction, 91-7:89-90
women’s roles in German business, 96-5
writing proficiency in German, activities to develop, 89-8:102-109

German Culture
Brothers Grimm, bicentennial celebration, 86-3
gender and foreign culture in business-language classes (emphasis on German), 96-5
multiracial and multicultural issues in Germany, 07-4
Global Education

- economic development and languages, 09-6
- globalization and L2 study, 09-6
- globalization as mission of business/language programs at Norfolk State Univ., 01-6
- language proficiency as key to career success, 09-7
- language study and global education, 09-7
- mandate for global education, 81-4

Grammar

- advanced conversation course, grammar in the, 92/93-5
- “aspect” as key to mastery of Spanish past tenses, 95-6
- audio-inductive approach to teaching grammar, 81-7
- difficulties of French and Spanish grammatical features for American students, a comparison, 97-6
- French grammar through the Reception Strategy, 87-7:87-95
- grammar-translation approach to teaching L2s, 01-1:3-4
- grammatical gender in German as reflection of attitudes towards gender, 96-5
- methods of teaching grammar as part of graduate TA training program, 05-7:96-97
- mnemonic devices for learning Spanish grammar, 86-7
- OPI, role of grammar in evaluation of, 87-1:7-8; 89-7:87-88
  [reprinted from 87-1]
- oral history project, role of grammar in the, 99-2
- role of grammar in L2 study, a reassessment, 86-1:6-8
- TPRS (Total Physical Response Storytelling) instruction, role of grammar in, 01-4:53-55
- unrealistic expectations for learning grammar in college textbooks, 84/85-5

Grimm (the Brothers Grimm)

- bicentennial of the Brothers Grimm, 86-3
- community work on Brothers Grimm project, 86-3

Higher-Order Thinking (see “Critical Thinking”)

Hispanic/Latino Culture

- Afro-Hispanic literature and culture, 90-6
- contrasting attitudes towards death in Hispanic/Latino and Anglo-American cultures, 98-4:46-47
- cuisine, comparison of Spanish and Latin-American, 82-2
- cultural insights from a study-abroad orientation program, 99-5
- demographics of Hispanic/Latino populations in the U.S., 03-3:28
early Spanish explorations and settlements in the American South and Southwest, 91-6:72-74
Ecuadorian culture, video unit on, 95-7
“La familia peruana” project, 03-8:98-101
“Foods of Peru” project, 03-8:97-98
Hispanic immigrants in U.S. by country of origin (table), 98-1:12
Hispanic immigrants in U.S. by place of residence (table), 98-1:12
Hispanic immigration to the New World, 95-2:20-25
Hispanic songs and ethnographic interviews, 06-6
indigenous and Hispanic cultures in 1492 commemorations, 91-6
Latino culture in secondary Spanish textbooks in U.S., 07-5
literature in post-Franco Spain, 97-3
Mexican-American migrant children, cultural characteristics of, 99-4:54-55
music, Hispanic culture through, 01-5
nostalgia in Hispanic music, 01-5
Peruvian culture in group study project for teachers, 03-8
process-oriented approach to teaching culture of Spain, 02-6
recorded oral histories of Hispanics/Latinos, 99-2
Spanish Civil War, use of authentic materials to teach the, 02-6

History of Second-Language Teaching
ALM (audio-lingual method), 89-2
back to basics” movement in L2 instruction, 80-2
changes in language teaching in the 1960s, 89-2
changes in the profession, 86-1
enrollment trends in Florida, 86-4:30-32
enrollment trends in U.S., 86-4:29-30
FLES classes, enrollment trends, 90-4:63-64
four decades of L2 teaching (approx. 1960-2000), 01-1
historical survey of L2 teaching methods, 08-2
methodology course, design and redesign, 95-4
Latin, enrollment trends, 88-7
overview of L2 teaching prior to 1980 and prospects for the future, 80-1
reflective teaching, as part of methodology course, 95-4:49-51
TPRS (Teaching Proficiency Through Reading and Storytelling), use of, 08-2
vocabulary, history of L2 instruction with respect to teaching of, 05-1:15-16

Holidays
foods for specific French holidays, 91-4
French holidays, background and customs, 91-4
holidays as an element of culture, 91-4
Independence Day observations, comparison of U.S. and French, 91-4:55
U.S. holidays in ESL programs, audio-motor units, 81-1
Humor
humor in French class, as vehicle for conversation, 82-9

Idioms, Teaching of
computer translation activity with idioms, 05-5:69-71
multimedia use in teaching idioms, 02-4
“root idiom” concept, teaching through the, 02-4
“root idioms” in North American English, examples, 02-4:51

Immersion Experiences
Camino de Santiago, cultural immersion experience, 06-5
communication & culture as basic components of FLES*, 94-3
day-long or weekend camps to retain students in French, 97-6:91
FLES, sequential FLES, and FLEX programs, immersion experiences in,
94-3
French immersion program in Canada, 90-7
oral proficiency of teachers, immersion sessions to develop, 87-9:109-110
partial immersion and FLES programs, comparison of student performance
in, 91-9
principles underlying success of immersion experience, 90-7
social studies in French immersion class, 87-7:94-97
weekend immersion program for middle- and high-school students of
French, 00-7

Immigration and Immigrant Communities
connections with local immigrant populations through interviews, 99-2
Germany and the U.S., immigration and minority issues, 07-4
Hispanic immigrants in U.S. by country of origin (table), 98-1:12
Hispanic immigrants in U.S. by place of residence (table), 98-1:12
Hispanic immigration to the New World, 95-2:20-25
Hispanic songs, immigration theme in, 01-5
Mexican-American migrant children, cultural characteristics of,
99-4:54-55
service-learning project for Spanish students with Hispanic migrant work
ers, 99-4

Individualized Instruction and Independent Study
foreign language/ESL business program at community college, indepen
dent components in, 82-14
French civilization, Web-based independent study course, 99-6
Internet use to facilitate independent study, 99-6

INTASC (Interstate New Teacher Assessment and Support Consortium)
model for language standards for beginning teachers, 03-6
Integrated Performance Assessment (IPA)
integration of culture and communication in college language curriculum,
IPA use for, 07-7

Intensive Language Programs (see also “Immersion Experiences”)
intensive Spanish language course, USC-Columbia, 83-6

Interdisciplinary Programs and Activities
business, language, and intercultural study at post-secondary level,
Norfolk State Univ., 01-6
business units in secondary-level L2 classes, 84/85-9
career use of L2s, collaboration with other disciplines for focus on,
82-6:70
commercial Spanish sequence at post-secondary level, 84/85-10
content-based FLES to reinforce elementary school curriculum, 91-5
FLAC (Foreign Language Across the Curriculum), 96-6
FLES classes, interdisciplinary activities, 91-5
higher-order thinking skills into L2 curriculum, use of other subjects to
incorporate, 92/93-4
holidays, as basis for interdisciplinary units, 91-4:43
humanities and L2s, connecting, 89-2:19
internship program for international business and foreign languages,
04-8
interviews with immigrants, connecting L2 study with other disciplines
through, 99-2
junior-high Latin instruction, incorporation of other subjects into,
88-7:91 and 95-98
Latin and other disciplines, interrelationship between, 88-7
music in elementary school L2 classes, connecting content areas through,
98-7
sciences and L2s, connecting, 89-2:20
service learning, interdisciplinary benefits of, 99-4:49-50
service-learning project for nursing students in medical Spanish courses,
West Georgia College, 03-2
techniques, taxonomy, and materials at secondary level, 81-5

International Studies
Center for Economic Development and support for L2s, 09-6
foreign languages and international studies, connections, 84/85-1;
84/85-7

Internet
contemporary French civilization course, Internet as a resource for, 06-4
French instruction, use of Internet in, 01-2
independent study courses, use of Internet in, 99-6
online writing/reading as L2 communication tools, 02-5
search engines/directories, use for locating home pages created by young
native speakers, 98-5:59-61

**Internships**
assessment of a business/international internship program, 04-8:89-90
case studies of internship projects, 90-5:85-86
college-level internship program, Macon College, 90-5
foreign language/international trade internship program, Univ. of
Alabama in Huntsville, 04-8
goals for internships, 04-8:86
International Internship Program, Univ. of Alabama in Huntsville,
04-8:96-98
international internships, 04-8
program design, L2 internship program, Macon College, 90-5

**Interview Techniques**
ethnographic interviews concerning Spanish songs, 06-6
facet of project on Peru, interview as a, 99-2:19-27
native speakers in class and community, techniques for interviewing,
06-6
oral history projects, interview techniques for development of, 99-2

**Japanese**
need for K-12 Japanese language teacher certification program,
96-3:34-35
Small World FLEX program, effect on attitudes of elementary students
studying Japanese, 05-1
teacher certification program for Japanese, rationale and design, 96-3

**Journals**
advanced L2 classes, use of journals in, 83-5
reading journal, as aid to developing L2 reading skills, 95-5
writing development, journal use as aid to fostering, 83-5; 84/85-4:46;
05-4:50

**Kentucky**
Kentucky Foreign Language Teacher Academy. 02-9

**Language for Specific Purposes [LSP] (see also “Business/Language”)**
activities designed for a business/language course in Spanish, 99-3
college-level business courses, Eastern Michigan Univ., 87-11
International Business and L2 study, Norfolk State Univ., 01-6
Medical Spanish for nursing students, State Univ. of West Georgia, 03-2
problems posed by business/language courses, 99-3
scientific and technical French, 87-10
**Language Laboratories**

- critique of language labs, 80-1:5
- literature, use of tapes for teaching, 80-4
- oral exams in multimedia lab vs. language lab, administration and evaluation of, 02-3
- presentation of new material, use of labs for, 84/85-16
- restructured L2 program, use of language lab in, 86-2
- traditional role of language lab, assessment, 84/85-16

**Language Maintenance Strategies**

- FLES programs and language maintenance, 95-3:34-35
- instructional, incubation, and post-incubation periods of language study, 95-3
- proficiency building and proficiency training, 87-9
- time frames and language maintenance strategies, 95-3:32-33

**Latin**

- changing Latin curriculum in the U.S., 92/93-1
- Cicero at the secondary level, the teaching of, 92/93-1
- Cicero's *Pro Caelio* in the AP curriculum, how to teach, 94-2
- derivatives as preparation for standardized tests of English vocabulary, 82-11:138-141
- junior-high level, rationale and design for teaching Latin at the, 88-7
- Latin and other disciplines, interrelationship, 88-7
- Latin FLES to target linguistically disadvantaged children, 89-3
- National Institute in Latin at Univ. of Georgia, description, 84/85-6:79-80
- revised AP syllabus for Latin Literature, 94-2
- standardized tests of English vocabulary, benefits of Latin as preparation for, 82-11
- teacher shortage and enrollment trends in Latin, 84/85-6

**Latino Culture (see “Hispanic/Latino Culture”)**

**Learning Disabilities**

- learning-disabled students and the L2 teacher, 82-8

**Learning Styles**

- at-risk students, addressing needs of, 92/93-7
- definition of “learning styles,” 94-5:53-54
- learning style inventories, overview, 94-5:55
- learning style preferences and the millennial generation, 08-3
- matching teaching and learning styles, 92/93-7
- personality-type indicators, 94-5:56-57
- teaching styles and learning styles, correlation, 94-5
- Total Physical Response Storytelling (TPRS) as complement to specific learning styles, 01-4:57-58
visual and other sensory cues in L2 acquisition, significance of, 82-7:84

**Less Commonly Taught Languages (LCTLs)**
- enrollments in LCTLs, 08-7:87-88
- national security issues and LCTLs, 08-7
- teacher education and LCTL programs, 08-7

**Licensure of Teachers (see “Teacher Preparation”)**

**LinguaFolio**
- European Language Portfolio (ELP), basis for LinguaFolio, 07-1
- LinguaFolio project, description, 07-1
- National Council of State Supervisors for Languages (NCSSFL) and the LinguaFolio project, 07-1
- reflection and self-assessment as elements of the LinguaFolio project, 07-1

**Linguistics**
- absolute equivalences of meaning between L1 and L2 vocabulary and idioms, impossibility of establishing, 82-12
- Andersen’s “Linguistic Feature Hypotheses,” 95-3:31-32
- “aspect” as key to mastery of Spanish past tenses, 95-6
- Belgicisms, 08-8:102-103 and 109-110
- caretaker speech (“motherese”) applied to L2 teaching, 86-11:112-113
- corpus linguistics on teaching of vocabulary, impact of, 05-2
- French phonetics and diction, techniques for teaching, 86-9
- how language is produced, 80-10
- immersion experiences, linguistic and sociolinguistic principles applicable to, 90-7:109-110 and 115
- language as speech, 89-2:21
- *langue* and *parole*, 89-2:20-21
- Maya and Nahuatl in the teaching of Spanish, 07-6
- misconceptions about language, 89-2:23-24
- nature of language, 89-2
- reading development in L2s, linguistic factors affecting, 87-5:50-51
- stages of language development, 84/85-2
- sub-Saharan Africa, linguistic rivalry, 81-2

**Listening**
- audio library, narrow listening (NL) and the, 04-6
- authentic materials to develop listening skills, 84/85-14
- authentic print media to teach listening, 87-8:103-104
- authentic texts and the listening process, 90-1
- cloze procedure for listening comprehension of songs, 88-2:32
- cognitive approaches to listening comprehension, 95-1
A Thematic Index of Dimension: 1980-2009

- Cues for listening comprehension, 95-1:6-8
- Culture, audio-motor units for teaching of, 81-1
- Daily listening practice, formats, 87-4
- Development of listening skills, 90-1
- Dictation, integral part of Survey of French Literature, 83-7:62-63
- French popular songs as comprehension exercises, 92/93-2
- Graduate TA training program, methods of teaching listening, 05-7:93-94
- Interactive multimedia for L2 listening comprehension, 96-4
- Listening and speaking skills, teaching and testing, 87-4
- Listening proficiency, teaching for, 86-5
- Music to teach listening comprehension, use of, 88-2
- Narrow listening (NL), assessing impact of, 04-6
- Narrow listening (NL), defined, 04-6:53
- Narrow listening (NL), improving listening comprehension through, 04-6
- Reading vs. listening skills, 95-1:1-2
- Recorded oral history project, 99-2
- Skill development in listening, 86-11
- Songs to enhance listening skill, use of, 01-5
- Tomatis Approach for listening development, 00-2
- Total Physical Response (TPR), assessment of listening through, 04-5:48-49

**Literary Magazines**

- As vehicle for creative writing, 92/93-6
- Freinet-style L2 class, the literary journal in a, 99-1:5-6
- Online literary magazine, 02-5:59-60
- Teacher and student involvement, 92/93-6

**Literature**

- Afro-Hispanic and Francophone literature, 94-6
- Afro-Hispanic literature, chronology, 90-6:96-99
- Afro-Hispanic literature, principal traits, 90-6:93-96
- Afro-Hispanic literature in the Spanish classroom, 90-6
- Afro-Hispanic novel, 90-6:97-99
- Asturias, Miguel Ángel, 07-6:70-72
- Brothers Grimm bicentennial celebration, 86-3
- Castellanos, Rosario, 07-6:68-69
- Césaire, Aimé, writer and statesman of Martinique, 00-6:73-76
- Cicero at the secondary level, teaching of, 92/93-1
- Cicero’s *Pro Caelio* in the AP curriculum, teaching of, 94-2
- Creative testing in French literature courses, 83-9
- Critical and creative thinking in literature survey course, 83-8
- Early stages of L2 study, incorporation of L2 literary texts in, 98-4
- Francophone literature, 94-6
- Journals, use to enhance instruction of literature, 83-5:48-50
“literature” vs. “reading,” defined, 98-4:42
Modern French Literature Survey course, design, 83-7
*The Odyssey*, as metaphor for changing trends in L2 teaching methodology, 01-1
second-year college students, approach for teaching literature to, 80-4
Spanish literary canon, emerging candidates, 97-3
teaching literature and teaching reading, contrast between, 05-3
translation and the teaching of literature, 09-1:8-11

**Martinique**
Césaire, Aimé, writer and statesman, 00-6:73-76
Martinique, as case study for avoiding cultural stereotyping, 00-6

**Material Selection (see also “Authentic Materials”)**
Afro-Hispanic cultural content in Spanish textbooks, 94-6
authentic materials, 86-1:8-9
authentic materials, evaluation forms for selection and use of, 95-8:106-109
authentic materials, for teaching all skills, 87-8
authentic videos to teach culture, 95-7
*Café Crème* French texts, as basis for proficiency-based activities, 04-2:13-14
careers in L2s, media resources, 82-6
college-level L2 internship program, materials for a, 90-5
commercial Spanish programs, list of resources, 84/85-10:114-115
CommonSpace writing software, 00-3:31-32
computer-assisted instruction (CAI) to develop listening materials, 95-1
cultural content in French textbooks, critique, 88-5
culture, appropriate materials for teaching, 00-5:59-60
culture, authentic materials for teaching, 02-6
electronic portfolio assessment, technological tools needed for, 04-3
1492, materials for teaching about, 91-6
Francophone cultural content in French textbooks, 94-6
French culture, authentic materials for teaching, 00-5
French culture course in English, 97-4
French phonetics and diction, materials, 86-9:87-88
French popular songs for L2 listening, 92/93-2
indigenous and Hispanic contributions to U.S., materials for instruction, 91-6
interactive media in L2 learning, 95-1
interdisciplinary program, shared materials in, 81-5
Japanese teacher certification program, books and technological materials, 96-3:41-42
junior-high Latin materials, recommendations, 88-7:92-94
language maintenance, materials for enhancing, 95-3:37-38
Latin AP curriculum, resources, 94-2:10-11
Latin curriculum of U.S. schools, texts, 92/93-1
Latino culture in secondary language textbooks, 07-5
limitations of listening materials, 95-1
listening and speaking skills, appropriate materials, 87-4
listening comprehension, interactive multimedia materials, 96-4
listening comprehension, materials for, 84/85-14; 86-5:46-50
listening skills, authentic materials to develop, 84/85-14
lower-division college German, texts and materials, 81-6
modern novels for Spanish literature course, college level, 97-3
multicultural content in first-year French textbooks, analysis, 94-4
multimedia presentations, software for creation of, 03-5
newspapers, use for teaching all skills, 87-8
oral performance, software package for assessment of, 02-3
photographs, for interpreting cultural differences, 88-6
print media, guidelines for use, 87-8:104-105
problems with L2 textbooks, 02-7:83-84
proficiency-oriented curriculum, 87-2
reading at the early level, 86-6
reading material, factors in selecting appropriate, 05-3:35-39
sequencing of textbook materials, 86-1:7-8
songs for use in L2 classrooms, five categories of, 88-2:27-28
Spanish audio library for narrow listening (NL), 04-6:64-65
Système-D to develop French writing skills, 98-6
Total Physical Response Storytelling (TPRS), appropriate materials, 01-4
unedited authentic materials, guidelines for use, 95-8:109-113
video commercials for use in German language instruction, 91-7
videocassettes, selection of appropriate, 87-12:148-150 and 156-158
videos of commercials in L2 instruction, use of, 91-7
vocabulary content of L2 textbooks, assessment of, 00-1:4-5

Maya and Nahuatl

Mayan and Nahuatl culture and language in the teaching of Spanish, 07-6
Mayan and Nahuatl culture and literature, 07-6:67-72
Mayan influences in the Spanish of Yucatán, 07-6:65-66
Nahuatl influences in Mexican Spanish, 07-6:65

Memory and the Brain

brain injury, possible effects on language, 89-2:23-24
brain research, implications for L2 teaching, 86-10
“din” defined, 02-8
immersion programs, brain research supporting, 90-7:109-110
language learning as process, 82-12:144
mnemonic devices for studying Spanish, 86-7
music, as aid for memory retention, 88-2:23-25
psychological features of L2 acquisition, 80-10
music to enhance memory development, use of, 02-8
“musical din” (“Song Stuck in My Head Phenomenon” [SSIMHP]), 02-8
right and left hemispheres in language development, 86-10
“superglue” – brain mechanisms involved in L2 learning, 80-10
text recall and involuntary rehearsal through song, 02-8
visual and other sensory cues in L2 acquisition, significance of, 82-7:84-88

Methodology (see specific methods; see also “History of Second-Language Teaching”)

Mexico
“Cielito lindo” and “Las mañanitas,” as stimuli for ethnographic interviews with native speakers of Spanish, 06-6
Maya and Nahuatl and the teaching of Spanish, 07-6
“México lindo,” use of song as basis for study of theme of nostalgia in Hispanic culture, 01-5:66-78
short-term study-abroad project in Mexico for college students, 80-5

Middle-School Language Programs
exploratory French course, description, 80-3
immersion weekend for middle- and high-school students of French, 00-7
Latin course, junior-high-school level, 88-7
NEH Summer Institute for Middle School Teachers, background and design, 83-4
World Wide Web activities appropriate for middle-school level, 98-5:63-64

Motivation (see “Attitudes/Motivation of Students”)

Multiculturalism
Afro-Hispanic and Francophone literature, rationale for presenting, 94-6
curricular revision, multiculturalism and, 94-4:41-42
elementary French textbooks, multiculturalism in, 94-4
Eurocentric to a multicultural perspective in French curricula, transition from a, 98-3
global awareness and intercultural communities, 99-1:9-11
global education, need for, 81-4
multiracial and multicultural issues in Germany, 07-4

Multimedia
multimedia instruction, 96-4
narrated multimedia presentations in L2 classrooms, use of, 03-5
stories in the French language classroom, incorporation of multimedia
with, 07-8

Multiple Intelligences
Gardner, Howard, 04-5:45-46
Multiple Intelligences theory, 02-8:92
Multiple Intelligences theory and Gardner, 04-5:45-46
songs in elementary school language classes, application of Multiple
Intelligences theory to teaching, 98-7:90
Total Physical Response (TPR) instruction, application of Multiple
Intelligences theory, 04-5

Music
African-American rap music, influence on popular French songs, 92/93-2
benefits of music in L2 study, 98-7
connecting content areas through music in L2 classes in elementary
schools, 98-7
diverse students, music as facilitator of learning for, 02-8:92
effect of songs on text recall, 02-8
ethnographic interviews and Hispanic music, 06-6
French popular music in the L2 classroom, 92/93-2
Hispanic culture through music, understanding, 01-5
international chorus at elementary level, formation of, 80-6:43
International Cultural Experience Day, role of music, 83-12:97
language and music, relationship between, 88-2
“musical din” (“Song Stuck in My Head Phenomenon” [SSIMHP]), 02-8
nostalgia in three Hispanic songs, theme of, 01-5
phonetics in a Lyric Diction course, 86-9
songs for use in L2 classroom, five categories, 88-2:27-28
songs in thematic units, advantages, 95-2
teaching of listening comprehension, music in the, 88-2
text recall and involuntary rehearsal through song, 02-8
whole language approach, popular music in the, 95-2

Nahuatl and Maya
Mayan and Nahuatl culture and language in the teaching of Spanish,
07-6
Mayan and Nahuatl culture and literature, 07-6:67-72
Mayan influences in the Spanish of Yucatán, 07-6:65-66
Nahuatl influences in Mexican Spanish, 07-6:65

National Security Issues
Less Commonly Taught Languages (LCTLs), relationship of national se-
curity issues to, 08-7
Native Speakers
ethnographic interviews with native speakers concerning traditional Hispanic music, 06-6
interviewing native speakers in class and community, techniques, 06-6
recorded oral histories with native speakers, techniques for conducting, 99-2

Natural Approach
Natural Approach, description, 97-5:60-61
Teaching Proficiency Through Reading and Storytelling (TPRS) method, relationship of Natural Method to, 08-2:15-16

NCATE (National Council for Accreditation of Teacher Education)
Foreign Language Standards, brief discussion, 03-6:68
NCATE defined, 04-0:xi-xii
NCATE vs. TEAC (Teacher Education Accreditation Council), 04-0:xi-xiii
“No Child Left Behind” (“NCLB”) and the Foreign Language Standards, 04-1:2-3
teacher licensure exams, preparing students for, 09-5

NCSSFL (National Council of State Supervisors for Languages)
LinguaFolio project, 07-1

Newspapers
authentic print media, use of newspapers as, 87-8
development of L2 skills through use of newspapers, 87-8

“No Child Left Behind” (NCLB) Legislation
Foreign Language Standards and “No Child Left Behind” (NCLB), 04-1:2-3
overview of “No Child Left Behind” Legislation, 04-1
teacher preparation and “No Child Left Behind” Legislation, brief reference, 03-7:84

Objectives
advanced conversation courses, objectives for, 92/93-5:89-95
business-Spanish course, assessing objectives for a, 87-11:130-131 and 138-139
college-level German, goals for four semesters of, 84/85-5:66-67
course objectives, student vs. teacher perceptions of important, 86-8:75-77
Curriculum Guide Outline, preparation of, 84/85-11
Florida, Curriculum Frameworks and Student Performance Standards, 84/85-8
International Business Program, objectives for enhancement of, 01-6
online discussions, establishing objectives for, 01-3:34-35
Small World Language and Culture for Children, FLEX program (Alabama), objectives of, 97-7:101-102
teaching objectives for L2s, as perceived in 1965 by Nelson Brooks, 89-2 [reprint from *Dimension 1965*]

**Oral Proficiency (see also “Oral Proficiency Interview [OPI] and SOPI”)**
intensive Spanish courses at USC-Columbia, oral proficiency as basis for, 83-6
technology for oral proficiency assessment, 08-4

**Oral Proficiency Interview (OPI) and Simulated Oral Proficiency Interview (SOPI)**
advanced-level proficiency, working towards, 92/93-5
assessment, OPI as a model for, 86-2:17
cognition and the OPI, 04-7
description of the OPI, 84/85-2:10
development and use of the OPI, 83-2
ILR (Interagency Language Roundtable), relationship of OPI to the, 83-2
intensive German course, relationship of OPI to development of, 84/85-5:53-54
issues and concerns with the OPI, 87-1; 89-7 [reprint of 87-1 article]
OPI Advanced-Low level as requisite for teacher licensure, 04-2
OPI scale as instrument for assessing proficiency, 92/93-5
proficiency training for teachers, OPI as model for, 87-9
ratings for Japanese teacher certification, discussion, 96-3:42-43
SOPI (Simulated Oral Proficiency Interview), as measure of L2 proficiency at college level, 01-7:99
study-abroad experience, use of OPI to assess effectiveness of, 84/85-3

**Pattern Practice (see “Drills and Pattern Practice”)**

**Peer Evaluation**
college L2 writing project, use of peer evaluation in a, 00-3

**Personality**
affective domain in L2 learning, 88-1
learning style and personality of teachers, impact on teaching, 94-5
music as facilitator of learning for diverse students, 02-8:92
Myers-Briggs Type Indicator (MBTI), personality profiles of teachers, 94-5
success in L2 and personality, research findings concerning, 88-1

**Peru**
oral history, sample project on Peru, 99-2:19-27
Peruvian culture in group study project for teachers, 03-8
sample thematic units on Peru, 03-8
Phonetics
French phonetics, including charts and tables, 86-9
phonetics in a Lyric Diction course, 86-9

Photographs
cultural differences, use of photographs for identifying and interpreting, 88-6:86-88

Piaget, Jean
language acquisition, influence of Piaget’s theories of, 84/85-2:17-23

Placement
college L2 programs, placement issues, 01-7
diagnostic placement test, USC-Columbia, 88-8
“false beginners” in college L2 programs, 01-7
Florida’s State University System, placement, 97-2:25-27
modified version of FL Standards as alternative to placement exams, 98-8
placement tests, as recruitment devices for college courses, 81-8
post-secondary institutions in Southeast, placement in, 97-2
proficiency-based college placement exams, USC-Columbia, 88-8
study gap as placement problem in college L2 programs, 01-7

Policy Issues
Carnegie Forum on Education and the Economy, 95-4:47
educational reform for L2s, 03-6
Florida, policy issues affecting L2 education in, 86-4
Higher Education Amendments of 1998, 04-4:30
Holmes Group (1986) and calls for educational reform, 95-4:47-48
internationalization of curriculum, effect of policy on, 84/85-5
JNCL (Joint National Committee for Languages) and NCFLIS (The National Commission on Foreign Languages and International Studies), 83-1:1-2
A Nation at Risk (1983), 04-5:44
national policy for L2 and International Studies, proposed agenda, 84/85-1
“No Child Left Behind” (NCLB) act overview, 04-1
“No Child Left Behind” (NCLB) legislation, 03-7:84
policies and legislation affecting L2 teaching, 83-1
Preparing a Nation’s Teachers, 03-6
President’s Commission on Foreign Language and International Studies. 80-5:34; 80-6:41; 80-7:48; 81-4:36
Strength Through Wisdom (1979), publication of President’s Commission on Foreign Language and International Studies, 80-7:48;
teacher recruitment in Florida, effect of policy on, 84/85-8

Portfolios

alternative assessment, use of portfolios for, 04-3
student electronic portfolio assessment, 04-3

Praxis

discrepancies in state cutoff scores, 04-4
limitations of Praxis II exam, 04-4:34-35
Praxis II exam in states of the SCOLT region, 04-4
preparation for Praxis exam, recommendations, 04-4:35-39
preparing students for teacher licensure exams, 09-5
readiness of students to take Praxis exam, 04-4

Proficiency

ACTFL Oral Proficiency Guidelines (OPI), 04-7
ACTFL Proficiency Guidelines for reading, 05-3
ACTFL Provisional Proficiency Guidelines (1982), description, 84/85-5
advanced conversation courses, proficiency development in, 92/93-5
assessment of oral proficiency through pre- and post-tests, 92/93-5
attrition, relationship between L2 proficiency level and, 95-3:30-32
business units in high school L2 classes, proficiency-guided, 84/85-9
cognition and oral proficiency assessment, 04-7
college-level German class, proficiency-based, 84/85-5
college placement test (USC-Columbia), proficiency as basis for, 88-8
cultural understanding, oral proficiency, and study abroad, the interre-

relationship, 84/85-3
functional language proficiency, developmental stages, 84/85-2
games for developing proficiency of advanced students, 84/85-15
games in proficiency-based instruction, 91-10
intensive college language courses, proficiency-based instruction as basis
for, 83-6:53-54
listening proficiency, planning and teaching for, 86-5
OPI as a measure of oral proficiency, 83-2
Oral Proficiency movement, concerns with, 87-1; 89-7 [reprint of 87-1
article]
proficiency for teachers, 87-9
proficiency issues, an overview, 84/85-1
proficiency movement and FL Standards, relationship, 94-1
proficiency-oriented curriculum at university level, development of, 87-2
restructuring of traditional L2 program to develop oral proficiency, 86-2
study abroad, impact on oral proficiency, 84/85-3
teacher certification, proficiency level requirement for, 04-2
teaching for proficiency, 87-9
technology, oral proficiency assessment through, 08-4
testing, impact of proficiency movement on, 90-8
Texas, proficiency requirements for L2 teachers in, 83-2
writing proficiency, development at college level, 84/85-4
writing proficiency, developmental activities, 89-8

Program Evaluation
Alternative Teacher Preparation program, Kennesaw State Univ.,
  03-7:80-84
bilingual education methodology, 82-10
college internship program, Macon College, 90-5:87-89
dying foreign-language education program, evaluation and rebuilding of
  a, 05-6
FLES program evaluation instruments, 90-4
FLEX program on attitudes of elementary students towards study of second languages and cultures, effect of, 05-1
formative FL program evaluation at college level, 01-7
group study-abroad program for teachers, 03-8:92-93
international internship program, 04-8
Kentucky Foreign Language Teacher Academy, 02-9:109-111
Narrow Listening (NL), 04-6
partial immersion and FLES programs, comparison, 91-9
Small World Language and Culture for Children, FLEX program (Alabama), 97-7:107-114
technology-oriented classroom and a “traditional” L2 classroom, a comparison, 02-2

Projects
community-based service learning project for nursing students in Medical Spanish course, West Georgia College, 03-2
community language bank, establishment of, 83-11
cyberspace correspondence for beginning and intermediate L2 classes, 02-1
guidelines for L2 project-based learning programs, 02-7
integrated ESL projects to develop four skills, 86-8:78-81
interdisciplinary projects at secondary level, 81-5
NEH Summer Institute for Middle School L2 Teachers, Univ. of Arkansas, 83-4
project-based learning in L2 classrooms, 02-7
project emphasis to replace testing as assessment for college, 04-2
service-learning project with Hispanic students in elementary schools, Univ. of Georgia, 03-3
student-centered cultural exchange writing project for ESL students, 86-8:78-79
The Texas Project, exploration of proficiency requirements for L2 teachers in Texas, 83-2
Pronunciation
French phonetics, including charts and tables, 86-9
French phonetics and diction, techniques for teaching, 86-9
music, for use in teaching pronunciation, 88-2:26-27
phonetics in a Lyric Diction course, 86-9

Psychology
brain mechanisms and psychological features of L2 acquisition, 80-10
brain research, implications for L2 teaching, 86-10
cognition and development of language proficiency, theories of, 84/85-2
cognitive psychology and performance expectations, 84/85-2
personality traits on learning, effect of, 02-8:92
personality variables and L2 success, relationship between, 88-1
Piaget, application of his theories to L2 acquisition, 84/85-2:17-23
Vygotsky, application of his theories to L2 acquisition, 84/85-2:11-15

Reading
active engagement in reading activities, 95-5
Afro-Hispanic and Francophone literature, pre-reading activities for study of, 94-6:71-72
authentic print media to develop reading skills, 87-8:101
authentic texts for developing reading skills, 06-1
bilingual reading for developing skills of elementary students with limited English proficiency, 03-3:31-32
Brothers Grimm community celebration, materials, 86-3
developmental process, reading as a, 06-1
dictionaries to develop reading skills, use of, 82-4:48-50
differences between L1 and L2 reading development, 87-5
early stages of L2 instruction, introduction of reading, 86-6
explication de texte in the survey of literature course, 83-8
factors influencing L2 reading comprehension, 87-5
FLAC (Foreign Languages Across the Curriculum) program, L2 proficiency through reading in, 96-6
graduate TA training program, methods of teaching reading, 05-7:93-94
integrating stories with multimedia into a French language classroom, 07-8
interactive reading, 96-6:82-83
listening vs. reading skills, 95-1:1-2
lower-level classes, realistic expectations for reading development in, 05-3
newspapers for developing five skills, use of, 87-8
online reading for L2 acquisition, 02-5
pre- and post-reading activities, 06-1
pre-reading, reading, and post-reading strategies in the L2 classroom, 98-4
“Read It Three Times” strategy, to develop reading skills for third-year L2 students, 82-4
reading journal to develop L2 reading skills, 95-5
reading vs. “understanding,” 96-2
research, overview of L2 reading, 98-4:43-45
second-year college students, developing reading skills of, 80-4
strategies for teaching L2 reading, 87-5
strategies for teaching reading at different levels, 96-6
successful vs. unsuccessful readers, description and comparison of strategies, 95-5:70-75
survey of literature course, careful reading in the, 83-8
Teacher Work Sample (TWS) and a WebQuest reading activity, 08-5
“think-aloud” protocols to identify L2 reading comprehension problems, sample, 96-2:25-27
third-year L2 students, developing reading skills of, 82-4
top-down and bottom-up processing in developing reading skill, 96-2
TPR (Total Physical Response) program, evaluation of reading in a, 04-5:50
TPRS (Total Physical Response Storytelling) in development of reading skills, limitations of, 01-4:58-59
use of literature with beginning L2 learners, 98-4
writing and reading, interrelationship. 95-5

Recruitment and Retention of Teachers
Florida, addressing teacher shortage through recruitment, 86-4:33-35
Florida, initiatives for recruiting L2 teachers, 84/85-8
INTASC (Interstate New Teacher Assessment and Support Consortium) model foreign language standards, 04-1
Latin, addressing the critical teacher shortage in, 84/85-6
teacher licensure exams, preparing students for, 09-5

Reflectivity
LinguaFolio project, reflectivity as element of, 07-1
reflective journals, use by graduate teaching assistants of L2s, 05-7
reflective teaching, 95-4:49-51
reflectivity in education, background, 00-8:87-91
supervision of teaching interns, reflection in, 00-8

Santiago (Camino de Santiago, Road to Santiago)
immersion experience for college students in three languages, 06-5

SCOLT (Southern Conference on Language Teaching)
connections with state and national organizations, 89-11
establishment of SCOLT, 89-1
history of SCOLT: first ten years (1964-1974), 89-1
history of SCOLT: “years of progress” (1975-1989), 89-4
licensure reciprocity in the SCOLT region (table), 04-4:32
present and future of SCOLT, as perceived in 1989, 89-11
SCOLT Assessment Project, 09-4
teacher evaluation instruments in the SCOLT region, 04-0:xiii-xv

**Service Learning**

community-based service learning project for nursing students in Medical Spanish course, West Georgia College, 03-2
definition and criteria for service learning, 99-4:41
international internship program, local component, 04-8
interviews by Spanish students with Hispanic migrant workers, service-learning project, 99-4
service-learning project with Hispanic students in elementary school, Univ. of Georgia, service-learning project, 03-3

**Small-Group Instruction**

benefits of small groups in class activities and participation, 89-6
small group activities, 82-3

**Socratic Method**

Content Attainment Model and the Socratic Method, 87-7
Socratic teaching model, use of, 87-7

**Spain**

Camino de Santiago, immersion program for college students, 06-5
Spanish Civil War, authentic materials for teaching, 02-6

**Spanish**

AATSP Standards, application to Spanish classroom, 98-1
Afro-Hispanic literature, role in curriculum and selections, 94-6
after-school L2 program at elementary level, 80-6:44-47
“aspect” as key to mastery of Spanish past tenses, 95-6
audio-motor unit in Spanish, sample, 89-5:61-63
business-language course in Spanish, structure and activities for a, 99-3
business Spanish course, Eastern Michigan Univ., 87-11
drama, use to enhance academic performance, 08-1
e-mail communication project, U.S. and Spanish college students, 02-1
entrepreneurial Spanish courses, Norfolk State Univ., 01-6
Hispanic immigration to the New World, 95-2:20-25
home-made video of Ecuador, activity, 95-7
intensive college-level Spanish course, 83-6
listening proficiency in Spanish, materials for developing, 86-5
literary canon in Spain, emerging candidates, 97-3
Maya and Nahuatl, influence on Mexican Spanish, 07-6
Medical Spanish course for nursing students, West Georgia College, 03-2
memory hooks and mnemonic devices for learning Spanish, 86-7
OPI excerpts in Spanish, evaluations based on cognition, 04-7:71-78
oral history interview in Spanish, sample, 99-2
perceived language difficulty, Spanish vs. French enrollment issues, 97-6
pop music and whole language approach, thematic unit, 95-2:20-25
post-secondary level, commercial Spanish program, 84/85-10
pre-reading, reading, and post-reading strategies in teaching a Spanish story, 98-4
role-playing activities, spontaneous group, 83-3
sample unit with song (to tune of “La Bamba”), 88-2:34-37
service-learning project for Spanish students working with Hispanic migrant workers, 99-4
service learning to increase Spanish language skills & cultural awareness, use of, 03-3
songs to enhance memory in college Spanish classes, use of, 02-8
songs to teach Hispanic culture of Mexico, Cuba, and Puerto Rico, use of, 01-5
Spanish audio library for narrow listening (NL), 04-6:64-65
Spanish Civil War, use of authentic materials to teach, 02-6
Spanish vs. Latin-American cuisine, distinctions, 82-2
technology-enhanced language learning with college students of Spanish, experiment to assess, 02-2

**Spanish Civil War**
authentic materials for teaching Spanish Civil War, 02-6

**Speaking**
authentic print media to teach speaking, 87-8:103
business Spanish course, oral communication in the, 87-11:136
categories of speaking tasks, 92/93-3:49
cognition and oral proficiency assessment, 04-7
formats for speaking practice, 87-4
humor in French class, stimulus for conversation, 82-9
intensive college-level Spanish course, role of speaking, 83-6:58-59
jigsaw tasks to develop oral skills, 92/93-3
listening and speaking skills, teaching and testing, 87-4
negotiation of meaning through oral exchange, 92/93-3
online writing as bridge between speech and writing, 02-5:61
OPI (Oral Proficiency Interview), 83-2
oral exams in multimedia lab, administration of, 02-3
recorded oral history project, 99-2
role-playing to facilitate true communication, 83-3
service-learning project for Spanish students with Hispanic migrant
workers, improved speaking skills through, 99-4
speech perception and speech production, linkage, 00-2
student talk vs. teacher talk in classes taught by TAs, an analysis, 80-8
TPR (Total Physical Response) setting for assessing speaking skill,
04-5:49
task-based activities for communicative competency, 92/93-3
technology for oral proficiency assessment, 08-4
training program for graduate TAs, methods of teaching speaking in a,
05-7:97-98

Standards

AATF Framework for assessing cultural competence, as complement to
Foreign Language Standards, 98-2
AATSP Standards, application to the Spanish classroom, 98-1
Academic Alliances in L2s, relationship of Standards to, 99-7:82-83 and
85-86
ACTFL Program Standards for the Preparation of FL Teachers, 04-2
articulation problems, use of Standards to address, 98-8
Célestin Freinet, relationship of Standards to ideas of, 99-1
challenge of setting national standards for L2s, 94-1
college French major, Standards as basis for reform of, 04-2:14-17
computer translation Web sites to address Standards, use of, 05-5
culture” & “connections,” application of Standards to, 02-6:79
cyberspace correspondence project, application to “connections”
standard, 02-1
development of Foreign Language Standards, background, 98-1
“the Five C’s,” 04-2:15-16
foundation standards for computer literacy, ISTE (International Society
for Technology in Education), 97-1:5 and 11-13
Francophone revolution, appealing to the five C’s, 98-3
French immersion program, Foreign Language Standards as basis for
development of, 00-7
Hispanic song unit, relationship of Standards to, 01-5:73
integrating communication and culture into French language classroom,
Standards as basis for, 07-7
Internet instruction, role of Standards in L2 instruction, 01-2
“No Child Left Behind” legislation, relationship of Standards to, 04-1:2-3
proficiency movement, relationship of Standards to, 94-1
service learning, relationship of Standards to, 99-4:42
service-learning project for nursing students, addressing Standards
through, 03-2:16
Small World Language and Culture for Children, FLEX program
(Alabama), relationship to Standards, 97-7
Standards-based curriculum, sample performance assessment, 08-6
Standards-driven approach to L2 teaching, 01-1:10-11
*Standards for Foreign Language Learning*, overview, 98-0:v
*Standards for Foreign Language Learning in the 21st Century* (1999), 03-6
teacher preparation, Foreign Language Standards and, 03-7:84-85
Teacher Work Sample (TWS), relationship of Standards to, 08-5
technology, standards-based L2, 03-4:45

**Stereotypes**
anecdotal cultural information in L2 textbooks, creation of stereotypes through, 98-2:24
authentic video to combat ethnocentrism, 95-7
avoidance of cultural stereotypes, 00-5
avoidance of “freeze-frame” stereotypes, 00-6
cross-cultural training for study-abroad participants, stereotypes as essential component of, 99-5:61-62
elimination of stereotypes in French culture course in English, 97-4:53
French textbooks, sexism and gender stereotypes in, 88-5
imperfect information, stereotypes as source of, 80-7:49
negative stereotypes in French textbooks, 88-5
semantic indexing to avoid cultural stereotyping, 00-6

**Student-Centered Classrooms**
benefits of small-group instruction in class activities and participation, 82-3:27-29; 89-6:68-70 [reprint of 82-3 article]
interaction activities, appropriate use of, 82-1
interests of students as basis for L2 student talk, 82-7:88-89
project-based learning as a student-centered activity, 02-7
review topics, student feedback to determine, 91-2
small-group activities to encourage communication, 82-3; 89-6 [reprint of 82-3 article]
Socratic Method, learning through the, 87-7

**Study Abroad**
correspondence between college students in study-abroad program and peers at home institution, 03-1
cross-cultural training model for success of study-abroad programs, 99-5
cultural awareness, value of study abroad to enhance, 88-5
cultural understanding, oral proficiency, and study abroad, 84/85-3
international internship program, Univ. of Alabama-Huntsville, 04-8:90-93
Mexico, short-term college experience in, 80-5
oral proficiency, impact of study abroad on, 84/85-3
Peru, group study program for teachers, 03-8
teacher licensure exams, study-abroad programs as preparation for,
thematic units developed from study-abroad program for teachers, 03-8
tool for recruitment, study-abroad programs as a, 81-8:57
the transnational classroom, 03-1

Supervision
reflectivity by teaching interns and supervisors, 00-8
training of TAs, role of supervision in the, 80-8; 89-10

Système-D
high school French course, use of Système-D in a, 97-1:4
writing skills, use of Système-D for developing, 91-1:4 and 13-15
writing skills in French, Système-D for development of, Florida State Univ., 98-6

Task-Based Activities
communicative approach to FLES teaching, task-based activities to support a, 97-5
communicative competence, task-based activities to promote, 92/93-3
FLES programs, appropriate task-based activities for, 97-5:66-67
grouping in the task-based classroom, 97-5:65
task-based approach, defined, 97-5:61-63
teaching language and content, task-based activities for, 96-1
writing development through task-based activities, 91-1

TEAC (Teacher Education Accreditation Council)
accreditation process, 04-0:xi-xiii

Teacher Accreditation, Certification, Licensure (see also NCATE, TEAC)
NCATE vs. TEAC accreditation, 04-0:xi-xii
TEAC accreditation, 04-0:xi-xiii

Teacher Preparation
accountability issue, 80-1:9
alternative L2 teacher preparation programs, 03-7
Alternative Teacher Preparation Program, Kennesaw State Univ., 03-7:78-86
CAI (Computer Assisted Instruction), use in L2 instruction, 83-10
directory of L2 teacher preparation programs in U.S., overview, 90-2
Florida, addressing the teacher shortage in Florida, 84/85-8
Florida, alternative certification program in L2s, 84/85-8; 86-4
Florida, critical teacher shortage, 86-4
Florida, state initiatives in L2 teacher preparation, 86-4
foreign language collaborative in development of “crash course” for teacher preparation, role of, 99-7:81
foreign language education reform, 03-6
“highly qualified teachers,” defined, 04-1:3-4
INTASC (Interstate New Teacher Assessment and Support Consortium),
model FL standards for beginning teachers, 03-6
INTASC (Interstate New Teacher Assessment and Support Consortium) standards for certification, 04-1
INTASC (Interstate New Teacher Assessment and Support Consortium), Ten Core Principles of, 03-6:70-72
integration of practical experience into methods course, 95-4:56-58
Japanese, design for a K-12 certification program, 96-3
Japanese, rationale for a K-12 certification program, 96-3:34-35
Kentucky Foreign Language Teacher Academy, program description, 02-9
licensure of L2 teachers in Alabama, Florida, and Texas, 04-0:xiv-xv
licensure reciprocity in the SCOLT region (with table), 04-4
lower-level college German program, TA training, 81-6
methods course in L2s, design, 95-4
NBPTS (National Board for Professional Teaching Standards), 03-6
NBPTS (National Board for Professional Teaching Standards) certification process, 04-1:4
NCATE (National Council for Accreditation of Teacher Education) and TEAC (Teacher Education Accreditation Council) accreditation, 04-0
NCATE (National Council for Accreditation of Teacher Education) certification, 03-6
NCATE (National Council for Accreditation of Teacher Education) licensure and certification, 04-1
needs of learners, responding to, 95-4
NEH Summer Institute for Middle School Foreign Language Teachers, Univ. of Arkansas, 83-4
“No Child Left Behind” (NCLB) legislation, effect on teacher preparation, 04-1
portfolio review in teacher training, 04-2
Praxis II & requirements in SCOLT region (with table), 04-4
Preparing a Nation’s Teachers: Models for English and Foreign Language Programs (1999), 03-6:67
professional development for L2 teachers, 02-9
proficiency-level requirements for teachers and teacher candidates, 92/93-5:85-87
proficiency training for teachers, 87-9
rebuilding of a dying foreign language program, Univ. of Central Florida, 05-6
reflectivity in teacher education, 95-4; 00-8
reform mandates for teacher education, 95-4:47-49
Standards, impact on teacher education programs, 94-1
Sub-Saharan Africa, need for teacher training, 81-2
teacher licensure exams, preparation for, 09-5
teacher licensure in SCOLT states, 04-0:xiii-xv
teacher preparation programs, directory, 90-2
teacher preparation standards to revamp a French major, use of, 04-2
teaching assistants, goal-setting and supervision issues, 80-8
teaching assistants in L2s, pre-service and in-service programs, 89-10
teaching assistants in L2s at USC-Columbia, training of, 05-7
technology, minimal competencies for North Carolina educators, 97-1:13-17

technology literacy of pre-service teachers. 97-1:6-7
technology requirements for L2 teachers, NCATE (National Council for Accreditation of Teacher Education), 97-1
Texas, role of OPI (Oral Proficiency Interview) in L2 certification, 83-2:13-14
thinking skills, relationship to teacher education, 92/93-4
TWS (Teacher Work Sample), use for teacher preparation, 08-5
undergraduate and graduate degree programs, teacher preparation in, 81-3

Teacher Work Sample (TWS)
teacher preparation programs, use of the Teacher Work Sample in, 08-5

Teaching Assistants (TAs)
goal-setting for teaching assistants, 80-8
in-service methodology course for teaching assistants, 89-10:127-130
lower-division college German, TA apprenticeship program in, 81-6
pre-service workshops for teaching assistants, 89-10:126-127
proficiency-based curriculum, preparation of TAs for the, 87-2:22
supervision component in TA training, 80-8
supervision of TAs in proficiency-based classrooms, USC-Columbia, 89-10
USC-Columbia, graduate teaching assistant training in L2s, 05-7

Technoconstructivism
college-level French course, technoconstructivism in a, 08-3

Technology
activities based on home pages of young native speakers, 98-5
blog development in the L2 classroom, 09-2
blogs, design and implementation, 09-2; 09-3
blogs, use to enhance L2 teaching, 06-3; 09-3
CALL, use for online reading and writing instruction, 02-5
careers and L2 study, multimedia presentation on, 82-6
Computer-Assisted Instruction (CAI), needed to meet current technology demands of L2 teachers and learners, 83-10; 98-6
Computer-Assisted Language Learning (CALL), 02-5; 03-4
Computer-Mediated Communication (CMC) activities, 03-4
Computer-Mediated Communication, use in L2 classroom, 03-4:39-40 and 43-44
computer software, 02-3:36-37; 03-5:56-57
computer translation Web sites to address objectives of Standards, 05-5
computer use in L2 classrooms, 84/85-17
contemporary French civilization course, Internet resources for, 06-4
creative writing and technology in the L2 classroom, 08-3
cultural content in online model lessons, 06-2
cyberspace communication between college students on study-abroad program and peers at home institution, 03-1
cyberspace projects, 02-1
distance learning, definition and characteristics, 99-6:69-70
drills, use of computer programs for, 84/85-17
electronic correspondence to enhance intercultural communication, 02-1
electronic discussions in training programs for graduate TAs, 05-7
electronic literacy and the L2 classroom, 02-5
electronic portfolio assessment, 04-3
e-mail communication between U.S. college students and those in European educational institutions, 02-1
fear of computers, overcoming, 84/85-17
idioms, interactive technology to teach, 02-4
independent study courses in L2s, Internet use to conduct, 99-6
instructor, role in mediated online discussions, 01-3
integration of stories with multimedia into the French language classroom, 07-8
Internet use to teach French, 01-2
Kentucky Foreign Language Teacher Academy, technology component, 02-9:107-108
listening comprehension, interactive multimedia to develop, 96-4
multimedia lab, use of new computer software for oral assessment, 02-3:36-37
narrated multimedia presentations in L2 classrooms, 03-5
NCATE (National Council for Accreditation of Teacher Education) requirements in technology for L2 teachers, 97-1:5
new technologies in language learning, 03-4
North Carolina educators, minimal competencies in technology for, 97-1:13-17
online discussions, objectives and expectations, 01-3
online discussions in L2 classes, values and limitations, 01-3
online publishing to teach writing, 02-5:59-60
oral assessment, technology for, 08-4
pre-service teachers, technology training programs for, 97-1
recorded oral histories, 99-2
role of technology in K-12 second-language classrooms, 97-1
search engines, used to locate sites created by young native speakers, 98-5
Standards-based L2 college curriculum, technology in the, 08-6
Système D program, for development of writing skills in French, 91-1; 98-6
technical and scientific French, Eastern Michigan Univ., 87-10
technoconstructivism and the millennial generation, 08-3
 technoconstructivism in a college French course, 08-3
 technophobia, 01-2
traditional vs. technology-rich instruction in college L2 classes, 02-2
Web-based machine translation, 09-1
WebQuest model and the Teacher Work Sample (TWS), 08-5
World Wide Web (WWW), use in L2 classrooms, 03-4:38-39 and 42-43
writing skills, computer exchange to foster development of, 00-3
WWW home pages for personalization of L2 instruction, 98-5

Technophobia

effect of technophobia on the teaching of French, 01-2
fear of computers, overcoming, 84/85-17

Testing

audio-motor unit, testing procedures with the, 89-5:63-64
aural comprehension tests to measure listening skills, 04-6
CCIP (Chamber of Commerce and Industry in Paris) Exam in French, preparation for, 87-10
computerized oral language assessment, 02-3
creativity in testing writing ability, 90-8
Curriculum Guide Outline, testing and assessment as features of, 84/85-11
French literature courses, creative testing in, 83-9
high-stakes testing and “No Child Left Behind” (NCLB) legislation, 04-1
junior-high Latin tests, topics covered on, 88-7:94
Latin vocabulary, benefits for SAT and other standardized tests, 82-11
listening and speaking skills, testing of, 87-4:46-47
minimal competency testing, 80-2:21
multiple testing formats, 80-4:33
OPI as a valid instrument, issues concerning, 87-1; 89-7 [reprint of 87-1 article]
oral proficiency, pre- and post-tests to measure, 92/93-5
Praxis II, discrepancies in state cutoff scores, 04-4
Praxis II, readiness of teacher candidates, 04-4
proficiency, testing for, 87-9
proficiency-based diagnostic placement test, development, USC-Columbia, 88-8
SOPI (Simulated Oral Proficiency Interview) and CLEP (College Level Examination Program) as measures of L2 proficiency at college level, uses of, 01-7:99
standardized tests, limitations, 04-3:20
success in L2 acquisition, standardized tests as measure of, 88-1
teacher licensure exams, preparation of students for, 09-5
teacher licensure tests in Alabama, Florida, and Texas, 04-0
Test de français international (TFI), defined, 04-2:14
testing vs assessment, 04-3:20
TPR (Total Physical Response) as assessment tool, 04-5
visual stimuli in testing writing, 90-8
writing activities on tests, inclusion of, 87-6:71

Thematic Units
“La familia peruana,” thematic unit, 03-8:98-101
Foods of Peru, thematic unit, 03-8:97-98
French and English in Canada, thematic unit, 95-2:16-25
group study abroad and thematic units, 03-8
whole language and thematic units, linkage, 95-2:13-25

Theory
Gardner’s Theory of Multiple Intelligences, 02-8:92
language acquisition through listening, theory of, 00-2
students’ personal experiences, relationship of theory to, 80-10
Tomatis Approach, theory of language acquisition, 00-2

Tomatis Approach
the Electronic Ear, 00-2
listening training, 00-2
theory of language acquisition through listening, 00-2

TPR (Total Physical Response)
Asher, James, 01-4:45-48; 04-5:44-48; 08-2:15
assessment tool, TPR as an, 04-5
audio-motor unit, defined, 89-5
challenges to TPR assessment, 04-5:50-51
communication drills based on audio-motor units, 89-5
culture, L2 and ESL audio-motor units for teaching of, 81-1
listening, modified TPR activity to teach, 87-4:43
listening proficiency, TPR to develop, 86-5:44
multiple intelligences, TPR as an appeal to, 04-5
TPRS (Teaching Proficiency Through Reading and Storytelling), TPR as foundation for, 08-2
TPRS (Total Physical Response Storytelling), TPR as foundation for, 01-4
TPRS ("Total Physical Response Storytelling"; also, "Teaching Proficiency Through Reading and Storytelling")
active learning, TPRS (Total Physical Response Storytelling) as basis for, 01-4:56-59
analysis of TPRS (Teaching Proficiency Through Reading and Storytelling) method, 08-2
caveats for TPRS (Total Physical Response Storytelling) instruction in L2 classroom, 01-4
college-level German class, use of TPRS (Total Physical Response Storytelling) as method for, 01-4
grammar, role in TPRS (Total Physical Response Storytelling), 01-4:53-55
topics of TPR (Total Physical Response) and TPRS (Total Physical Response Storytelling), 01-4:45-49
Ray, Blaine, 01-4; 08-2:16-18

Translation

careers and professions, use of translation in, 09-1:12
community language bank for emergency translation, 83-11
computer translation, brief history, 05-5:65-68
computer translation Web sites to address Foreign Language Standards, 05-5
grammars-translation approach to teaching L2s, 01-1:3-4
EU (European Union) meetings, translation and interpretation at, 00-4:49-50
literature, translation and the teaching of, 09-1:8-11
pedagogical tool in L2 instruction, translation as, 09-1
role of translation in contemporary L2 programs, 09-1
Web-based machine translation, 09-1

Transnational Classroom

connecting people, languages, and cultures through the transnational classroom, 03-1
Costa Rica and the United States, transnational classroom in, 03-1
definition of the “transnational classroom,” 03-1:2-3

Value of Foreign Language Study (see “Why Study Foreign Languages?”)

Videos

authentic videos in the L2 classroom, 95-7
commercial French films in culture course taught in English, 97-4:54
commercials, use in L2 classes, 91-7
Spanish verbal aspect, video to teach, 95-6
teacher-made videos in the L2 classroom, 95-7
videocassettes, selection and use, 87-12

**Vocabulary**
- activities for building L2 vocabulary, 00-1:6-10
- adult L2 learners, vocabulary acquisition for, 89-9:120
- advanced conversation course, vocabulary acquisition in, 92/93-5:92-93
- approaches to vocabulary acquisition, review of various, 05-2
- Belgicisms, 08-8: 102-103 and 109-110
- bilingual lists, development of vocabulary beyond, 05-2
- business-related terminology, inclusion in L2 curriculum, 84/85-9
- collocations, 05-2:22-24
- idiom instruction through multimedia and cultural concepts, 02-4
- Latin study, for acquisition of English vocabulary, 88-7
- Latin vocabulary, benefits for SAT and other standardized tests, 82-11
- lexical phrases, 05-2:24-27
- mastery of vocabulary, essential steps for, 00-1
- memory hooks for teaching Spanish vocabulary, 86-7:71-73
- second-year, college-level reading program, vocabulary acquisition in, 80-4
- storage and retrieval of vocabulary, 05-2:18-19
- systematic approach for acquisition and teaching of vocabulary, 00-1
- testing vocabulary acquisition, 90-8
- video presentations, vocabulary lists derived from, 87-12:151-152
- word associations, schemata, 05-2:19-21

**Vygotsky, Lev Semenovich**
- Vygotsky’s “scaffolding” concept, 01-3:32
- Vygotsky’s theories, discussion, 84/85-2:11-15

**Web-Based Instruction**
- Internet use for independent study courses, 99-6
- Web-based machine translation, 09-1
- WebQuest Model and Teacher Work Sample (TWS), 08-5

**Whole Language Approach (WLA)**
- Hispanic culture from three songs, approach based on WLA, 01-5
- popular music in a WLA to L2 instruction, 95-2
- rationale for WLA to L2 instruction, 95-2
- thematic units and the WLA, 95-2

**Why Study Foreign Languages?**
- American businesses, L2 needs of, 82-5
- business, increase in international nature of, 82-5
- careers and foreign languages, multimedia presentation of, 82-6
- college L2 requirements, defense of, 81-8
- connections with business world, opportunities for L2 teachers, 82-5
French, value of studying, 97-6:84-88
French and Spanish, perceived value of, 98-3
global education, mandate for, 81-4
past, present, and future of foreign language and international studies, 84/85-7
recruitment and retention of L2 students, college level, 81-8
trade, tourism, international relations, security, and other employment, value of L2s in, 84/85-5:51-52
value of L2 study, 84/85-1
value of “offering” L2s as opposed to value of L2 study, 84/85-12

World Wide Web (WWW)
activities based on home pages of young native speakers, 98-5
background on World Wide Web, 98-5:57-58
classroom use of Web for L2 instruction, 03-4:38-39 and 42-43
computer translation Web sites, 05-5
French, Web use to enhance study of, 01-2
French civilization, Web-based independent study course in, 99-6
non-linear writing, Web pages as, 02-5:57-59
personalization of L2 instruction through the Web, 98-5
search engines to locate sites created by young native speakers, 98-5:59-61
URLs, WWW addresses useful in L2 instruction (1998), 98-5:70-71

Writing
adult language learner, writing for the, 89-9
assessment of writing in the TPR (Total Physical Response) program, 04-5:49-50
authentic materials to develop writing proficiency, 89-8
authentic print media to teach writing, 87-8:102
blogs, use of, 06-3; 09-2; 09-3
commercial correspondence in Spanish business course, 87-11:135-136
comparison-contrast stage of writing, 88-3:46
creative testing of writing ability, 90-8
creative writing, early use to develop writing skills, 88-4
creative writing in L2 classroom, 08-3
creative writing in the secondary-level L2 class, 92/93-6
development of writing skills at all levels, 87-6
dictation, use in college survey of French literature courses, 83-7:63
early reading instruction, introduction of writing into, 86-6
e-mail (keypal) correspondence project, development of writing skills through, 02-1
error correction on L2 writing, effects of, 05-4
ESL Composition Profile (chart), 98-6:78-79
graduate TA training program, methods of teaching writing, 05-7:94-96
improving L2 writing competence, 05-4
in-class and out-of class writing activities, 05-4
integrated curriculum, writing projects for, 86-8
integration with other basic skills, 87-6
journal use for process writing, 83-5
misunderstood and overlooked skill in L2 instruction, writing as the,
98-6:73-74
non-Latin alphabet, introduction of, 86-6:62
online publishing in the L2 classroom, 02-5:59-60
online writing as a tool for L2 acquisition, 02-5
peer editing in blog activities, 06-3
peer feedback and collaboration, 00-3
pre-writing phase in writing development, 88-3:44-47
“process,” writing as, 88-3; 00-3
“process” rather than “product,” writing as, 91-1; 02-5:55-56
process approach, teaching of writing through a, 87-6
process writing, 05-4
process writing and online composition, 02-5:55-56
proficiency-based writing assignments, requirements for, 89-8:99-100
purposes of writing in L2 curriculum, 87-6
reading and writing, interrelationship of, 95-5
reading-to-write, 05-4:47-48
research on L2 writing, review of, 98-6:74-76
revision stage of writing, 88-3:47-48
sequenced structure for developing writing proficiency, 84/85-4
student interaction in writing process, 00-3
student literary magazine for creative writing, 92/93-6
Système-D software for development of writing skills, 91-1
task-oriented writing, guidelines, 91-1
testing writing ability, 90-8
what writing is and what it is not, 89-8:97-98
writing-assisted software to develop L2 skills, use of, 98-6
writing for the millennial generation, activities, 08-3
writing/response journal for advanced-level L2 classes, 83-5
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David Jahner, President

Foreign Language Association of North Carolina (FLANC)
Krishauna Hines-Gaither, President

Foreign Language Association of Virginia (FLAVA)
Norah Jones, President
Shelia Cockey, Bulletin editor

Frank McClarin High School, GA
Tanisha Nightingale

French Consulate in Atlanta
Emilie Renouvin
Deputy Cultural Attaché, Educational and University Affairs

Furman University, SC
Maurice and Sharon Cherry

Georgia Department of Education
Jon Valentine and Elizabeth Webb

Georgia Southern University, GA
Horst Kurz and Clara Krug

Goethe Institut, GA
Michael Höfig

Greenbrier High School, GA
Michael E. Dockery

Howard University, Washington, D.C.
Herman Bostick

Ider High School, AL
Mirella Hodges
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InterPrep, Inc., GA
Greg Duncan

Kennesaw State University, GA
Bill Griffin

Kentucky Department of Education
Jacque Van Houten

Kentucky World Language Association (KWLA)
Susann Davis, President

Louisiana Department of Education
Terri Hammatt

Louisiana Foreign Language Teachers Association (LFLTA)
Maria Blanca Wortham, President

Mississippi Foreign Language Teachers Association
Virginia Gale Jolly, President

National FLES* Institute, MD
Gladys Lipton, Director

National Spanish Exam, IN
Kevin Cessna-Buscemi

North Carolina Department of Education
Ann Marie Gunter and Helga Fasciano

North Charleston High School, SC
Christine Hoelle

North Georgia College and State University
Elizabeth Combier

Northeast Council on the Teaching of Foreign Languages (NECTFL)
Rebecca Kline, Executive Director

Pacific Northwest Council for Languages (PNCFL)
Greg Hopper-Moore, Executive Director
Peggy Boyles and Associates, OK
Peggy Boyles

Pinecrest Preparatory School, FL
Frank Kruger-Robbins

South Carolina Department of Education
Ruta Couet

South Carolina Foreign Language Teachers Association (SCFLTA)
Janis Morgan, President

Southern Conference on Language Teaching (SCOLT)
Lynne McClendon, Executive Director

Southwest Conference on Language Teaching (SWCOLT)
Jody Klopp, Executive Director

Tennessee Foreign Language Teachers Association (TFLTA)
Deanna Kendall, President

The Wood Acres School, GA
Judith Thigpen

Université du Quebec à Chicoutimi, Canada
Pierre Lincourt

University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, NC
Susan Navey-Davis

University of the Virgin Islands
Violeta Mac-Donovan

Valdosta State University, GA
Ellen Friedrich and Viki Soady

Virgin Islands Department of Education
Myrna V. van Beverhoudt

Virginia Department of Education
Helen Small

West Virginia Department of Education
Robert Crawford
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West Virginia Foreign Language Teachers Association (WVFLTA)
Brad Martin, President
Robin Snyder and Peggy Dolan

Winthrop University, SC
Kenneth Gordon
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Contact the Executive Director to ensure the volume requested is available.

The 2010 volume with INDEX is available for purchase at $15 inclusive of mailing.

EIN 23-7027288

Be sure to visit the SCOLT Website for current information on SCOLT Activities: http://www.scolt.org

Lynne McClendon
SCOLT Executive Director
165 Lazy Laurel Chase
Roswell, GA 30076-3677

Telephone 770-992-1256
Fax 770-992-3464
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lynnemcc@mindspring.com